Analyzing Two-Dimensional Effects in Central Loop Transient **Electromagnetic Data**

A total of 150 TEM soundings were recorded

Yogeshwar, P.^{1*} & Tezkan, B.¹

¹Institute of Geophysics & Meteorology, University of Cologne, Germany, *yogeshwar@geo.uni-koeln.de

Thick sedimentary sequences are deposited in the central area of the Azrag basin/Jordan consisting mostly of hyper-salite clay and various evaporates. These sediment successions form the $10 \text{ km} \times 10 \text{ km}$ Azraq mudflat and are promising archives for a palaeoclimatical reconstruction within the Collaborative Research Centre 806 (CRC-806) entitled "Our Way to Europe" [1]. We utilized the central loop Transient Electromagnetic (TEM) method to identify the subsurface resistivity structure along two 7 km and $\tilde{5}$ km long transects and, furthermore, to provide a basis for future drilling activities within the CRC-806 [5, 6]. The subsurface resistivity structure varies from around 50 Ω m on the basalt formation to around 0.3 Ω m inside the alluvial mudflat. Therefore, a 1D interpretation may not be adequate. Here we present the calculation of a semi-synthetic TEM Tipper to quantify a probable 2D effect. Although the horizontal component of the magnetic field was not measured in the field, it is possible to use the TEM Tipper to investigate if the derived subsurface model generates a 2D effect, which is e.g. larger than the data error-floor

Survey area and TEM setup



Fig. 2: (a) Geological cross-section [4], which is representative for profile A. Quasi 2D resistivity-depth section. and (c) profile B derived from the 1D Occam R1 models. The profile locations are shown on the map in Fig. 1. ections for (b) profile A

- . The 1D inversion results are consistent and in good agreement with the geology. Considering the derived 2D model, the semi-synthetic TEM-Tipper approach indicates that 8 soundings exhibit a 2D effect. Moreover, the zone where the 2D effect is maximal correlates partly with larger data residuals • The presented approach can be used to analyze if a 2D interpretation is required.
- The $U_{\!x}\mbox{-response}$ is very sensitive to sensor placement and, therefore, difficult to

Acknowledgements:

measure

(c)

The project was funded by the German Research Foundation (DFG) and hosted in the frame of the CRC-806 'Our Way to Europe'. We thank all our colleagues from the Natural Resources Authority, Jordan for great support and hospitality during the field surveys. References:

nal Maxwell's diffusion equations in the time and frequency domains. Radioscience, 29(4), 1994 rdan. Geological Mapping Division, Natural Resources Authority, Bulletin, 36, 1996. iuthority, row--, 11, 2013. - wir data. Ph.D. thesis,

Analyzing 2D effects in synthetic TEM data

Although the 1D interpretation of TEM field data has proven its feasibility in various case

Autoing the 1D interpretation of TEW field data has proven its reasoning in various case studies, data may be 2D affected for certain subsurface situations. The 1D inversion of such data can lead to significant miss-interpretations (cf. Fig. 4). In order to quantify if a certain model generates a 2D effect in TEM data, we calculate a TEM-Tipper from the ratio of the horizontal and vertical induced voltage components: $T_U = U_x/U_z$ (cf. Fig. 3(c,d)). As a cross-check for the TEM-Tipper approach we compare it to the relative difference calculation between the 2D model and a 1D background model response: R-diff = $\frac{U_{ind}^{2D} - U_{ind}^{DD}}{U^{2D}} \times 100$ (cf. Fig. 3(b), [2]). Both approaches yield comparable



results and allow to quantify which soundings and transient times are influenced by a 2D effect. The maximum 2D response is directly on the contact zone. The TEMtipper approach is convenient, as it does not require to construct a 1D background model, which is rather complicated for realistic 2D mod-



Fig. 4 : Synthetic TEM $\rho_{a,lt}$ tran eral distances from vertical contact

Analyzing 2D effects in TEM field data

The application of the above presented TEM-Tipper approach to the field data is straight forward. Herewith it is possible to quantify which soundings and transient times are 2D affected, although only U_z was measured in the field. We conclude the following:

- a Good global fitting for 2D model with $\chi = 1.47$ (cf. Figs. 3(a)). Larger residuals partly between sounding A34 and A59. This zone correlates with the
- lateral conductivity change (cf. Fig. 3(a) and (b)). Significant 2D effect between sounding A35 and A42 (cf. Fig. 3(c) and (d)). In that zone also larger residuals are present. At sounding A37 the 2D effect is maximal and occurs earliest



Contact: yogeshwar@geo.uni-koeln.de, www.geomet.uni-koeln.de

DFG Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft

and U_{τ} for sounding locations A27, A41 and A65. Additionally U_{τ} is

Fig. 6 :

Synthetic induced voltage resp

ed lines for 1.0. 2.5 and 5.0 m offset to the center of the transmitte