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[1] We report on changes in rock permeability induced by devolatilization reactions using gypsum as a reference analog material. Cylindrical samples of natural alabaster were dehydrated in air (dry) for up to 800 h at ambient pressure and temperatures between 378 and 423 K. Subsequently, the reaction kinetics, so induced changes in porosity, and the concurrent evolution of sample permeability were constrained. Weighing the heated samples in predefined time intervals yielded the reaction progress where the stoichiometric mass balance indicated an ultimate and complete dehydration to anhydrite regardless of temperature. Porosity showed to continuously increase with reaction progress from approximately 2% to 30%, whilst the initial bulk volume remained unchanged. Within these limits permeability significantly increased with porosity by almost three orders of magnitude from approximately $7 \times 10^{-19}$ m$^2$ to $3 \times 10^{-16}$ m$^2$. We show that - when mechanical and hydraulic feedbacks can be excluded - permeability, reaction progress, and porosity are related unequivocally. Citation: Milsch, H., M. Priegnitz, and G. Blöcher (2011), Permeability of gypsum samples dehydrated in air, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, L18304, doi:10.1029/2011GL048797.

1. Introduction

[2] Devolatilization reactions have been suggested to play a key role for fluid transport, fluid budget, and seismicity in metamorphic environments and particularly in subduction zones [e.g., Kirby et al., 1996; Hacker et al., 2003; Milsch and Scholz, 2005; Brantut et al., 2011]. Experimentally, three devolatilization reactions received particular emphasis in this context: (R1) the reaction quartz + calcite $\rightarrow$ wollastonite + CO$_2$, (R2) the dehydration of serpentine to olivine + talc + H$_2$O, and (R3) the dehydration of gypsum as in the present case. Since the seminal studies by Raleigh and Paterson [1965] and Heard and Rubey [1966] the majority of investigations were focused on mechanical and hydraulic effects associated with such reactions (e.g., grain size sensitive flow, rock embrittlement, excess pore pressures, and fluid expulsion). Llana-Fúnez et al. [2007], Arkwright et al. [2008], and Rutter et al. [2009] provide recent literature reviews on these topics. Only three studies were identified where direct permeability measurements during an ongoing devolatilization reaction were performed (R1 [Zhang et al., 2000]; R2 [Tenthorey and Cox, 2003]) or were attempted (R1 [Milsch et al., 2003]). We have no indication of published permeability data for dehydrating gypsum (R3).

[3] Gypsum has repeatedly been used as an analog material principally for its abundance and its low dehydration temperature. Ballirano and Melis [2009] concluded that in air, at a water vapor partial pressure of ca. 1.4 kPa, and in the temperature range of 348 to 403 K, gypsum (CaSO$_4$$\cdot$2H$_2$O) dehydrates to $\gamma$-anhydrite (CaSO$_4$) via an intermediate step forming hemihydrate (bassanite; CaSO$_4$$\cdot$0.5H$_2$O) first:

$$\text{CaSO}_4$$\text{•}2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CaSO}_4$$\text{•}0.5\text{H}_2\text{O} + 3/2 \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CaSO}_4 + 2 \text{H}_2\text{O}. \quad (1)$$

This type of material was also used in previous rock physical studies on gypsum (S. Llana-Fúnez, personal communication, 2010). The material appears homogeneous, dense and contains no visible flaws or fractures. Cores of 25 mm in diameter were drilled from this block and the end faces were surface-ground yielding a sample length of 50 mm. The dimensions of each sample and its mass ($m_0$) were measured with a caliper and a Sartorius analytical balance with $10^{-3}$ g resolution, respectively. Mass measurements were found to be reproducible by $\pm 5 \times 10^{-3}$ g. The average starting mass of the samples was $m_{0,av} = 55.9 \pm 0.2$ g. Table 1 shows a summary of all samples tested including reaction temperature and time as well as the types of subsequent measurements, if applicable.

2. Sample Material and Experimental Procedures

2.1. Sample Material

[4] A slab $30 \times 10 \times 10$ cm$^3$ of natural quarried alabaster gypsum was purchased from Alabastri Vanzi, Volterra, Italy. This type of material was also used in previous rock physical studies on gypsum (S. Llana-Fúnez, personal communication, 2010). The material appears homogeneous, dense and contains no visible flaws or fractures. Cores of 25 mm in diameter were drilled from this block and the end faces were surface-ground yielding a sample length of 50 mm. The dimensions of each sample and its mass ($m_0$) were measured with a caliper and a Sartorius analytical balance with $10^{-3}$ g resolution, respectively. Mass measurements were found to be reproducible by $\pm 5 \times 10^{-3}$ g. The average starting mass of the samples was $m_{0,av} = 55.9 \pm 0.2$ g. Table 1 shows a summary of all samples tested including reaction temperature and time as well as the types of subsequent measurements, if applicable.

2.2. Sample Dehydration

[5] Dehydration of the samples had a twofold purpose: (A) to investigate the transformation kinetics and (B) to later perform porosity and permeability measurements on samples...
Table 1. Summary of Samples, Measurements and Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Temperature (K)</th>
<th>Heating Time (h)</th>
<th>Maximum Transformed Fraction (1)</th>
<th>Kinetics</th>
<th>Porosity (%)</th>
<th>Permeability (10⁻¹⁸ m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9_1</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10_1</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2.3⁻⁷</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4.9⁻⁷</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10_2</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9_2</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29a</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>442</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Average of samples 9_1 and 10_1.

Calculated with equation (3).

Used only for microstructural investigations and Hg-porosimetry.

reflecting different levels of reaction progress. Four dehydration temperatures, $T = 378, 388, 398$, and 423 K, were selected that should cover both the bassanite and the $\gamma$-anhydrite stability field as reported by Ballirano and Melis [2009].

Dehydration was performed by heating the samples at a given temperature level for certain time periods in a pre-heated Memmert UNB400 universal oven with natural air circulation (convection). After heating, the samples were weighed again ($m_f$) and were then stored in sealed plastic bags and at room temperature to minimize the risk of hydration by air humidity. Subsequent weight measurements proved the appropriateness of this procedure. The mass difference ($m_f - m_i$) then was the basic quantity to evaluate the reaction progress as outlined in Section 3.2.

2.3. Porosity Measurements and Microstructural Investigations

From the various methods to determine porosity [e.g., Guéguen and Palciauskas, 1994] gas-pycnometry was found to be the most appropriate for the present study as it is fast, non-destructive, and inert. Porosity measurements were therefore performed with a Micromeritics AccuPyc helium (He) pycnometer at the Technical University Berlin. A vacuum effect on dehydration was controlled by weighing the samples before and after each measurement and was not observed. Porosity was measured only for selected samples that were further used for permeability measurements (Table 1).

One end member sample was also investigated microstructurally. We selected one sample completely dehydrated to anhydrite at 423 K for 48 h (Table 1). This specimen was saw-cut dry along the vertical axis into two equal halves. One of these halves was used for thin section preparation under water-absent conditions. The thin section was finally investigated optically and with a Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM; Carl Zeiss Ultra 55 Plus) in Secondary Electron (SE) mode. Three SEM-micrographs can be found in the auxiliary material as Figures S1a–S1c. The second half was used for mercury (Hg) porosimetry (Fisons Instruments Porosimeter 2000 WS). The injection curve is shown in the auxiliary material as Figure S2 and will be discussed in Section 3.3.

2.4. Permeability Measurements

Permeability was measured with a conventional gas-permeameter. The use of an inert gas allowed permeability measurements at laboratory temperature conditions (293 ± 1 K) without hydrating a sample and thus destroying the frozen-in microstructure. The permeameter consists of a small pressure vessel, a core holder, pressure gages for confining, up-, and downstream pressure, and three flow meters (MKS Instruments) with a maximum rating of 10, 100, and 1000 ml/min, respectively. The latter were selected depending on sample permeability and related resolution requirements. An impermeable and soft Neoprene sleeve with 25 mm inner diameter was used as the jacket material.

Argon provided from a 20 MPa gas bottle was used as both confining and pore pressure medium. Confining pressure was applied first and one single pressure level of 3 MPa was chosen for all measurements. This level was a compromise between minimizing the risk of instantaneous or transient sample compaction and the flexibility to apply several differential pore pressure steps. Subsequently, pore pressure was applied and flow was initiated with a regulator valve. At steady-state, flow rate was measured at four upstream pressure levels of 0.5, 1, 1.5, and 2 MPa, respectively. The downstream side of the sample was vented to the atmosphere after the flow meters. Finally, permeability was calculated and the Klinkenberg-correction was per-
was set by the oven temperature so that the initial temperature boundary conditions heat the center of the sample to the oven temperature. In the simulation was performed to estimate the time required to heat the sample to the oven temperature. The simulation was therefore conducted for all target temperatures. A stoichiometric balance was therefore performed with respect to both minerals by relating the molar masses of the respective solid educt and product as well as the starting and final masses of a sample according to:

$$\alpha_{b,a} = \frac{M_a}{M_b} \frac{m_0 - m_b}{m_0} . \tag{2}$$

for bassanite and anhydrite, respectively. Here, $M_a$, $M_b$, and $M_c$ are the molar masses of gypsum (172.17 g/mol), bassanite (145.14 g/mol), and anhydrite (136.13 g/mol), respectively. In applying equation (2) it is assumed that all water released by dehydration escapes from a sample. For a representation of the reaction progress in Figure 1, equation (2) with bassanite molar mass was used throughout. This allows an obvious distinction between an ultimate dehydration to bassanite ($\alpha_b = 1$) and anhydrite ($\alpha_b = 1.33$), respectively. This also permits an estimate of the amount of impurities in the samples when $\alpha_b = 1$ or 1.33 is not attained and the reaction progress remains constant after a certain time period.

[13] In Figure 1, each point represents an individual mass measurement. Gaps in the curves indicate nighttimes where no measurements were made. One notices that the reaction rate systematically increased with an increase in dehydration temperature. With regard to the maximum heating time reported in Section 3.1 it showed that the maximum reaction progress during the heating stage itself was only 4% for the runs at 423 K. It is evident that for all temperatures $\alpha_b$ exceeds a value of 1. Also, at 388, 398, and 423 K values of $\alpha_b$ close to 1.33 were observed within heating times not exceeding 100 h. Additionally, a single long-term test conducted at 378 K for 800 h indicates that complete dehydration to anhydrite can also be attained at this temperature (Table 1). It can also be noted that the reproducibility of a test in terms of the observed reaction progress was excellent implying that the material selected was homogeneous in composition and properties. Finally, the impurity content of the samples was found to be less than 1% by mass.

[14] The data was further evaluated to derive a temperature dependent rate law for the overall reaction. As anhydrite was the ultimate reaction product for all temperatures, reaction progress $\alpha$ was calculated using equation (2) with anhydrite molar mass. We then applied the Avrami equation in the form $\alpha = 1 - \exp \left( - (kt)^m \right)$ and subsequently the Arrhenius equation $k_0 = A \exp \left( -E_a/RT \right)$, with $k_0$ the rate constant, $t$ the time, $m$ the Avrami exponent, $A$ the frequency factor, $E_a$ the apparent activation energy, and $R$ the universal gas constant. For $T = 378, 388, 398$, and 423 K one obtains $k_0 = [4.9, 11.2, 19.8,$ and $71.8] \times 10^{-6}$ 1/s as well as $m = 1.32, 1.37, 1.30,$ and 1.54, respectively, yielding an activation energy of approximately 78 kJ/mol with no obvious change in transformation mechanism. All parameters $k_0, m,$ and $E_a$ differ significantly from the ones reported by Ballirano and Melis [2009] despite environmentally comparable conditions. Therefore, powdered specimens as used by these and other authors (see Ballirano and Melis [2009] for reviews) evidently transform differently than polycrystalline aggregates. Furthermore, the derived kinetic parameters $k_0, m,$ and $E_a$ do not necessarily disclose the individual, possibly multi-step, reaction mechanism [e.g., Ball and Norwood, 1969] implying a future need for more detailed investigations on the rate-controlling factors.

3.2. Reaction Kinetics

[12] Following the procedure outlined in Section 2.2 the mass difference measured ($m_0 - m$) yields a direct representation of the bulk reaction progress $\alpha$. A priori it is uncertain whether gypsum transforms to only bassanite or anhydrite at a given temperature. A stoichiometric balance was therefore performed with respect to both minerals by relating the molar masses of the respective solid educt and product as well as the starting and final masses of a sample according to:

$$\alpha_{b,a} = \frac{M_a}{M_b} \frac{m_0 - m_b}{m_0} . \tag{2}$$

for bassanite and anhydrite, respectively. Here, $M_a$, $M_b$, and $M_c$ are the molar masses of gypsum (172.17 g/mol), bassanite (145.14 g/mol), and anhydrite (136.13 g/mol), respectively. In applying equation (2) it is assumed that all water released by dehydration escapes from a sample. For a representation of the reaction progress in Figure 1, equation (2) with bassanite molar mass was used throughout. This allows an obvious distinction between an ultimate dehydration to bassanite ($\alpha_b = 1$) and anhydrite ($\alpha_b = 1.33$), respectively. This also permits an estimate of the amount of impurities in the samples when $\alpha_b = 1$ or 1.33 is not attained and the reaction progress remains constant after a certain time period.

[13] In Figure 1, each point represents an individual mass measurement. Gaps in the curves indicate nighttimes where no measurements were made. One notices that the reaction rate systematically increased with an increase in dehydration temperature. With regard to the maximum heating time reported in Section 3.1 it showed that the maximum reaction progress during the heating stage itself was only 4% for the runs at 423 K. It is evident that for all temperatures $\alpha_b$ exceeds a value of 1. Also, at 388, 398, and 423 K values of $\alpha_b$ close to 1.33 were observed within heating times not exceeding 100 h. Additionally, a single long-term test conducted at 378 K for 800 h indicates that complete dehydration to anhydrite can also be attained at this temperature (Table 1). It can also be noted that the reproducibility of a test in terms of the observed reaction progress was excellent implying that the material selected was homogeneous in composition and properties. Finally, the impurity content of the samples was found to be less than 1% by mass.

[14] The data was further evaluated to derive a temperature dependent rate law for the overall reaction. As anhydrite was the ultimate reaction product for all temperatures, reaction progress $\alpha$ was calculated using equation (2) with anhydrite molar mass. We then applied the Avrami equation in the form $\alpha = 1 - \exp \left( - (kt)^m \right)$ and subsequently the Arrhenius equation $k_0 = A \exp \left( -E_a/RT \right)$, with $k_0$ the rate constant, $t$ the time, $m$ the Avrami exponent, $A$ the frequency factor, $E_a$ the apparent activation energy, and $R$ the universal gas constant. For $T = 378, 388, 398$, and 423 K one obtains $k_0 = [4.9, 11.2, 19.8,$ and $71.8] \times 10^{-6}$ 1/s as well as $m = 1.32, 1.37, 1.30,$ and 1.54, respectively, yielding an activation energy of approximately 78 kJ/mol with no obvious change in transformation mechanism. All parameters $k_0, m,$ and $E_a$ differ significantly from the ones reported by Ballirano and Melis [2009] despite environmentally comparable conditions. Therefore, powdered specimens as used by these and other authors (see Ballirano and Melis [2009] for reviews) evidently transform differently than polycrystalline aggregates. Furthermore, the derived kinetic parameters $k_0, m,$ and $E_a$ do not necessarily disclose the individual, possibly multi-step, reaction mechanism [e.g., Ball and Norwood, 1969] implying a future need for more detailed investigations on the rate-controlling factors.
3.3. Porosity and Microstructural Evolution

Porosity is measured on 11 samples (Table 1) and was observed to continuously increase with reaction progress based on equation (2) (Figure 2, dots). Starting from approximately 2 ± 0.5% at $\alpha_a = \alpha_b = 0$ (pure gypsum) porosity increased to 30% at $\alpha_b = 1.33$ (pure anhydrite), which is about 9% less than theoretically expected for complete dehydration (Section 1).

In Figure 2 the line represents the theoretically expected porosity as a function of $\alpha_b$ according to equation (3). One notices a progressive departure of the measured values from the modeled ones for $\alpha_b > 0.6$ (Section 3.3).

$\varphi_b = 1 - \frac{m_0}{V_0} \left( \frac{1 - \alpha_b \left( \frac{M_g - M_b}{M_g} \right)}{(1 - \alpha_a)\rho_g + \alpha_b\rho_b} \right)$.

with $V_0$, $\rho_g$, and $\rho_b$ the (initial) sample bulk volume (24.54 cm$^3$), the gypsum density (2.31 g/cm$^3$) and the bassanite density (2.73 g/cm$^3$), respectively. One notices that there is an excellent agreement of the data with the predictions for $\alpha_b < 0.6$. Above, the measured values progressively depart from the modeled ones. In view of the good data quality and the important fact that the bulk volume of the samples did not change this suggests that progressively smaller pores were generated by dehydration. These may not form a percolating cluster and/or pose limitations to the measurement technique itself due to their size, as the typical duration of a single measurement is only ca. 5 min. This finally implies that total porosity was no longer fully determined for $\alpha_b > 0.6$. In fact, when calculating porosity $\varphi_a$ of end member samples ($\alpha_b$ close to 1.33 in Table 1) via bulk ($\rho_B = m_i/V_0$) and anhydrite densities ($\rho_a = 2.98$ g/cm$^3$) according to $\varphi_a = 1 - (\rho_B/\rho_a)$ this yields $\varphi_a \approx 39\%$, as expected.

[17] This reasoning is supported by microstructural investigations. Figure S1 shows three SEM-micrographs of a sample completely dehydrated to anhydrite at 423 K for 48 h (Section 2.3 and Table 1) at magnifications of 2 k (a), 15 k (b), and 40 k (c), respectively. One notices a complex microstructure with a larger and connected pore network tracing the initial grain shape of the gypsum crystals (Figure S1a). At higher magnifications, individual former gypsum grains show to be strongly decomposed and a fractal-like microporosity with nm-size channels developed (Figures S1b and S1c). 2D-image analysis performed on Figures S1a and S1c suggests that the dominant porosity accounts for ca. 16% and 23% of the image area, respectively, yielding an excellent agreement with the expected total porosity.

[18] In Figure S2 the Hg-injection curve on the same sample indicates a broad pore radius distribution with nearly all porosity contained within a throat radius interval ranging from 0.02 to 0.5 μm. As for He-pycnometry, theoretical and true total porosity is significantly underestimated, here by ca. 13%. Again, this may indicate a lack in connectivity but might also be due to compaction during injection at high pressure for pore radii <20 nm. However, Hg-porosimetry in the present case was limited to measuring pores >3.8 nm which, in view of Figure S1c, constrains the ability of the technique to account for the total porosity even if it were connected.

3.4. Permeability

Permeability $k$ was measured on 12 samples (Table 1). For each sample and pore pressure step the measurement was performed twice to yield average values and to estimate experimental uncertainties. Measured individual permeability was found to be reproducible within ±20%. Following the procedure described in Section 2.4, Klinkenberg-corrected and average values of permeability are shown in Figure 3 (dots) as a function of measured porosity. At 2% porosity
(\(\alpha_p = 0\)), permeability was measured as 7 \(\times 10^{-19}\) m². Permeability then increased dramatically by a factor of 150 to 1 \(\times 10^{-16}\) m² at 18% porosity (\(\alpha_p = 0.5\)). With further reaction progress, permeability only increased slightly to a maximum of (3 \(\pm 1\)) \(\times 10^{-16}\) m² at 30% porosity (\(\alpha_p = 1.33\)).

In Figure 3 the solid line represents the two-regime power-law dependence of permeability on porosity proposed by Wang and Wong [2003] based on the study by Ko et al. [1997] which was conducted wet and at elevated pressures. One notices that the data departs from this prediction by one to two orders of magnitude, depending on porosity. We found a better representation of the data with a modified power-law (dashed line) according to:

\[
k = 2 \times 10^{-18} (\frac{\varphi}{0.02})^n ,
\]

with \(n = 9\) if \(\varphi < 2\%\) and \(n = 2\) if \(\varphi \geq 2\%\). An exponent \(n = 9\) for the percolation regime is somewhat arbitrary due to the lack of data but was chosen for consistency with the study by Wang and Wong [2003]. When porosity is fully connected permeability scales with porosity squared corresponding to the tube model suggested by Gueguen and Dienes [1989]. An alternative expression of equation (4) can be given within a percolation framework according to \(k = f \times 10^{-18} (\varphi/0.02)^2\), where \(f\) is the percolation factor with \(f = 1\) if \(\varphi \geq 2\%\) and \(f = (\varphi/0.02)^2\) if \(\varphi < 2\%\). Moreover, equation (4) can be combined with equation (3) to yield an alternative expression relating permeability and reaction progress. When introducing the kinetic results from Section 3.2 into equation (3) one finally obtains rate laws for the temperature dependent evolution of both porosity and permeability.

4. Conclusions

[21] We investigated the effect of dehydration on the permeability evolution of natural gypsum aggregates. Dehydration was performed in air (dry) and, by selecting appropriate experimental procedures and measurement techniques, mechanical and hydraulic feedbacks were excluded. There was no evidence for a particular bassanite stability field as for all temperatures investigated gypsum ultimately dehydrated to anhydrite. The microstructure of the reaction end member (pure anhydrite) was found to be strongly scale dependent including a striking fractal-like microporosity. Total porosity significantly increased to values theoretically predicted, but up to one third may be unconnected. Beyond a percolation regime, permeability scales with the square of porosity implying a tube-like pore network.

[22] In a natural setting (e.g., a subduction zone environment) high permeabilities as observed in this study may provide effective fluid drainage eventually inhibiting embrittlement. However, the devolatilizing rock may transiently compact yielding a shift in the percolation threshold, ultra low permeability despite fluid drainage, and excess pore pressures inducing localized hydraulic fracturing [Milsch et al., 2003]. To what extent these phenomena apply is strongly dependent on the drainage state of the surrounding rock, the external stress conditions, and the intrinsic mechanical properties of the rock matrix and the constituent minerals which in turn also depend on temperature.

[23] Gypsum will continue to be a suitable analog material to study the coupled effects of these parameters on the evolution of a devolatilizing rock system. The results obtained here represent an upper bound reference data baseline for immediate future investigations, e.g., on permeability at elevated pressures and the concurrent microstructural evolution with reaction progress under both dry and wet conditions. This will allow to establish time-dependent physical permeability-porosity relationships but also effective medium bounds, e.g., for P-wave velocity as a second important rock physical parameter.
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