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Introduction

Annually laminated (varved) lake sediments document past climate and environmental changes at high resolution beyond instrumental time series on independent and robust chronologies (Brauer et al., 1999a, 2008; Czymzik et al., 2013; Martin-Puertas et al., 2012; Zolitschka et al., 2015). They provide information especially on the timing, duration and rates of change within the human habitat (Brauer, 2004; Ojala et al., 2012; Tylmann et al., 2013; Zolitschka et al., 2015). However, lake sediment proxies respond not solely to regional variability but also to local effects controlled by site-specific factors (Bonk et al., 2016; Dräger et al., 2017; Kämpf et al., 2014; Neugebauer et al., 2015; Pędziszewska et al., 2015). Therefore, it is an essential prerequisite for interpreting past climate changes from lake sediments to disentangle regional and local proxy signals. One approach to detect the role of local effects is the comparison of lake sediment records in close vicinity to each other (Olsen et al., 2012; Roberts et al., 2016). The few available studies following this approach, however, focused on millennial-scale variability but not on decadal-scale changes. A major challenge for comparing lake records at great detail is a precise synchronization independent from proxy data, for example, through the use of volcanic ash (tephra) layers (Lowe, 2011). Recent advances in the detection of macroscopically non-visible tephra (cryptotephra) have been proven suitable for lake record synchronization (Davies, 2015; Davies et al., 2015).
Lauterbach et al., 2011; Ott et al., 2016; Pędziszewska et al., records a key target for climate reconstructions (Goslar, 1995; 1999; Yu and Harrison, 1995) making Polish lake sediment records regional differences of climate change (Lane et al., 2013; Wulf et al., 2016). The southern Baltic lowlands spanning from north-eastern Germany and Poland to the Baltic States are of particular interest for climate research because this region covers the transitional zone between Atlantic Westerlies and continental Siberian air masses. The interplay of these large-scale atmospheric circulation patterns explains up to 77% of the temperature variations over the Polish area (Degirmendzic et al., 2004; Filippiak and Mietus, 2009; Latalowa et al., 2013; Luterbacher et al., 2010; Wibig, 1999; Yu and Harrison, 1995) making Polish lake sediment records a key target for climate reconstructions (Goslar, 1995; Lauterbach et al., 2011; Ott et al., 2016; Pędziszewska et al., 2015). Some recent studies of varved lake sediments have proven site-specific factors, including increased erosion by land-use changes or strong interrelations between varve preservation and lake circulation influencing lake sedimentation (Bonk et al., 2016; Dräger et al., 2017; Wacnik et al., 2016); however, no high-resolution comparative studies of lake sediments for this region are available so far.

Here, we present the first high-resolution comparison of three neighbouring varved lake records in Northern Poland, synchronized by the Askja AD 1875 cryptotephra, covering the transition from the late ‘Little Ice Age’ (LIA) to the most recent warming. These lakes differ in their morphometric and bathymetric characteristics, but all are located in similar glacial till and outwash plain deposits of the last glaciation. Two of these lakes, lakes Głęboczek (JG) and Czechowskie (JC), even are located within the same catchment, while Lake Jelonke (JEL) is located only 15 km further to the South. The aim of this approach is to decipher between local (site-specific) and regional (climate) signals in sedimentological and geochemical proxy data. Ultimately, we aim at a better understanding of sediment responses to regional-scale climate and environmental changes.

**Studies sites**

JG (53°52′N; 18°12′E; 118 m a.s.l.), JC (53°52′N; 18°14′E; 108 m a.s.l.) and JEL (53°45′N; 18°23′E; 90 m a.s.l.) are located within the Pomeranian Lakeland close to the Pomeranian ice margin dated between 17 and 16 cal. ka BP (Marks, 2012; Figure 1). Their catchments are composed of glacial till and outwash plain deposits (Blaszkiewicz, 2005; Blaszkiewicz et al., 2015; Kordowski et al., 2014; Slowiński et al., 2015). All three lake basins formed after the melting of dead ice blocks either in subglacial channels (JC and JEL) or in a kettle hole (JG). Present-day climatic conditions in north-central Poland are characterized by a warm summer continental climate. Monthly temperatures for Chojnice, the closest weather station at ca. 50 km distance from JC, range from −2.5°C in January to 17°C in July. Total annual precipitation reaches 590 mm with distinct summer maxima in July (82 mm) and August (70 mm).

JG has a maximum water depth of 18 m and, with a surface area of 7 ha, is the smallest of the three lakes (Table 1). The shoreline is covered predominantly by pine forest and some subordinated grassland in the northern part. The lake has a small inflow in the NW and an outflow to the SE. The outflow drains into the Trzechowskie palaeolake (TRZ) basin (Figure 1) from where it discharges into JC at its NW edge (Figure 1). Together with the TRZ palaeolake, JC and JG form a cascade of lakes within the same catchment and an elevation difference of 10 m (Figure 1).

JC is the deepest (32 m) and the largest (73 ha) of the study lakes (Table 1). The lake is divided in a shallow western (11 m) and a deep eastern basin (32 m), separated by a sill at 8 m water depth. The shoreline is covered by pine trees in the S and E, small settlements in the N and W and grassland and arable land in the SW, W and N of the lake (Figure 1). The lake has two small inflows entering the shallow basin in NW and N and one small outflow in the E. The sediment core has been retrieved from a small depression in the deepest basin. JEL is located 15 km SE away from JC and surrounded by a dense pine forest (Blaszkiewicz et al., 2015; Filbrandt-Czaja, 2009). The lake covers 19 ha and has a maximum water depth of 13 m (Table 1). The lake has one outflow in the SE discharging into the Wda river (Figure 1).

**Methods**

**Sediment coring**

Surface sediment cores were retrieved from the three lakes at their deepest points using a Ghilardi gravity corer (Ø: 60 mm) at JG and JC and an UWITEC gravity corer (Ø: 90 mm) at JC and JEL (Table 2). The sediment cores were labelled according to the study site, year of coring and running number of obtained sediment cores. Each core was cut lengthwise into two halves (work and archive halves), documented, photographed and stored at 4°C. Core analyses included in this study are from cores JG13-K1, JC10-K2, JC10-K7, JC11-K5, JC12-K2 JEL13-K4 and JEL13-K7. JC10-K2 has been used for 137Cs activity concentration measurements, JC10-K7 for varve counting and micro-facies analyses, JC11-K5 for bulk geochemistry and JC12-K2 for cryptotephra search and µ-XRF element scanning. JEL13-K4 has been used for cryptotephra identification and JEL13-K7 for varve counting and micro-facies analyses, bulk geochemistry and µ-XRF element scanning. In case of more than one core analysed from a lake (JC and JEL), a robust correlation based on distinct microscopic and macroscopic marker layers has been established.

**Micro-facies analyses**

Sediment slabs were cut from the working half of the core with an overlap of 2 cm for preparing petrographic thin sections (10 cm × 2 cm) following the procedures described by Brauer and Casanova (2001). Micro-facies analyses were carried out using a petrographic microscope (Zeiss Axio phot) with varying magnifications (25×–200×) and included varve counting, total varve and varve sublayer thickness measurements, as well as sublayer compositions. Photographs of sublayers or specific sublayer components were acquired with an Olympus DP 72 microscope camera system.

**Chronology**

Independent dating has been established by varve counting and tephrachronology for all three lakes and 137Cs activity concentration measurements for JC in order to prove annual layer counting. All ages are given in years AD.

Annual layer counting is based on precise varve and sublayer boundary definition even for intervals of low sedimentation rates. Each varve chronology is based on two independent varve counts by different investigators. Chronological uncertainties have been estimated from the deviation of the two counts (Brauer et al., 2014). Intervals with poor (JC) or even absent (JEL) varve preservation have been interpolated based on the mean sedimentation rate of 20 adjacent varves. JC and JG revealed continuous varve chronologies up to present time, and the top of the profile corresponds to the year of sediment coring (JG: 2013; JC: 2010). The JEL varve chronology is floating because of the lack of varves in the uppermost part. The floating chronology has been anchored to the Askja AD 1875 tephra and sedimentation rate of the uppermost non-varved interval has been extrapolated.

Following an earlier identification of the Askja AD 1875 tephra in the JC sediment record (Wulf et al., 2016), we applied...
the same methodological approach to search for tephra in the JG and JEL records, including (1) sampling (1 cm³/cm) of sediment intervals selected according to preliminary varve counts, (2) separation of volcanic glass shards and (3) geochemical identification. Continuous samples were taken in 2–5 cm increments from 20 to 50 cm (JG13-K1), 15 to 74 cm (JC12-K2) and 40 to 67 cm (JEL13-K4). After initial microscopic detection of glass shards, all cores were re-sampled in 1 cm increments from 19 to 26 cm (JG13-K1), 35 to 45 cm (JC12-K2) and 38 to 55 cm (JEL13-K4). Details of chemical and physical separation of glass shards, microscopic inspection and geochemical identification are described in detail in Wulf et al. (2016). Compositional data of volcanic glass shards were re-calculated on a volatile-free basis and are summarized in Table 3 and plotted against EPMA data of potential tephra correlates in a Harker diagram (Figure 4).
Table 1. Selected lake and catchment parameters of Lake Głęboczek (JG), Lake Czechowskie (JC) and Lake Jelonek (JEL).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>JG</th>
<th>JC</th>
<th>JEL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Pomeranian Lakeland, Northern Poland</td>
<td>53°52′N/18°12′E</td>
<td>53°52′N/18°14′E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordinates</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface area (ha)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>19.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catchment area (km²)</td>
<td>7.05</td>
<td>7.32</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume (m³)</td>
<td>3.7 × 10⁵</td>
<td>5.8 × 10⁶</td>
<td>8.1 × 10⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum water depth (m)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean water depth (m)</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>8.08</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum length (m)</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum width (m)</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Overview of sediment cores used for different analyses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composite profile</th>
<th>JG13-K1</th>
<th>JC10-K7</th>
<th>JEL13-K7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chronology</td>
<td>Varve chronology</td>
<td>Tephrochronology</td>
<td>137Cs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JC13-K1</td>
<td>JC12-K2</td>
<td>JC11-K5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JG13-K1</td>
<td>JEL13-K7</td>
<td>JEL13-K7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JEL13-K7</td>
<td>JEL13-K7</td>
<td>JEL13-K7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JG13-K1</td>
<td>JEL13-K7</td>
<td>JEL13-K7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JC12-K2</td>
<td>JEL13-K7</td>
<td>JEL13-K7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JG13-K1</td>
<td>JEL13-K7</td>
<td>JEL13-K7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JEL13-K7</td>
<td>JEL13-K7</td>
<td>JEL13-K7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JG13-K1</td>
<td>JEL13-K7</td>
<td>JEL13-K7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JC12-K2</td>
<td>JEL13-K7</td>
<td>JEL13-K7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JG13-K1</td>
<td>JEL13-K7</td>
<td>JEL13-K7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JEL13-K7</td>
<td>JEL13-K7</td>
<td>JEL13-K7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

137Cs activity concentration measurements have been carried out on continuous 2-cm sample increments of the uppermost 60 cm of core JC10-K2 at the Institute of Applied Science in Ravensburg-Weingarten with a coaxial High-Purity Germanium (HPGe) Detector of Canberra-Eurys. Measuring time varied between 24 and 72 h per sample, depending on the activity concentration.

**Geochemistry**

Samples for bulk geochemical analyses (total organic carbon (TOC), total carbon (TC) and total nitrogen (TN)) were continuously taken in 1 cm intervals for JC. Because of the different sedimentation rates in the other two sediment records, JG and JEL, they have been sampled in 0.2–1.5 cm and 0.5–2.5 cm intervals, respectively, in order to provide a similar sub-decadal temporal resolution for the three records. All samples were freeze-dried, homogenized and analysed for carbon (TC and TOC) and nitrogen (TN) contents using elemental analyser (JC: EA3000 CarboErba). TC and TN contents were determined using 3–5 mg sample material aliquots loaded in Sn-capsules, wrapped and measured. For TOC content determination, about 2–3 mg sample aliquots were loaded in Ag-capsules, in situ decalcified (treated with 20% HCl), dried at 75°C and finally wrapped and measured. The calibration was performed using certified elemental standards and proofed with soil reference samples (HEK/Atech Boden 2 and Boden 3). The reproducibility of replicate analyses is 0.2%. The CaCO3 content was calculated using the following equation: total inorganic carbon (TIC) × 8.33 (TIC = TC − TOC). The data are further normalized to z-scores to account for scaling effects resulting from different concentration ranges.

Semi-quantitative geochemical composition has been measured using the ITRAX µ-XRF spectrometer (Croudace et al., 2006) equipped with a chromium tube. Measurements were performed on a fresh and smooth surface of the archive halves using a step size of 200 µm, an exposure time of 10 s, a voltage of 30 kV and a current of 30 mA. Element intensities (counts per second) are displayed as log-ratios or centre-log-ratios (clr), to minimize the effects of sample geometry and matrix variations (Tjallingii et al., 2007; Weltje et al., 2015). µ-XRF results are re-calculated for annual resolution and are displayed together with their 30-year running mean.

**Meteorological data**

Air temperature data from the meteorological stations in Chojnice (ca. 50 km south-west of the study sites) and Koszalin (140 km north-west of the study sites) were used (Figure 2). The data from Chojnice cover the period 1951–2010 with daily resolution and are provided by the Institute of Meteorology and Water Management (IMGW). The monthly resolved Koszalin data set covers the period from 1848 to 2000 with missing data between 1930 and 1950 provided by the Global Historical Climatology Network (GHCN; Peterson and Vose, 1997; Peterson et al., 1998). Mean seasonal air temperatures (DJF, MAM, JJA and SON) have been calculated from the Koszalin data. Significant changes in mean air temperature have been detected by changes in mean and variance of the Koszalin and Chojnice data using the binary segmentation and PELT approach implemented in the R-package ‘changepoint’ (Killick and Eckley, 2014). To assess the number of frost days per year, all days with a mean air temperature below 0°C have been summed up for their corresponding years based on the Chojnice data (Figure 2).

**Results**

**Sediment micro-facies**

This study focused on the last 140 years, and the lower boundary of the studied sediment profiles is defined by the Askja AD 1875 tephra identified in all three sediment records. The most obvious difference appears in the sedimentation rates, which is for the study interval 24 cm in JG, but about the double in JC (48 cm) and JEL (50 cm; Figure 3).

Sediments in the JG profile are composed of finely laminated lacustrine sediments which can be divided into two lithologies (Figure 3). From 22 to 8 cm and from 2 to 0 cm, the sediment is characterized by yellowish couplets of sublayers of planktonic diatoms (Stephanodiscus spp.) and sublayers of planktonic and epiphytic diatoms and...
Table 3. Individual, non-normalized major element glass data of the cryptotephras JG13-K1-23–24 cm, JC12-K2-35–36 cm and JEL13-K4-51–53 cm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>TiO₂</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>FeO</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>Na₂O</th>
<th>K₂O</th>
<th>P₂O₅</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Cl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lake Głęboczek</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JG13-K1-23–24 cm</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>99.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JG13-K1-23–24 cm</td>
<td>73.92</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>12.64</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>99.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JG13-K1-23–24 cm</td>
<td>73.24</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>13.01</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>100.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JG13-K1-23–24 cm</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>12.56</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>99.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JG13-K1-23–24 cm</td>
<td>71.05</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>12.96</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>99.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JG13-K1-23–24 cm</td>
<td>73.32</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>12.86</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>99.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JG13-K1-23–24 cm</td>
<td>74.65</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>12.77</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>99.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JG13-K1-23–24 cm</td>
<td>73.92</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>12.37</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>99.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JG13-K1-23–24 cm</td>
<td>72.72</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>12.69</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>98.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JG13-K1-23–24 cm</td>
<td>72.16</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>12.57</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>98.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JG13-K1-23–24 cm</td>
<td>73.65</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>12.75</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>99.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JG13-K1-23–24 cm</td>
<td>75.33</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>12.36</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>100.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JG13-K1-23–24 cm</td>
<td>72.15</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>12.99</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>100.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lake Czechowskie

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lipari glass standard</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20-µm beam</td>
<td>73.64</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>13.22</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>98.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-µm beam</td>
<td>73.68</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>13.13</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>5.37</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>98.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-µm beam</td>
<td>74.87</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>13.16</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>99.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lake Jelonek

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JEL13-K4-451–53 cm</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lipari glass standard</td>
<td>73.57</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>12.26</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>100.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lake Głęboczek

The study interval in the JC record is composed of finely laminated yellowish calcite varves commonly consisting of four to five sublayers. (1) The basal sublayer is a very thin layer of chrysophyte cysts that appears in 19% of the varves. In contrast, the following four sublayers regularly occur in all varves. (2) The second sublayer consists of idiomorphic calcite and frustules of planktonic diatoms (Stephanodiscus spp.) mixed with chrysophyte cysts and a sublayer composed of organic debris, planktonic and epiphytic diatoms, resembling organic diatom varves (Brauer et al., 1999b). Varve thickness ranges from 0.5 to 7 mm/a (2–8 cm depth) and from 0.8 to 2.6 mm/a (22–30 cm).

The main difference in varve micro-facies between the three lakes is the occurrence of two varve types (calcite and organic diatom varves) in JG, whereas in JC and JEL only calcite varves are formed. Calcite varves in the JC sediments exhibit a complex structure with up to five seasonal sublayers, whereas calcite varves in JC and JEL represent comparably simple couplets. In particular, distinct sublayers of chrysophyte cysts and thick monospecific diatom layers, pyrite crystals and endogenic calcite patches only formed in JC but not in the JG and JEL sediments. There is also no link between varve thickness maxima of the three records. Another obvious difference is the undisturbed varve preservation at JC, intervals have a similar composition as the calcite varves but contain more abundant epiphytic diatoms and endogenic calcite patches indicating enhanced sediment re-deposition of littoral material. The main difference compared with the calcite varves formed in JG are (1) the formation of two calcite sublayers with different grain sizes, (2) the formation of monospecific diatom layers and (3) the deposition of a mixed sublayer marking the end of the seasonal cycle. This mixed sublayer, related to re-suspension of sediments, only occurs in JC. Between 26 and 18 cm and from 11 to 9 cm, varves are poorly preserved. Varve thickness ranges from 1.2 to 11.2 mm/a (Figure 3).

In the JEL sediment profile, two lithologies are differentiated. The basal 4 cm and the top 11 cm consist of grey, homogeneous sediments. Between 11 and 46 cm, calcite varves similar to the JG varves are preserved. These are composed of a calcite sublayer including frustules of planktonic diatoms (Stephanodiscus spp.) and an organic sublayer consisting of planktonic and epiphytic diatoms and organic debris. Varve thickness ranges from 0.5 to 7 mm/a (Figure 3).
whereas in the JC record short intervals of faint varve preservation and JEL even non-varved intervals are intercalated.

**Chronology**

**Varve chronology.** Microscopic annual layer counting was independently carried for each sediment record in the study intervals. Continuous varve counting for JG revealed a total of 139 (first counting) and 137 (second counting) varves, resulting in a varve chronology from AD 2013 back to AD 1876 ± 2 with a counting uncertainty of 1.4% (Figure 3). For the JC core, a continuous varve chronology comprising a total of 135 (first counting) and 132 (second counting) varves with a counting uncertainty of 2.2% has been established. For two poorly varved sections (26–18 and 11–9 cm), varve numbers have been interpolated. The resulting varve chronology from AD 2010 back to 1877 ± 3 is confirmed by independent $^{137}$Cs dating (Figure 3). $^{137}$Cs activity concentration measurements have been applied for core JC10-2 and showed two distinct maxima at 16–14 and 10–8 cm sediment depth. Varve counted and interpolated ages for both $^{137}$Cs activity concentration maxima revealed the intervals 1958–1963 (16–14 cm) and 1979–1989 (10–8 cm), thus reflecting the atmospheric nuclear weapon testing in the early 1960s and the fallout after the Chernobyl accident in AD 1986, respectively (Figure 3; Putyrskaya 2015).

**Figure 2.** Number of frost days (<0°C) calculated based on the daily mean air temperature data from the climate station Chojnice (53°42′N/17°33′E, 188 m a.s.l.), operated by the Institute of Meteorology and Water Management (IMGW) for the period 1951–2011. Monthly precipitation and mean air temperature data from Koszalin (54°12′N/16°09′E, 34 m a.s.l.) for the period 1871–2000 provided by the Global Historical Climatology Network (GHCN; Peterson and Vose, 1997; Peterson et al., 1998). Temperature data are displayed as annual and seasonal (DJF, MAM, JJA and SON) means. Bold lines indicate the 30-year mean values since 1871.
Figure 3. Lithology and age models for Lake Głęboczek (top), Lake Czechowskie (middle) and Lake Jelonek (bottom). Thin section scans and microphotographs display typical varve micro-facies for each lake (c1/c2 = calcite sublayer, cr = chrysophyte cysts sublayer, d = diatom sublayer, m = mixed sublayer and o = organic sublayer). Each sediment record was independently dated by a combination of varve counting (red line) and teprochronology (Askja AD 1875 tephra). JC has been additionally dated by $^{137}$Cs activity concentration measurements. Varve counting uncertainty estimates are derived by multiple counting by two different investigators.
This chronology is further supported by a recent calibration study comparing in situ ¹⁰⁷Be concentration at annual resolution from core JC10-7 and neutron monitor data suggesting an uncertainty of ±1 varve year (Czymzik et al., 2015). Varve counting for JEL from the interval from 46 to 11 cm revealed 134 (first counting) and 128 (second counting) varves (4.5% mean counting error). Because of the uppermost homogeneous sediments, the varve chronology is floating (Figure 3) and has been anchored at the Askja AD 1875 tephra with an uncertainty of ±4 varve years because the glass shards have been obtained from a sample containing eight varves. Adding the counting uncertainty, the resulting varve chronology spans from AD 2006 back to 1875 ± 7. The time interval between the upper end of the varved interval at 11 cm sediment depth and the top of the sediment profile at 11 cm is not unusual for the JEL sediment record. For example, high mean sedimentation rates of about 5 mm/a have been found for the first millennium AD (Filbrandt-Czaja, 2009).

Tephrochronology. Cryptotephra horizons were identified in JG13-K1 (23–24 cm core depth, 25 glass shards), JC12-K2 (35–36 cm core depth, 10 glass shards) and JEL13-K4 (51–53 cm core depth, 5 glass shards). EPMA analyses of 13 (JG), 2 (JC) and 1 (JEL) glass shards revealed a very similar homogeneous composition with ranges in SiO₂ of 71.3–75.4 wt%, TiO₂ of 0.7–0.9 wt%, Al₂O₃ of 12.2–13.0 wt%, FeO of 2.9–4.7 wt%, CaO of 1.8–3.0 wt% and K₂O of 2.2–2.6 wt% (normalized water-free data; Figure 4). The geochemical composition of glass shards in all profiles as well as their stratigraphic position proves a correlation with the Askja AD 1875 eruption from W-Iceland.

Geochemistry. Bulk TOC and calculated CaCO₃ (mainly calcite) values for JG vary between 6% and 20% and from 13% to 78%, respectively. Highest TOC values of up to 20% are recorded between 30 and 20 cm and from 8 to 2 cm, while between 20 and 8 cm sediment depth, TOC contents are lower (down to 6%). Highest calcite contents up to 78% are recorded between 22 and 8 cm and from 2 to 0 cm, whereas calcite values decrease down to 13% between 30 and 22 cm and from 8 to 2 cm sediment depth. The Si/Ti and the Ti records vary between 6% and 20% and from 13% to 78%, respectively. The generally low contribution of detrital minerogenic material from the catchment is evidenced for all records through the low Ti values. Based on these observations, we broadly estimate the contribution of diatom silica by the following equation: 100 − %TOC − %CaCO₃. We are aware that %TOC does not exactly equal organic matter; however, this uncertainty in our calculation may affect the absolute numbers but not the downcore variability. In result, we found highest diatom silica of ca. 55% for the JC sediments compared with ca. 39% in JEL and 35% in JG. This is confirmed by microscopic observation of thick diatom layers in the JC record and also explains the lower relative calcite and TOC concentrations in JC. The higher contribution of diatom frustules in the JC sediments also contributes to the observed higher sedimentation rate in this record.

Discussion. Proxy signal interpretation. In this paper, we concentrate on sediment micro-facies and geochemical proxies. All investigated records are either entirely (JG and JC) or predominantly (JEL) varved. Varve preservation is interpreted as the absence of post-depositional processes such as bioturbation and erosion (Brauer, 2004; Larsen and MacDonald, 1993; Tylmann et al., 2012; Zolitschka et al., 2015).

The generally low contribution of detrital minerogenic matter from the catchment is evidenced for all records through microscopic analyses and low Ti values. Because of the low amount of siliciclastics, we interpret Si/Ti ratios as proxy for...
diatom abundance, that is, lake productivity (Figure 5). Likely explanations include the absence of major inflowing rivers and the low relief limiting catchment erosion. An additional factor for JC is the core location in eastern sub-basin of the lake, which is separated by a shallow sill from the western sub-basin. Therefore, the western sub-basin acts as a trap for potential inflow of detrital matter from the northern and western part of the catchment (Figure 1). Microscopic analyses show that the main sediment components in all three records are biochemically precipitated calcite, diatoms and organic matter that mainly reflect aquatic biomass as indicated by C/N ratios <10 (Figure 5; Meyers and Teranes, 2001). Except two short intervals of organic-diatomaceous varves in JG, only calcite varves formed in all study lakes. Calcite varves consist of sublayers of biochemically precipitated calcite together with diatom frustules which form during lake water warming in spring (Bluszcz et al., 2008; Kienel et al., 2013, 2017). The occurrence of two subsequent calcite sublayers within one varve indicates two annual pulses of calcite formation probably related to higher primary lake productivity. Sublayers consisting of planktonic diatoms following the calcite deposition reflect a productivity phase during autumn seasons. Exceptionally thick (up to 11 mm), monospecific diatom (Fragilaria spp.) layers, occasionally including endogenic calcite patches, are related to years with strongly enhanced productivity. Mixed sublayers composed of periphytic diatoms (e.g. Navicula spp.) and littoral carbonates indicate sediment re-suspension from the littoral likely caused by intensified lake circulation and wave activity. The absence of calcite sublayers (only observed in JG) can either be related to dissolution during settling of the crystals through the water column or to a reduced supply of Ca²⁺ ions (Bluszcz et al., 2008; Dean, 1999). TOC content variations in all three lakes are interpreted as proxy for productivity (Lüder et al., 2006) rather than organic matter preservation (Dräger et al., 2017; Hartnett et al., 1998).

This is corroborated by the coincidence of high TOC and diatom contents in all three sediment records. Faint or non-varved intervals only occur in JC and JEL, respectively. For JC, faint varve preservation is likely related to periods of enhanced wind-induced lake circulation. In contrast to the deposition of thick monospecific diatom layers and littoral sediments, which are also related to wind-induced water column mixing, varve preservation ceases where either the duration or the strength of mixing periods increases. For JEL, it might be realistic that not only lake circulation but also changes in the size/depth relation influence oxic/anoxic conditions favouring bioturbation and the absence of varved sediments.

**Climatic versus local proxy responses**

We compared the changes in sediment proxies in the three lakes records with regional meteorological data. Air temperature increased during the last 140 years in two steps at around 1900 and during the 1970s and 1980s (Figures 2 and 6). Until 1900, the coldest mean annual (6.9°C) and seasonal (DJF: −1.3°C; MAM: 5.7°C; JJA: 15.7°C; SON: 6.9°C) air temperatures are recorded and reflect the final phase of the ‘LIA’ (Grove, 2001; Figures 2 and 6). Until 1900, especially DJF air temperatures show strong interannual variability ranging from −5.9°C to 2.1°C (Figure 2). From 1900 to around 1980, mean annual air temperatures remained rather stable at around 7.4°C. Seasonal air temperatures were also higher compared with the time before 1900 (DJF: −0.7°C; MAM: 6.3°C; JJA: 15.7°C; SON: 8.1°C). Since ca. 1980, mean (8.2°C) and seasonal (DJF: 0.4°C; MAM: 7.5°C; JJA: 16.2°C; SON: 8.8°C) air temperatures increased to highest values in the last 140 years. The accelerated warming since 1980 resulted in a decrease in the length of winter ice cover length (Figure 6), which is seen as a general trend in the entire Baltic Sea realm (Rutgersson et al., 2014).

Annual precipitation increased at around 1900 from 691 to 720 mm/year and remained rather stable since then. A trend to wetter conditions at the onset of the 20th century is also recorded in a peat record from Northern Poland (De Vleeschouwer et al., 2009).

The increasing temperatures in combination with a slight increase in mean annual precipitation at the end of the ‘LIA’ triggered sedimentological responses in all studied lake records at around AD 1900. The most distinct response is observed in the smallest lake (JG) where at AD 1895 ± 2, sedimentation abruptly changed from organic diatom to calcite varves within 1 year. Possible climatic causes for this change are either increasing temperatures and/or precipitation because biochemical calcite precipitation
onset of calcite precipitation at JG. In contrast to JC, where Ca\(^{2+}\) ions might have been sufficient to trigger the onset of calcite precipitation even during the late ‘LIA’, we suggest that an increase in precipitation might have triggered the onset of calcite precipitation at JG. In contrast to JC, where Ca\(^{2+}\) ions was always sufficient for calcite precipitation due to the larger catchment, we speculate that Ca\(^{2+}\) ion concentrations in JG are limited because of the smaller catchment (Figures 1 and 7). Therefore, even small-scale climatic changes leading to an enhanced supply in Ca\(^{2+}\) might have been sufficient to trigger the onset of calcite varve formation at JG. Similar processes have been previously reported from other lakes with limitations in Ca\(^{2+}\) ions (Martin-Puertas et al., 2009). The resulting enhanced decomposition of organic matter and increase in CO\(_2\), in turn, decreased pH values and, thereby, favoured calcite dissolution (Dean, 1999) as observed in SEM images. Slower settling of organic particles due to the temperature-driven strength and duration of the water column stratification might have further reinforced this effect. As a result, calcite dissolution processes could already start within the metalimnion (Bluszcz et al., 2008).

The phase of accelerated warming starting between the 1970s and 1980s is strongly seen in JG with the shift from calcite to organic varves from one to the next year. Interestingly, this is the opposite sediment response to warming than observed at about AD 1900, when organic varves were replaced by calcite varves. This non-linear proxy response to warming might be related to calcite dissolution favoured by further increased warming. Higher temperatures since 1980 and especially mild winters with short ice cover duration, shown by a decreasing number of frost days (Figure 6), likely caused an increased organic biomass production (Hargeby et al., 2004; Kosten et al., 2009). The resulting enhanced decomposition of organic matter and increase in CO\(_2\), in turn, decreased pH values and, thereby, favoured calcite dissolution (Dean, 1999) as observed in SEM images. Slower settling of organic particles due to the temperature-driven strength and duration of the water column stratification might have further reinforced this effect. As a result, calcite dissolution processes could already start within the metalimnion (Bluszcz et al., 2008).

In the JC sediment record, only a subtle change in varve microfacies is observed. Since about 1991 in an increasing number of years, varves with two calcite formation phases were deposited (Figure 6), indicating higher primary productivity likely caused by extended periods of lake productivity due to warmer spring and autumn seasons. In particular, spring temperatures increased since 1980 (7.5°C) compared with the period prior 1980 (6.3°C). Extended periods of lake productivity are also seen in autumn seasons. In particular, spring temperatures increased since 1980 (7.5°C) compared with the period prior 1980 (6.3°C). Extended periods of lake productivity are also seen in autumn seasons. In particular, spring temperatures increased since 1980 (7.5°C) compared with the period prior 1980 (6.3°C). Extended periods of lake productivity are also seen in autumn seasons. In particular, spring temperatures increased since 1980 (7.5°C) compared with the period prior 1980 (6.3°C).
years. The sediment records have been precisely synchronized using the Askja AD 1875 tephra, an isochrone at the end of the ‘LIA’. Detrital sediment flux due to erosion is negligible in the three lakes and indicates only minor human impact, making these lakes particularly suitable for investigating regional climate versus local lake control of proxy data.

The clear response of varve micro-facies to climate warming in only one of the study lakes (JG), in comparison with the attenuated (JC) or less clear (JEL) response in the others, points to the importance of site-specific factors for sedimentation. It further suggests that the observed recent changes mainly in JG and to a lesser degree in JC do not exceed changes in sedimentation observed as consequences of warming at the end of LIA. Most likely, the driver for varve micro-facies changes at JG is a combination of the small size of the lake and the catchment and the lack of major human impact, enhancing the lake’s sensitivity mainly to changes in precipitation because this controls groundwater flow and ion concentration in the lake water. In contrast, JC is more sensitive towards wind stress driving water circulation and sediment re-suspension mainly because of its larger size and large shallow water areas. The occurrence of non-varved intervals only in JEL likely is due to the larger ratio of lake size to water depth. Therefore, an unambiguous response to climate forcing is not recorded.

This study demonstrates the value of high-resolution lake comparison based on precise tephra-based synchronization for better constraining proxy data responses to climate and environment changes. Our results show that these, at first glance, similar lakes show rather different sensitivities towards climate forcing because of specific lake-internal thresholds. However, their quantification remains difficult and needs to be tested on longer timescales and for additional lakes.
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