Introduction

Earth’s history has been punctuated over at least the last 3.5 billion years by massive volcanism on a scale unknown in the recent geological past. Largely unknown mechanical and dynamic processes, with unclear relationships to seafloor spreading and subduction, generated voluminous, predominantly mafic magmas that were emplaced into the Earth’s lithosphere. The resultant large igneous provinces (LIPs; Coffin and Eldholm, 1994; Ernst and Buchan, 2001; Bryan and Ernst, 2008) were at times accompanied by catastrophic environmental changes. The interaction of the LIP-associated mantle processes with the Earth’s crust have produced a variety of surface expressions (Fig. 1a and 1b); the most common present-day examples are oceanic plateaus (e.g., Kerguelen/Broken Ridge, Ontong Java, Manihiki, Hikurangi, Shatsky), ocean basin flood basalts (e.g., Caribbean, Nauru), magma-dominated divergent continental margins (e.g., the North Atlantic), and continental flood basalts (e.g., Columbia River, Deccan Traps, Siberian Traps). Environmental effects associated with LIP formation include climate changes, mass and other extinctions, variations in ocean and atmospheric chemistry, and Oceanic Anoxic Events (OAEs). Therefore, the geodynamic processes in the mantle that produce LIPs have potentially profoundly affected the Earth’s environment, particularly the biosphere and climate. The Integrated Ocean Drilling Program (IODP) affords unique opportunities to investigate LIPs and associated environmental effects, building upon results from the Ocean Drilling Program (ODP) and Deep Sea Drilling Project (DSDP) (Coffin et al., 2006). To this end, a workshop on LIPs, sponsored by IODP Management International (IODP-MI) and the Consortium for Ocean Leadership, was held at the University of Ulster in Coleraine, Northern Ireland, U.K. on 22–25 July 2007 (Coffin et al., 2007).

A multi-disciplinary group of eighty scientists representing academia, government, and industry from sixteen countries discussed strategies for advancing understanding of LIPs and associated environmental events using the three different IODP platforms and related technologies. During the workshop, which began with an examination of the United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) World Heritage “Giant’s Causeway” exposure of the North Atlantic LIP (Fig. 2), scientists investigating LIPs through field, laboratory, and modeling approaches shared their expertise. Specifically, outstanding problems related to LIP origin, emplacement, and environmental impacts were discussed in plenary and breakout sessions. The workshop achieved the following:

- Identified multidisciplinary, synergistic approaches to addressing outstanding Earth system problems associated with LIP science.
- Brought together scientists from widely different areas of expertise (e.g., petrology, paleontology, geodynamics, oceanography, geophysics, logging, volcanology, geochemistry, stratigraphy, tectonics, paleoceanography, paleomagnetics) to focus on how ocean drilling can address unresolved issues associated with the origin, formation, and environmental impacts of LIPs.
emplacement, and evolution of LIPs, as well as their impact on the environment.

- Exposed new scientists to the IODP and nurtured early career scientists, specifically with respect to how scientific drilling can advance understanding of LIPs.
- Enhanced cooperation between the IODP and the International Continental Scientific Drilling Program (ICDP).
- Explored partnerships among IODP, government, and industry.

One of the major outcomes of the workshop was to define multiple pathways to enhance our knowledge of LIPs through scientific drilling. These ranged from ancillary project letters, through individual expedition proposals, to a mission proposal.

This white paper highlights the major problems associated with LIPs that can be addressed through scientific drilling and related studies. It also highlights multidisciplinary approaches required to address such problems, as studies of LIPs encompass mantle geodynamics, emplacement processes, and environmental events affecting the lithosphere, hydrosphere, atmosphere, and biosphere.

**Past Achievements**

Scientific drilling has played a vital role in the exploration of LIPs, most importantly by providing the first, and in many cases the only, ground truth from the igneous basement of submarine LIPs. While major advances in LIP research involve holistic observational, experimental, and modeling studies involving a broad array of Earth science expertise, results from scientific drilling have been and will continue to be key components of interdisciplinary work. The first dedicated igneous basement sampling investigations of submarine LIPs, conducted during the ODP, concentrated on three provinces: the Ontong Java Plateau (Pacific Ocean), the Kerguelen Plateau/Broken Ridge (Indian Ocean), and the North Atlantic magma-dominated divergent continental margins (Fig. 1). Below, we highlight the major results of these investigations, which have laid the groundwork for the next major phase of discovery during the IODP.

Drilling results from ~120-Ma Ontong Java Plateau basement rocks are complemented by studies of obducted plateau rocks exposed in the Solomon Islands (Andrews et al., 1975; Kroenke et al., 1991; Berger et al., 1993; Neal et al., 1997; Mahoney et al., 2001; Fitton et al., 2004a, b). All Ontong Java basement rocks recovered to date are remarkably homogeneous tholeiitic basalts with minor variations in elemental and isotopic composition, and they were deposited in a submarine environment. Partial batch melting (≥30%) generated the basalts (Fitton and Godard, 2004; Herzberg, 2004), with melting and fractional crystallization at depths of <6 km (Sano and Yamashita, 2004). The lavas and their overlying sediment indicate relatively minor uplift accompanying emplacement and relatively minor subsidence since emplacement. Primarily on the basis of drilling results, multiple models—plume (e.g., Fitton et al., 2004a), bolide impact (Ingle and Coffin, 2004), and upwelling eclogite (Korenaga, 2005)—have been proposed for the origin of the Ontong Java Plateau.

Uppermost igneous basement of the Kerguelen Plateau/Broken Ridge is dominantly tholeiitic basalt erupted above sea level (Barron et al., 1989, 1991; Schlich et al., 1989; Wise et al., 1992; Coffin et al., 2000; Frey et al., 2003), and it shows two apparent peaks in magmatism at 119–110 Ma and 105–95 Ma (Coffin et al., 2002). Geochemical differences among tholeiitic basalts erupted at each site are attributable to varying proportions of components from the primary mantle source (plume?), depleted mid-ocean ridge basalt (MORB)-related asthenosphere, and continental lithosphere. Proterozoic-age zircon and monazite in clasts of garnet-biotite gneiss in a conglomerate intercalated with basalt at one drill site demonstrate the presence of fragments of continental crust in the Kerguelen Plateau, as inferred previously from geophysical (e.g., Operto and Charvis, 1995) and geochemical (e.g., Alibert, 1991) data. For the first time from an intra-oceanic LIP, alkaline lavas, rhyolite, and pyroclastic deposits were sampled. Flora and fauna preserved in sediment overlying igneous basement provide a long-term record of the plateau’s subsidence, beginning with terrestrial and shallow marine deposition and continuing to deep water deposition.

Seaward-dipping reflector (SDR) wedges of the latest Paleocene-earliest Eocene North Atlantic LIP drilled off the British Isles during DSDP (Roberts et al., 1984), off Norway during ODP (Eldholm et al., 1987, 1989), and off SE Greenland during ODP (Duncan et al., 1996; Larsen et al., 1994, 1999) confirmed them to be thick series of subaerial lava flows covering large areas. Lavas on the landward side of the SDRs show geochemical and petrological evidence of contamination by continental crust, implying that they ascended through continental crust during early rifting,
moving beyond the simplistic assumption of a compositionally homogeneous mantle, where density differences are only due to temperature differences, numerical simulations and fluid dynamics laboratory experiments have found an astounding variety of plume sizes and shapes (Tackley, 1998; Davaille, 1999).

Although the nature of compositional heterogeneities in the Earth’s mantle is still a matter of debate (e.g., recycled denser, eclogitic crust; early Earth crust; ancient mantle possibly interacting with the metallic core), many lines of seismological and mineral physics evidence suggesting the presence of chemically distinct, denser material in the lowermost mantle. Therefore, thermo-chemical plumes (i.e., their density contrast with respect to the surrounding mantle depends on both excess temperature and intrinsic composition) provide a new and exciting framework to relate deep mantle dynamics to LIP-forming events and pose a variety of new questions that can be addressed through scientific drilling.

Mantle Geodynamics

In many respects, LIP magmatism remains quite enigmatic, yet its unique characteristics (e.g., volumes of erupted lava, time duration of volcanism, distinct geochemical composition with respect to MORBs, and frequency over geologic time) unequivocally highlight the importance of understanding the underlying physical, chemical, mechanical, and dynamic processes.

The mantle plume model, in which dynamic instabilities of a thermal boundary layer (e.g., the D' zone at the base of the Earth’s mantle) give rise to a mantle plume with a large head and a long-lived conduit or tail, provides a context for interpreting a wealth of surface observations related to LIPs and hotspot volcanism. And, if plumes do originate in the lowermost mantle, they may be the only way to ‘sample’ the deepest part of the Earth’s mantle. Regardless of the origin of LIPs, studying them and hotspot volcanism provides unique and fundamental information about mantle dynamics, global heat and mass transfer in the mantle, time scales for ‘storage’ of geochemical heterogeneities and, ultimately, mixing efficiency of mantle convection.

Over the last decade, our views of mantle plumes have evolved considerably; the ‘mushroom’ shaped plume is certainly possible, but it is not the unique plume morphology. By
Thermo-Mechanical Plume-Lithosphere Interaction with Massive Magmatism

The amount of surface dynamic uplift induced by the arrival of a deep mantle plume is being widely debated. Pioneering models (e.g., Farnetani and Richards, 1994) suggested an unrealistically high uplift rate before volcanism, due to the following: (1) the assumption of purely thermal plumes, (2) poor representation of the lithosphere and the overlying crust, and (3) limited numerical resolution.

The plume uplift issue is currently being revisited using thermo-chemical plumes, which show a lower vertical velocity component than purely thermal plumes, and thus induce lower strain rates at the base of the lithosphere. Furthermore, the new generation of numerical models incorporates both a buoyant residual solid in the plume head resulting from partial melting, and surface exchanges of energy and mass between the ascending melts and surrounding rocks. For various plume buoyancy fluxes, lithospheric ages, and geodynamic settings, we can now calculate surface uplift/subsidence as a function of time and distance from the plume center. Model predictions should then be compared with geological observations obtained through drilling. It is important to emphasize that the geologically reconstructed time sequence of surface deformation needs to encompass a time interval extending from a few million years before to a few million years after the main phase of LIP construction.

Coupling of theoretical predictions with observations will lead to a self-consistent and coherent model of mantle plume dynamics and its thermo-mechanical effect on the overlying lithosphere. The periphery of the Ontong-Java Plateau (OJP) away from its convergent margin with the Solomon Islands may be ideal for this type of study. The OJP represents the world’s most extensive LIP (equivalent to the size of Greenland or western Europe) that, on the basis of current knowledge, formed in ~5 myr at ~122 Ma. It is divided into a High Plateau containing the bathymetric high and a seismically slow cylindrical mantle root extending to ~300 km depth (Richardson et al., 2000; Klosko et al., 2001; Gomer and Okal, 2003) and an Eastern Salient (Fig. 4), with all drill sites encountering igneous basement being located on the High Plateau. Both isostatic (minimum) and dynamic (maximum) crustal uplift were significantly less for the OJP than for active hotspots today, and total subsidence is also anomalously less (Neal et al., 1997; Ito and Clift, 1998; Ito and Taira, 2000; Ingle and Coffin, 2004; Roberge et al., 2005) than that of any other known oceanic lithosphere (Parsons and Sclater, 1977; Coffin, 1992; Stein and Stein, 1992). However, to complicate this situation, ODP Leg 192 recovered a sequence of volcaniclastic sediments at Site 1184 on the Eastern Salient that represent subaerial eruptions (Thordarson, 2004); this is interesting because this site is not over the mantle root. The sequence was divided into several sub-units separated by wood horizons (Mahoney et al., 2001). Borehole heat flow measurements from the High Plateau and Eastern Salient will establish the thermal or chemical nature of the mantle root, and recovery of basement lava flows from the Eastern Salient will test whether volcanism was extensively subaerial in this area during formation of the OJP (consistent with plume theory), or whether the Site 1184 volcaniclastic sequence was produced by a late-stage volcanic edifice with the bulk of the Eastern Salient being constructed by submarine volcanism.

LIP Internal Architecture

Although drilling typically only scratches the surface of thick igneous basement, it is important to explore the internal structure of a LIP to quantify the relative volumes of extrusive versus intrusive magmatism (Cox 1992; Kerr et al., 1997). Better estimates of total melt volumes and compositions will help to bracket melting rates and to define melt transport/storage mechanisms between subsurface magma chamber(s) and the surface. Moreover, interactions between partial melts and the surrounding rocks determine the length- and time-scales over which LIP magmatism can change the temperature, the internal loads, and the state of stress of the pre-existing lithosphere and crust. LIPs emplaced in oceanic lithosphere (rather than the thicker and more compositionally complex continental lithosphere) are thus better suited to investigate the thermo-mechanical and compositional modifications induced by LIP magmatism in the lithosphere and the uppermost asthenosphere.

Moreover, we note that the current debate on the role of plumes in the formation of thick, subduction-resisting lithosphere in the Archean will benefit from a better characterization of the density and viscosity structure resulting from LIP volcanism. This may be difficult to achieve directly through scientific drilling, even with riser capability, although direct

Figure 4. Bathymetry of the western Pacific Ocean including features of the Ontong Java Plateau and surrounding basins. Numbered stars represent DSDP Leg 30, ODP Leg 130, and ODP Leg 192 drill sites that penetrated and recovered igneous basement.
results of site survey work, done in preparation for drilling, can directly bear on this question.

**Plumes and Superplumes: Exploring Interactions with Slabs and the Earth's Core**

Although we generally consider plumes to be isolated 'bodies', they are part of mantle convection and are likely to interact with subduction processes and deep Earth dynamics. Plumes upwelling from the thermal boundary layer at the base of the Earth's mantle could enhance flushing of stagnant slabs into the lower mantle, and vice versa (Nakagawa and Tackley, 2005). During Cretaceous time, LIP and arc magmatism—which are surface manifestations of mantle upwelling and downwelling, respectively—occurred simultaneously (Reymer and Schubert, 1984; Larson, 1991; Eldholm and Coffin, 2000). Decoding the time relation between magmatism at LIPs and at convergent margins is, therefore, key to understanding the primary cause of mantle convection.

Recently, Burke and Torsvik (2004) restored twenty-five LIPs of the past 200 myr to their eruption sites using a new global paleomagnetic reference model. Ninety percent of the LIPs, when erupted, lay above low-velocity seismic-shear-wave regions of the D'' zone, as indicated in current tomographic models, suggesting that the deep mantle beneath the Central Pacific and Africa may represent a long-lived source region for plumes. Better characterization of LIPs will therefore help to understand the nature of superplumes, their stability over time (≥200 myr?), and their potential to sample distinct geochemical reservoirs. By using 2-D numerical simulations, Farnetani and Samuel (2005) have shown the complex internal dynamics of thermo-chemical plumes, leading to the possible coexistence of different types of plumes and superplumes (Fig. 5).

Finally, the accumulation of cold slabs and the upwelling of hot material induce spatial and temporal variations in heat flow at the core-mantle boundary, affecting the outer core.

![Figure 5. 2-D model showing the coexistence of plumes and superplumes in time and space (Farnetani and Samuel, 2005). Colors correspond to calculated seismic velocity anomalies (see inset key for quantitation).](image)

**Geodynamics and Tectonic Setting**

LIPs are emplaced along active plate boundaries (e.g., magma-dominated divergent continental margins) and in intraplate settings (e.g., continental flood basalts). The tectonic setting of emplacement for most oceanic plateaus and ocean basin flood basalts, however, is not completely understood. A key question is whether upwelling mantle can erode the lithosphere sufficiently to instigate formation of a divergent plate boundary (Hill, 1991; Davies, 1994). While this appears to be the case for the North Atlantic volcanic province, where extension and seafloor spreading relocated from the Labrador Sea to the incipient northernmost North Atlantic coincident with massive North Atlantic volcanic province magmatism (Srivastava and Tapscott, 1986), the situation is significantly less clear for other magma-dominated divergent continental margins (e.g., Northwest Australia and Kerguelen/Antarctica/India/Australia). To gain a thorough understanding of relationships among mantle geodynamics, tectonics, and basaltic magmatism, we need to investigate more than a single example of magma-dominated divergent margins by scientific ocean drilling.

In marked contrast to the North Atlantic example, the Northwest Australian margin is segmented, and igneous rock volumes vary considerably along strike, without clear evidence for a related mantle plume (Mutter et al., 1988; Hopper et al., 1992; Symonds et al., 1998; Planke et al., 2000). This makes the margin a strong candidate to test the edge-driven/small-scale convection hypothesis (Mutter et al., 1988; King and Anderson, 1998; Korenaga, 2004) for generating excessive magma by drilling a margin transect across multiple seaward-dipping reflection wedges, the Wallaby Plateau, and normal oceanic crust. The geochemistry, petrology, and geochronology of the recovered rocks will yield melting conditions, mantle reservoir type, extent of continental contamination, and the spatiotemporal evolution of the magma source.

The Kerguelen Plateau/Broken Ridge appears to have begun forming in the nascent eastern Indian Ocean at least 10 myr after breakup among Antarctica, India, and southwestern Australia (Coffin et al., 2002; Gaina et al., 2007). Despite these conjugate continental margins exhibiting
some characteristics of excessive magmatism during breakup (e.g., SDR wedges; Stagg et al., 2007) and contemporaneous continental basaltic volcanism (Storey et al., 1992; Frey et al., 1996), the lack of physical and therefore geochronological continuity between these margins and the Kerguelen Plateau/Broken Ridge reveals yet another variation from the North Atlantic example of simultaneous continental breakup and LIP formation. Drilling the Early Cretaceous SDR wedges of the conjugate southwest Indian Ocean margins and the oldest portions of the Kerguelen Plateau to determine geochemistry, petrology, and geochronology will address critical questions involving relationships between geodynamics and tectonic setting.

Emplacement

Unravelling the emplacement of LIPs is pivotal in understanding their significance in the formation of the Earth’s crust as well as any potential environmental consequences. Our understanding of these processes remains quite limited, and basic questions—such as whether or not the centers of these eruptions occur along fissures—are still matters for debate. Onset of volcanism can be determined for most continental LIPs, although cessation of activity is more problematic to determine due to erosion of uppermost lavas. Oceanic LIPs provide a unique opportunity to address these issues as they are generally better preserved, and consequently can provide a more complete picture of LIP formation. The major issue concerns timing of LIP generation and emplacement (i.e., whether the event was short- or long-lived). We observe examples of both in almost wholly submarine LIPs and in subaerially emplaced LIPs that have been partly rifted and submerged. This overarching question encompasses several missing links in our present understanding that are immediately addressable by scientific drilling.

Duration of Emplacement

Many LIPs appear to have at least one major pulse of volcanism when the most voluminous lava sequence was erupted. Identifying the duration of the full sequence of lavas enables identification of the most voluminous intervals. Knowing the time span of the most voluminous interval(s) for each LIP is crucial for determining the mechanism of emplacement and the potential environmental impact. Furthermore, sampling and dating the lavas allow questions concerning episodicity (about which we know little in major LIPs) to be addressed. For example, was there more than one interval of voluminous volcanism associated with the LIP’s formation? As well as from direct sampling of LIP lavas, much can be learned about the onset and cessation of LIP volcanism from environmental indicators contained in sedimentary sections in older basins adjacent to the LIPs and (potentially) worldwide. These include shifts in isotopic and trace metal content of the sediment that can be linked to the arrival of the first LIP lavas onto the seafloor and/or (perhaps) volcanic degassing to the atmosphere. If the sedimentary sequence yields a high-resolution record, this can provide a highly sensitive time indicator of the onset and cessation of LIP activity, directly correlating the LIP with any contemporaneous environmental events.

Style and Timing of LIP Eruptions

Many eruptions occur during the lifespan of a LIP. For almost all LIPs, the total volumes of lavas are not accurately known, let alone those contained in each eruptive package (or other volcanic deposits such as hyaloclastites). What is also unknown is whether each package was produced by short, intense eruptive episodes, or more pulse-like and protracted ones. Critical for addressing environmental recovery is accurate determination of intervals between eruptions. Drilling into LIPs will provide samples of sediment between lavas to address this (e.g., ODP sites 642 and possibly 807; Larson and Erba, 1999). The probability of finding chronological and environmental markers (e.g., carbonaceous or siliceous sediment deposition) between flow units is much higher in the oceanic environment than on the continents. Erosion during emplacement of submarine LIPs is expected to be minimal; hence, it is more likely to recover flows erupted in sequence. Furthermore, the final (uppermost) lavas are commonly not preserved in continental flood basalts, but truly submarine LIPs offer a much greater chance of obtaining the full sequence of lava products, or at least the last erupted lavas.

If these two fundamental problems can be addressed, we will be able to answer questions such as:

1) When was the big pulse or biggest pulses of volcanism during a LIP’s lifespan?
2) Does this time correlate globally with sudden environmental events?
3) What possible role(s) does LIP emplacement have on these sudden events?

Timing of emplacement is critical for determining magma fluxes and, ultimately, the mantle processes controlling magma production. Is magma production in plume heads controlled by ‘bottom-up’ or ‘top-down’ processes? To better understand the possible effects of LIP volcanism being causal for brief but severe environmental crises, it is necessary to determine eruption rates; and this, in turn, will be useful to model the transport and emplacement distances of lava flows.

Consequences of Shallow Intrusions

Another topical and critical question concerns LIP-related sill emplacement into sediments leading to gas release into the ambient environment; this has occurred on land and on the ocean floor (Svensen et al., 2004). Would submarine gas or fluid release directly affect the oceanic environment (Fig. 6)? To better examine relationships between sills and
lava flows, drilling in specific areas can address the timing of the intrusion of the sills, whether they were the feeders for the flood lavas (see section Duration of Emplacement above) and plumbing systems for LIPs. If the sills and their interactions with sediment cause environmental changes, then it is vital to establish the timing of sill and LIP lava emplacement. Further to the consideration of sills, we know little about magma reservoirs for LIPs, including their locations and dimensions. Are the chambers deep or shallow? Perhaps in oceanic crust, more primitive magmatic material can be erupted at the surface that would otherwise be stalled in continental crust, and a study of this may provide clues to the nature of the initial magmatism related to LIPs.

Relationships Between Subaerial and Submarine LIP Emplacement

What are the differences between subaerial and submarine emplacement of LIP lavas, and thus the similarities or differences in LIP architecture between the continental and oceanic realms? In the ocean, very large flow fields may be possible underwater, and perhaps few barriers halt the spread of lava flows. We might thus predict widespread lava fields covering whole provinces, but is this realistic? What do LIP super-eruption products look like when they are emplaced under water? Are the component lava bodies of oceanic flow fields similar in dimension to those in continental flood basalt provinces, with flow unit thicknesses of 100 m or greater? What is the overall architecture of an oceanic plateau sequence? It appears that their dominantly shallow dipping, low angle slopes would favor the inflation of lava flows (Self et al., 1998).

Lava age distributions are also needed to assess how LIPs are constructed. Even though younger, post-major pulse lavas cover most oceanic LIPs, it may be possible to find widespread main-pulse lavas at the edges of LIPs. Using the architecture of better-known subaerial LIPs (Fig. 7), we can assess where best to drill to intersect long lava flows in submarine LIPs.

An important aspect of subaerial versus submarine emplacement of LIPs is the nature of seaward-dipping reflectors (SDRs). These are highly significant components of several LIPs (such as the North Atlantic and Kerguelen) and not observed in continental LIPs. They are most likely related to the tectono-magmatic setting, but what do they represent? Are they exclusively subaerially emplaced, but subsided parts of a LIP, or might they also form in marine environments? Some geophysical observations suggest the latter, but confirmation by ocean drilling is required. To understand the nature of SDRs, it is crucial to drill full sequences to determine if these were emplaced as deep marine sheet flows, perhaps infilling a subsiding rift basin.

LIP-Generated Felsic Magmas

Felsic volcanic rocks are typically much higher in potassium than their mafic counterparts and thus contain ideal minerals for Ar-Ar dating. Drilling on the Kerguelen
Plateau (ODP Leg 183) recovered significant amounts of a wide variety of felsic volcanioclastic and lavas (Coffin et al., 2000). Other oceanic LIPs, such as Hess Rise in the Pacific (DSDP Leg 62), contain discrete intervals of felsic lavas. Many, if not all, continental LIPs contain felsic components in the main series lavas (Bryan et al., 2002), but not from the Deccan and the Columbia River provinces. Eruptions of these evolved magmas can be explosive (e.g., the Ethiopian ignimbrites) and represent valuable stratigraphic and geochronologic markers if they can be correlated to felsic ash fall deposits in deep sea sediment (Peate et al., 2003). Drilling into felsic volcanic products of LIP volcanism, on both the LIP proper and in neighboring sedimentary sections, will help anchor the timing of eruptions via chronostratigraphy.

**Environmental Consequences**

Connections between large historic basaltic eruptions and perturbations of the global environment are well documented. Classic examples include the eruptions of Laki, Iceland in 1783, and Eldgjá, Iceland in 934, both of which were followed in subsequent years by historically cold summers in the northern hemisphere (Stothers, 1998). In contrast, LIPs represent much larger outpourings of basaltic magmas over much longer time scales, and recent data (Coffin and Eldholm, 1994; Kerr, 1998; Larson and Erba, 1999; Leckie et al., 2002; Snow et al., 2005; Kuroda et al., 2007) increasingly suggest temporal correlations between LIP formation and significant oceanographic, biotic, and climatic events, the most severe of which are OAEs. Greenhouse gases released from LIP magmas, as well as sediment-magma interactions and ocean chemistry changes associated with the LIP emplacement, may have had major effects on the global environment (Fig. 1b). Critical in understanding the impact LIP magmatism may have had on the environment is determining LIP volume and, more importantly, magma eruption rates. Scientific drilling has a vital role to play in identifying the mechanisms and quantifying the timescale and magnitude of effects, and interdisciplinary, synergistic collaborations among scientists from a variety of disciplines are required.

**Atmospheric Impacts of LIP Emplacement**

LIPs may impact the atmosphere, oceans, and biosphere by rapidly releasing huge amounts of magmatic volatiles (CO₂, SO₂, etc.) or volatiles (CO₂, CH₄) from intruded sediments (e.g., carbonates, organic-rich shales, evaporites) (Fig. 8). Directly or indirectly, they may cause changes in the atmosphere/ocean system that lead to perturbations of atmosphere/ocean chemistry, circulation, ecology, and biological productivity (Self et al., 2006). This was especially true in Cretaceous and Early Tertiary time, when the atmospheric CO₂ content of the atmosphere was three to seven times higher than that of today (Fig. 9), and perhaps more susceptible to short-term perturbations in ocean/atmosphere dynamics and their ensuing effects on life. Furthermore, recent compilations suggest that a sudden sea level rise (~60 m; Miller et al., 2005) was associated with the Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum (PETM) event (Kennett and Stott, 1991; Bains et al., 1999; Svensen et al., 2004), which in turn was concurrent with the formation of the North Atlantic Volcanic Province (Eldholm and Thomas, 1993).

**Oceanic Anoxic Events**

Episodes of complete depletion of oxygen below surface levels in the Earth’s oceans, known as OAEs (Schlanger and Jenkyns, 1976), represent the most momentous environmental changes in the ocean of the past 250 million years, and bear some similarities to the less impactive PETM event. Examples of linked LIP emplacement and major environmental/biological crises include (Fig. 10): Oceanic Anoxic Event (OAE)-1a in the early Aptian (~122 Ma) and the Ontong Java Plateau (~120 Ma), Manihiki Plateau (~120 Ma) and the Kerguelen Plateau (~119 Ma); OAE-2 around the Cenomanian-Turonian boundary (~94 Ma) and the Caribbean-Colombian flood basalt (~92–94 Ma); and the PETM (~55 Ma) and the North Atlantic Volcanic Province (~55 Ma).

There are two possible causal relationships between LIPs and OAEs: 1) the instigation of oceanic anoxia through global
warming by greenhouse gases (e.g., CO$_2$, CH$_4$) that leads to ocean stagnation, which in turn induces anoxia in deep/intermediate depths of the ocean; and 2) submarine volcanic eruptions and associated massive hydrothermal release of trace metals into the global ocean instigating black shale events (Snow et al., 2005). Furthermore, the connection between LIPs and marine biotic changes (including some extinctions) has been ascribed to acidification of seawater by adding CO$_2$ and SO$_2$ (Coffin and Eldholm, 1994; Kerr, 1998). However, these ideas require critical evaluation.

Exploring Linkages between LIP Emplacements and Environmental Events

To evaluate linkages between LIP emplacements and environmental events requires information on multiple topics:

1) Accurate and precise timing, duration, and magnitude of LIP magmatic activity and environmental/biotic perturbations;

2) Volatile fluxes related to LIP emplacement events (i.e., LIP magma degassing as well as those potentially derived from magma-sediment interactions) and the extent of gas release into the ocean/atmosphere environment;

3) Hydrothermal fluid compositions (especially trace metals) and fluxes related to LIP emplacement events, and the extent of their release into the ocean environment;

4) Eruptive environments (e.g., deep/shallow submarine; subaerial) and sediment-magma interactions, as well as the overall tectonic settings, of LIP events;

Temporal coincidence between LIPs and environmental crises is clear for a few examples (e.g., North Atlantic LIP, Deccan Traps, Siberian Traps), but temporal correlations between others need further evaluation.

Sediment and volcanic rock recovered by the IODP in various oceanic basins and at different paleolatitudes will provide opportunities to resolve these issues in the following ways:

- Obtaining complete, high-resolution sedimentary records from critical ocean environments (e.g., the Mesozoic pelagic/deep, shallow, and atoll; sediment from the Pacific, Indian, Arctic, and Southern oceans; and high paleo-latitude sites are critically required).

- Obtaining syn-sedimentary sections within or adjacent to individual LIPs to estimate the potential hydrothermal fluid release and gas release through sediment-magma interactions.

- Targeted drilling to bracket the duration, peak, and volume of magmatic activity (e.g., tectonic windows and feather edges of LIP basement, and syn-sedimentary sections).

- Bracketing the chronology/duration of the environmental events through recovery of sedimentary sections containing carbonates at locations permanently above the carbonate compensation depth, or CCD (e.g., Magellan Rise).
New Approaches to Drilling of LIPs

Global understanding of LIPs will benefit greatly from new approaches, with drilling as a key investigative tool. Such new approaches, detailed below, include strengthening collaboration with industry; more integrative, multidisciplinary studies; development and deployment of new technologies; and coordinated IODP-ICDP investigations.

Industry Connections to Drill LIPs

Drilling of LIPs is of interest to the hydrocarbon industry to understand the fundamental processes, in time and space, involved in LIP development and evolution. In particular, interest focuses on how these processes influence the thermal, structural, depositional, and vertical motion histories of adjacent sedimentary basins (i.e., how LIPs influence the generation, maturation, and migration of hydrocarbons). Among the various LIP categories, the primary industry interest is magma-dominated divergent continental margins and, to a lesser degree, oceanic plateaus underlain, in whole or in part, by continental crust. Specific LIPs (e.g., the Norwegian, northwest Australian, and South Atlantic margins) are in regions of interest to the hydrocarbon industry, and ties with industry should be developed to the maximum extent possible.

Collaborative IODP–industry LIP investigations could take the form of industry–academia consortia established to address topics of interest to both industry and members of the IODP community using any of the three IODP platforms. Industry contributions to such consortia could include site surveying and seismic processing/reprocessing of existing seismic data using sophisticated techniques, as well as financial support for planning, execution, and interpretation phases of drilling programs.

Joint IODP–industry drilling ventures should address highly ranked scientific objectives, and the resulting data should be public domain, or offered to the community after a brief participant-exclusive period. Joint, dedicated LIP scientific drilling ventures provide a means to expand opportunities for scientific drilling while maintaining IODP scientific integrity.

An Integrated Approach to Drilling LIPs

LIP science will be advanced in five key areas by drilling, with objectives and potential drilling sites outlined below.

Obtaining deep sections within multiple LIPs to examine magmatic (and therefore mantle source) variability through time. This will require offset drilling along a rifted LIP margin or into a deep erosional feature within a LIP. Potential locations include (a) conjugate rifted margins of the Kerguelen Plateau and Broken Ridge, Indian Ocean; (b) conjugate rifted margins of the Hikurangi and Manihiki plateaus, Pacific Ocean; (c) Danger Islands Troughs of the Manihiki Plateau, Pacific Ocean; (d) proposed conjugate rifted margins of the Ontong Java Plateau bordering the Stewart Basin, Pacific Ocean; (d) Kronenke Canyon of the Ontong Java Plateau, Pacific Ocean; and (e) flanks of the TAMU Massif on the Shatsky Rise, Pacific Ocean. Note that as one of the oldest oceanic plateaus, Shatsky Rise is an important drilling target for increasing our understanding of the processes that form LIPs as well as how they evolve over time (e.g., subsidence history, secondary volcanism), although basalts from the feature are characterized by MORB-type isotopic signatures (Mahoney et al., 2005).

Defining the nature of melting anomalies (i.e., compositional vs. thermal) that produce LIPs. Understanding the underlying mechanics and dynamics of melting anomalies can be tested where basalt composition can be related to crustal thickness or where there is evidence for anomalous mantle beneath a LIP, such as (a) diachronous V-shaped ridges around the Reykjanes Ridge south of Iceland (Fig. 3) in the North Atlantic (see section Mantle Geodynamics above for rationale); and (b) Ontong Java’s High Plateau, underlain by a 300-km-deep “root” of seismically anomalous mantle that has been
postulated to represent the fossil plume head of the OJP (Richardson et al., 2000; Klosko et al., 2001; Gomer and Okal, 2003). By determining heat flow from drill holes above the interpreted fossil plume head as well as away from it, the nature of the melting anomaly can be tested, as numerical models suggest that it should retain a detectable thermal signature.

Defining precise durations of oceanic LIP events. Two obvious ways to bracket LIP events are to (a) drill through the oldest and youngest eruptive sequences of a LIP, and (b) core a syn-LIP sedimentary sequence in an older proximal basin. Pursuing option (a) is not feasible by drilling through the entire eruptive sequence of a LIP, but if the age final eruption can be determined, the age of the start of LIP formation can be approximated by drilling through the lava flow sequence at the feather (distal) edge of a LIP. Option (b) will be pursued by drilling syn-LIP sedimentary sequences and analyzing for both age-dateable ash layers and chemical anomalies that are related to LIP formation and/or ash layers (taking into account the varying residence times in ocean water of different elements). Ideally, both options will be pursued. The feather edge of most oceanic LIPs may be drilled providing that it is distal to any volcanic vents and is not tectonic in nature. Examples of syn-LIP sedimentary sections in proximal basins include the following:

Kerguelen Plateau: Perth Basin off SW Australia, Enderby Basin and Princess Elizabeth Trough between Kerguelen and Antarctica;

Deccan Traps: Western and Northern Somali Basin west of Seychelles, where Deccan basalts crop out;

Agulhas Plateau: Transkei Basin between the Agulhas and Mozambique plateaus;

North Atlantic Volcanic Province: central and northern North Atlantic, ideally recovering sections through the Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum event;

Shatsky Rise: Northwest Pacific Basin west of Shatsky Rise;

Ontong Java Plateau: Nauru Basin west of, and East Mariana and Pigafetta basins north of, the Ontong Java Plateau.

Moreover, the ~145-Ma Magellan Rise has a carbonate, chert, and black shale (OAE) section (Winterer et al., 1973) encompassing the formations of the Ontong Java, Manihiki, and Hikurangi plateaus. Similarly, the crests of Late Jurassic and Early Cretaceous seamounts in the western Pacific preserve syn-sedimentary sections deposited above the CCD (i.e., carbonate sediments).

Defining modes of eruption-constant effusion over several million years or several large pulse events over the same time interval. This will be achieved by a) age dating of discrete ash layers in syn-sedimentary sections, b) drilling through the feather edge of a LIP reached by only the largest flows—test for age progression, and c) drilling through basement reflections interpreted to represent alternating thin and thick flows (Inoue et al., 2008).

Establishing relationships among oceanic LIPs, OAEs, and other major environmental changes (e.g., ocean acidification and fertilization). Late Jurassic and Cretaceous OAEs are known to be approximately synchronous with LIP events (Jones and Jenkyns, 2001). Syn-sedimentary sections containing OAE, and bounding intervals are critical for analyses of elemental and isotopic variations associated with OAEs. These data can be compared with similar data from synchronous LIPs. Recovery of OAE intervals at multiple locations around an oceanic LIP allows directionality of fluxes to be evaluated. Knowledge of the duration of the LIP event is required for these studies (see above).

Technology and LIP Drilling

Advances in drilling technology will improve our understanding of LIP origin, emplacement, and environmental impacts dramatically. Specifically, technologies that should either be developed or implemented by the IODP that will advance our understanding of LIPs significantly include the following:

Enhanced recovery of syn-sedimentary sections, especially those with alternating hard-soft (e.g., chert-chalk) layers. To date, recovery of intercalated hard/soft sediment from the Pacific and Indian oceans has been exceedingly difficult during the DSDP, ODP, and IODP, and poor core recovery precludes recovery of important syn-sedimentary sections.

Sidewall coring, important for recovering soft sediment from alternating hard-soft layers. OAEs encompass a maximum of 150 cm of vertical section, although recovery of underlying and overlying sediment is necessary as well for biostratigraphic dating each OAE.

Oriented cores. Linking hotspots to LIPs, especially in the Pacific, is hampered because unoriented cores yield only paleolatitude information. Oriented cores are critical for determining sediment magnetostratigraphy in low latitudes, investigating geomagnetic field behavior, studying plate motions, and establishing flow directions of lavas.

Riser drilling in >2500 m of water. This will open opportunities for drilling through the feather edges of LIPs to the basement or sediment beneath, thereby bracketing the durations of LIP events.

Collaborations between IODP and ICDP

LIPs are equally well manifested in the oceans as on land. Questions such as the nature of the mantle source of volcanic
plateaus, the ascent of magma from source to surface, magma fluxes through the life of a plateau, and the impact of LIP emplacement on global climate are best treated by a joint IODP/ICDP approach. The lessons learned from drilling on variably well exposed continental flood basalts can then be applied to the study of their more spectacular, but less accessible, oceanic counterparts. Proxies of climate change, measured in oceanic and continental sequences, provide information on the contrasting impact of the wholly submarine emplacement of an oceanic plateau and the open-to-the-atmosphere impact of continental volcanism. A key target of ICDP drilling should be the sill complexes that presumably underlie most LIPs. These complexes, relatively inaccessible in ocean basins, are important for four reasons. 1) They are an important element in the magmatic plumbing of each LIP. 2) Volatile-release at sill-sediment contacts contributes greatly to climate impact. 3) Valuable deposits of Ni, Cu, and Pt-group elements are located in these sills. 4) Intrusions in sedimentary basins influence the maturation of petroleum deposits and complicate exploration for such deposits. An understanding of the sill complexes, therefore, has important economic implications, in both continental and oceanic settings. Most importantly, unique and promising opportunities exist for combined IODP/ICDP drilling of the same LIP (e.g., onshore and offshore sections of the North Atlantic Volcanic Province; the Paraña-Etendeka flood basalts (South Atlantic); the Deccan Traps-Seychelles Bank dikes (Indian Ocean); in situ and obducted (Caribbean, Central and South America) Caribbean flood basalts; Alpha Ridge and the High Arctic LIP; and the Ontong Java Plateau and obducted sections thereof in the Solomon Islands (Pacific Ocean).

Conclusions

The LIPs workshop was highly successful in showing that studying LIPs requires an integrated approach involving mantle geodynamics, plume modeling, petrology, environmental impacts, paleoceanography, physical volcanology, micropaleontology, geophysics, and tectonics. The workshop also concluded that oceanic LIPs must be studied in concert with their continental counterparts to better understand emplacement mechanism and environmental effects of their emplacement. A number of conceptual drilling targets and prospective regions were identified. In addition, areas where technology development was needed were highlighted, as were potential LIP-focused IODP-industry and ICDP/IODP collaborations. The result of this workshop will allow focused IODP LIP drilling proposals to be developed.
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