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Abstract The ratio of the proton ring velocity ($V_R$) to the local Alfvén speed ($V_A$), in addition to proton ring distributions, plays a key factor in the excitation of magnetosonic waves at frequencies between the proton cyclotron frequency ($f_{cp}$) and the lower hybrid resonance frequency ($f_{LHR}$) in the Earth’s magnetosphere. Here we investigate whether there is a statistically significant relationship between occurrences of proton rings and magnetosonic waves both outside and inside the plasmapause using particle and wave data from Van Allen Probe-A during the time period of October 2012 to December 2015. We also perform a statistical survey of the ratio of the ring energy ($E_R$, corresponding to $V_R$) to the Alfvén energy ($E_A$, corresponding to $V_A$) to determine the favorable conditions under which magnetosonic waves in each of two frequency bands ($f_{cp} < f \leq 0.5f_{LHR}$ and $0.5f_{LHR} < f < f_{LHR}$) can be excited. The results show that the magnetosonic waves in both frequency bands occur around the postnoon (12–18 magnetic local time, MLT) sector outside the plasmapause when $E_R$ is comparable to or lower than $E_A$, and those in lower-frequency bands ($f_{cp} < f \leq 0.5f_{LHR}$) occur around the postnoon sector inside the plasmapause when $E_R/E_A > -9$. However, there is one discrepancy between occurrences of proton rings and magnetosonic waves in low-frequency bands around the prenoon sector (6–12 MLT) outside the plasmapause, which suggests either that the waves may have propagated during active time from the postnoon sector after being excited during quiet time, or they may have locally excited in the prenoon sector during active time.

1. Introduction

Fast magnetosonic waves (first called equatorial noise by Russell et al., 1970) are whistler-mode electromagnetic emissions observed in the Earth’s magnetosphere occurring in the frequency range between the proton cyclotron frequency ($f_{cp}$) and the lower hybrid resonance frequency ($f_{LHR}$). These waves are also named as ion Bernstein waves, which are perhaps more appropriate term in theoretical point of view not to be confused with the traditional “magnetosonic” mode that exists much below $f_{cp}$ in magnetohydrodynamics theory (Gary et al., 2010). In this manuscript we will simply use phenomenologically described term “magnetosonic wave” common in recent literature. They are usually observed within a few degrees of the magnetic equator both outside and inside the plasmasphere and propagate at sufficiently large angles to the ambient magnetic field (Hrbáčková et al., 2015; Kasahara et al., 1994; Kim & Chen, 2016; Laakso et al., 1990; Ma et al., 2013; Meredith et al., 2008; Russell et al., 1970; Santolík et al., 2002). Recently, much attention has been paid to the properties and spatial distribution of the magnetosonic waves and their possible role in the radiation belt dynamics contributing to both acceleration and loss of relativistic electrons (e.g., Horne et al., 2007; Shprits, 2016; Shprits et al., 2013). It is generally accepted that a ring distribution of protons with positive gradient in the phase space density provides a source of free energy for the excitation of magnetosonic waves, which forms at energies of the order of 10 keV (Balikhin et al., 2015; Boarda et al., 1992; Chen et al., 2010, 2011; Curtis & Wu, 1979; Horne et al., 2000; Ma et al., 2014; Perraut et al., 1982). Theoretical analysis and simulations have verified excitation of fast magnetosonic waves (Convery & Gary, 1997; Gary et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2011; Min et al., 2017; Umeda et al., 2007, 2012).

The ratio of the ring energy ($E_R$), corresponding to the peak in the phase space density (PSD), to the Alfvén energy ($E_A$), corresponding the local Alfvén speed that is related to the total plasma density and the background magnetic field intensity, is a key factor controlling the excitation of magnetosonic waves. Horne et al. (2000) suggested that wave growth is possible when the ring velocity ($V_R$) exceeds the Alfvén speed ($V_A$) within a factor of 2. Meredith et al. (2008) made the first observational attempt, using particle and
wave data from the Combined Release and Radiation Effects Satellite (CRRES), to understand a link between proton ring distributions and magnetosonic waves in the frequency band $0.5 f_{LHR} < f < f_{LHR}$ during geomagnetically active conditions. They found the close coincidence between the magnetic local time (MLT) distributions of the observed wave amplitude and proton ring distributions satisfying $E_R > E_A$. However, they also found the enhanced waves in the dawn sector outside the plasmapause that do not satisfy $E_R > E_A$. More recently, Chen et al. (2010) presented, based on ring current ion simulation during the storm main phase incorporating the Rice Convection Model into Ring Current-Atmospheric Interactions Model, the excited frequency dependence according to the ratio $E_R/E_A$ and suggested that the unstable frequency band of waves inside the plasmapause becomes lower than outside due to an increase in plasma density, leading to an increase in the ratio $E_R/E_A$. Ma et al. (2014) also presented the different values of $E_R/E_A$ for exciting magnetosonic waves in three different regions, outside, near, and deep inside the plasmapause, based on a case study comparing the Time History of Events and Macroscale Interactions during Substorms observations and wave growth rate estimated using plasma instability analysis.

The goal of this study is to present a comparison of global distributions of proton rings and magnetosonic waves and to determine critical values of the ratio $E_R/E_A$ under which magnetosonic waves are likely to be excited. For this study, we perform a statistical survey of the occurrences of proton ring distributions and magnetosonic waves both outside and inside the plasmapause using particle and wave data from Van Allen Probe-A during the time period of October 2012 to December 2015, which is in line with the previous study of Meredith et al. (2008), but we extend the analysis to lower-frequency bands ($f_E < 0.5 f_{LHR}$) with the extensive coverage over all MLT sectors from Van Allen Probe-A while they only focused on upper-frequency bands ($0.5 f_{LHR} < f < f_{LHR}$) with the lack of wave coverage on the dawn sector using CRRES. Previous studies (Chen et al., 2010, 2011; Ma et al., 2014) suggested, based only on specific events, that wave growth is possible even if $E_R$ is slightly lower than or comparable to $E_A$. However, there has been no statistical analysis that examines how the ratio $E_R/E_A$ affect magnetosonic wave excitation. Thus, in this study, we perform a statistical survey of the ratio $E_R/E_A$ and compare it with the distribution of magnetosonic waves separately in two frequency bands, the low ($f_E < 0.5f_{LHR}$) and high ($0.5f_{LHR} < f < f_{LHR}$) bands.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. The global distributions of energetic protons in terms of flux and PSD both outside and inside the plasmapause under various levels of magnetic activity are presented in section 2, the relation between occurrences of magnetosonic waves and proton rings is presented in section 3, the global distributions of the ratio $E_R/E_A$ and magnetosonic waves separately in two frequency bands are presented in section 4, and the discussion and conclusions are given in sections 5 and 6, respectively.

### 2. Spatial Distribution of Energetic Protons

The twin Van Allen Probes have operated in a highly elliptical geosynchronous transfer orbit since their launch on 30 August 2012, with an apogee of ~5.8 $R_E$, a perigee of ~700 km, an inclination of ~10°, and a period of ~9 h (Mauk et al., 2012). For this study, we use proton fluxes from the Helium Oxygen Proton Electron (HOPE) plasma spectrometer, a part of the Energetic particle, Composition, and Thermal plasma (ECT) suite (Funsten et al., 2013) on board the Van Allen Probes. The ECT/HOPE provides the proton differential fluxes in the pitch angle range from 0° to 180° with a resolution of ~15° in the energy ranges 1 eV < $E < 50$ keV with 36 logarithmic spaced energy channels.

To understand how energetic particles injected during substorms form an unstable proton ring distribution, we first show in Figure 1 the global distribution of the proton differential flux for a pitch angle of 90°, out outside the plasmapause during three levels of geomagnetic activity, measured by $AE^*$ (the maximum value of the $AE$ index in the previous 3 h) for protons of energy between 527 eV and 20686 eV. Here the average value of the data collected as a function of $L^*$ in steps of 0.2 $L^*$ for $2 \leq L^* \leq 6$ and MLT with 1 h intervals during the time period of October 2012 to December 2015 from Van Allen Probe-A ECT/HOPE is displayed using a logarithmic scale regardless of whether the magnetosonic waves occur. The $L^*$ parameter used in this study is related to the third adiabatic invariant and has physical meaning of the radial distance from the center of the Earth to the equatorial crossing points of a drift shell on which particles drift about the Earth if the magnetic field is adiabatically changed to a dipole (Roederer, 1970). Database for the $L^*$ and MLT is taken from Van Allen Probes-ECT data portal (https://rbsp-ect.lanl.gov/data_pub/rbspa), and we simply assumed
locally mirroring particles at the satellite location in the use of $L^*$ using the TS04D (Tsyganenko & Sitnov, 2005) magnetic field model. Only the measurements made within 10° of the magnetic equator were considered, and data in the region $L^* \leq 2$ were removed due to the possibility of contamination for the proton radiation belt. The plasmapause location is identified using wave spectra from a single electric component of waves between 10 kHz and 400 kHz from the High-Frequency Receiver (HFR), a part of the Electric and Magnetic Field Instrument Suite and Integrated Science (EMFISIS) instrumentation suite (Kletzing et al.,

Figure 1. Global average proton flux maps for five energy channels outside the plasmapause during different activity levels of $AE^*$. The number of samples in each panel is displayed in the small panel. Only the bins with the number of data of $>100$ were displayed.
when a sudden change in intensity of the electrostatic electron-cyclotron harmonic (ECH) waves, integrated over the frequency range between 2.5 $f_{ce}$ ($f_{ce}$ is the electron gyrofrequency) and 5.0 $f_{ce}$ is detected per half orbit, since high intensity of the ECH is usually observed outside the plasmapause (Meredith et al., 2004). In addition, the appearance and disappearance of the whistler mode chorus between 0.1$f_{ce}$ and 0.8$f_{ce}$ and plasmaspheric hiss between 40 Hz and 2 kHz from the Waveform Receiver (WFR), another part of EMFISIS, and a sharp gradient in plasma density, inferred from EMFISIS, are used to manually modify the plasmapause’s location determined above. An example will be given in Figure 4.

The nightside proton flux for all energies increases with increasing $AE^*$ by roughly half an order of magnitude for each level. During active conditions, particles can penetrate as low as $L^* = 3$, and the flux peak tends to shift from the prenoon sector toward the midnight sector with increasing energy, due to the energy-dependent drift, that is, westward gradient-curvature drift that is dominant for $> 10$ keV and eastward electric field drift that is dominant for several keV (Korth et al., 1999; Lyons & Williams, 1984). With the accompanying shift in peak of flux, the region where proton flux for $> 972$ keV remains low shifts from the postnoon toward the prenoon sector with increasing energy regardless of geomagnetic activity (though it is clearly seen for active conditions), possibly either due to lack of protons that access that region after substorm injection or loss of protons by charge exchange and/or Coulomb collisions (Fok et al., 1995, 1996; Jordanova et al., 1996, 1999).

The global distribution of proton energy fluxes inside the plasmapause is shown in Figure 2, in the same format as Figure 1. Proton fluxes inside the plasmapause are generally lower than those outside the plasmapause (note the different color scales in Figures 1 and 2) but with no discernable dependence on $AE^*$. An interesting feature is that, as shown in Figure 1, the MLT region of the flux dip tends to be around the postnoon sector for low-energy protons ($< 972$ eV) and around the prenoon sector for high-energy protons ($> 972$ eV).

To examine how such variations in flux are seen in variations in PSD, we converted the proton differential flux at each measurement into PSD using

$$f_{\perp} = 0.5449 \frac{j_{\perp}}{E}$$

where $f_{\perp}$ is the PSD given in $m^{-4} s^{-3}$, $j_{\perp}$ is the proton differential flux with $90^\circ$ pitch angle in units of cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$ keV$^{-1}$, $E$ is the effective energy of the proton energy channel in keV, and 0.5449 is a conversion factor from $j_{\perp}$ to $f_{\perp}$ for protons (Lyons & Williams, 1984).

The average PSD $f_{\perp}$, variation as a function of energies up to $\sim 50$ keV for four MLT sectors (0–6 MLT, 6–12 MLT, 12–18 MLT, and 18–24 MLT) and three $AE^*$ activities ($AE^* < 100$ for quiet, $100 \leq AE^* \leq 300$ for moderate, and $AE^* > 300$ nT for active) is presented in Figures 3a and 3b, each for outside and inside the plasmapause. Here the PSD $f_{\perp}$ at a given energy is estimated by first sorting and averaging the converted PSD $f_{\perp}$ using equation (1) at each measurement in each 0.2 $L^*$ and 1 MLT bin and then averaging all PSDs across all $L^*$ in each MLT sector at a given energy.

A proton ring distribution is present around the postnoon sector ($12 \leq MLT < 18$, third columns) on both sides of the plasmapause, having the peak energy of the proton ring at $\sim 10$ keV outside the plasmapause and at slightly lower energy inside the plasmapause, with a weak dependence on $AE^*$ (though it becomes clearer for low activity). It is also found in the prenoon sector ($6 \leq MLT < 12$) outside the plasmapause with a peak energy of $\sim 10$ keV during quiet time. Note that the less pronounced ring distributions are due to averaging that might reduce the peak in phase space density. Consequently, we can see from Figures 1–3 that variations in flux around the prenoon and postnoon sectors driven by the energy-dependent drift and loss lead to proton ring distributions in PSD at the energies of $\sim 10$ keV, consistent with previous studies (e.g., Boardsen et al., 1992, Horne et al., 2000, Thomsen et al., 2011).

### 3. Spatial Distribution of Proton Rings and Magnetosonic Waves

Proton rings with positive gradient in the perpendicular velocity distribution to the magnetic field provide a source of free energy that can excite magnetosonic waves. Here we present the global distributions of the proton rings in velocity space and the magnetosonic waves for both sides of the plasmapause to examine the relationship between them.
We first introduce the methodology that identifies the proton rings and the magnetosonic wave signals from Van Allen Probe-A. Proton ring distributions from the perpendicular PSD as a function of energy, $f_\perp(E)$, smoothed using a moving average filter for every measurement, are identified using the following conditions: (1) the value of $f_\perp$ increases continuously over at least three consecutive energy channels; (2) the $f_\perp$ of the peak is larger than $10^{-15}$ m$^{-6}$ s$^3$ (which is comparable to that of Horne et al., 2000); and (3) a clear dip of $f_\perp$, whose $f_\perp$ value is smaller than all other $f_\perp$ values at energies close to the peak energy, exists. The

Figure 2. The same as in Figure 1 but for the case inside the plasmapause.
criteria can be satisfied at multiple peak energies in the energy range of interest (1–50 keV). In such cases, we identify the peak with the lowest energy as the proton ring. Highly oblique magnetosonic waves are captured from wave spectra covering the frequency range from 10 Hz up to 12 kHz for all three components of both magnetic and electric fields from EMFISIS/WFR when the criteria of wave normal angle of ≥80° and the absolute value of wave ellipticity of ≤0.2 both sides of the plasmapause (Li et al., 2015; Ma et al., 2016; Němec et al., 2013) using the singular value decomposition method (Santolík et al., 2003) are satisfied. In this study, we simply identified highly oblique magnetosonic waves in a conventional way assuming emissions that typically have large wave normal angles close to ~90° and low ellipticity close to 0 (and thus nearly linearly polarized). Note that our database of wave emission might also contain other types of waves, mostly kinetic Alfvén waves that are right-hand polarized and magnetically compressive waves like magnetosonic waves (e.g., Moya et al., 2015; Salem et al., 2012) or they might miss zipper-like magnetosonic waves that have ellipticity higher than 0 (e.g., Li et al., 2017).

An example of the variation in PSD $f_{\perp}$ observed by Van Allen Probe-A on 13 November 2012 is present in Figure 4a, overlaid with ring energy (black dot), dip energy (white dot), and Alfvén energy (blue line). Here the Alfvén energy ($E_A$) is estimated using

$$E_A = \frac{1}{2} m_p V_A^2$$

where the Alfvén speed ($V_A$) is obtained by assuming single-ion (H$^+$) plasma and given by

$$V_A = \frac{B}{\sqrt{\mu_0 m_p N}}$$

where $\mu_0$ is the vacuum permeability, $m_p$ is the proton mass, $N$ is the plasma number density, inferred from EMFISIS by applying the Neural-network-based Upper hybrid Resonance Determination algorithm (Zhelavskaya et al., 2016), and $B$ is the background magnetic field intensity, taken from measurements from EMFISIS. Figures 4b–4g show wave spectra of electric field from HFR overlaid with harmonics of $f_{ce}$ up to 5.0 $f_{ce}$ (Figure 4b), wave spectra of magnetic and electric field from WFR (Figures 4c and 4d), wave normal angle (Figure 4e), signed ellipticity (Figure 4f), and wave flag (Figure 4g). In Figures 4c–4f, curves for $f_{ce}$, 0.5$f_{ce}$, 0.1$f_{ce}$, $f_{LHR}$, 0.5$f_{LHR}$, and $f_{cp}$ from top to bottom, are overlaid. The value of $f_{LHR}$ is estimated as $\sqrt{f_{cp}^2 - f_{ce}^2}$. In Figure 4g, the identified magnetosonic wave signals are indicated by black and red for regions outside and inside the plasmapause, respectively. The vertical black and blue lines indicate, respectively, the plasmapause location and the perigee time of each orbit.

Based on the criteria above, we identified the proton rings and magnetosonic wave amplitudes, the root-mean square by integrating over the frequency range between $f_{cp}$ and $f_{LHR}$, during the time period of
October 2012 to December 2015. Note that the magnetosonic wave amplitudes in the lower-frequency band ($f_{cp} < f \leq 0.5 f_{LHR}$) may be underestimated at $L^* \geq 3.6$, since the lowest frequency covered by Van Allen Probes EMFISIS/WFR is 10 Hz. Nevertheless, the occurrence rate and amplitudes of the lower-frequency band are considerably higher than those of the higher-frequency band (which will be presented below), and thus it is sufficient to investigate the relationship between the occurrences of proton rings and lower-frequency band.

Figure 5 shows the spatial distribution of (a, b, e and f) proton rings and (c, d, g, and h) magnetosonic wave amplitude over the frequency range between $f_{cp}$ and $f_{LHR}$ with their occurrence rate both (a–d) outside and...
(e–h) inside the plasmapause. The occurrence rate is defined in percentage as proton ring (or wave) measurement time divided by the dwelling time of spacecraft in each bin of $L^*$ and MLT. The same database of magnetosonic wave signals were taken from Kim and Shprits (2017) where it was binned in terms of McIlwain $L$ value (McIlwain, 1961), but we binned it as a function of $L^*$. The only difference between their and ours is that waves at high $L$ value maps to a relatively low $L^*$ (comparison is between Figure 2 of Kim & Shprits, 2017 and Figure 5).

High occurrence ($\geq \sim 50\%$) of proton rings (Figures 5b and 5f) is observed from both outside and inside the plasmapause in the postnoon sector (12–18 MLT) over a broad range of $L^*$, through outside the plasmapause in the noon sector, to outside the plasmapause in the prenoon sector (6–12 MLT) at $L^* \geq 5$. This is generally consistent with regions of relatively high occurrence of magnetosonic waves (Figures 5d and 5h) on the dayside on both sides of the plasmapause, except for outside the plasmapause in the prenoon sector at $L^* \leq 5$, where an opposite tendency is observed: relatively low occurrence ($< 20\%$) in the proton rings against other regions and higher occurrence in the magnetosonic waves. The discrepancy between the occurrences of proton rings and magnetosonic waves is also found in the previous study of Meredith et al. (2008) where they presented intense waves of high-frequency band ($0.5f_{LHR} < f < f_{LHR}$) in the dawn sector, which do not show the ring distribution.

For a clearer examination of the relationship between proton rings and magnetosonic waves, the rate of existence of proton ring distributions during the time when magnetosonic waves occur regardless of its intensity is also presented in Figures 6a and 6b, each for outside and inside the plasmapause. More than 60% of all wave measurement time is observed simultaneously with the proton ring distributions in the postnoon sector on both sides of the plasmapause, implying that proton rings are a potential source of the magnetosonic waves, while only less than 10% of them are observed with proton ring distributions in the prenoon sector outside the plasmapause.

4. Relationship Between the Ratio $E_R/E_A$ and the Excitation of Magnetosonic Waves in Two Frequency Bands

Above, we have found that proton ring distributions closely match the distribution of magnetosonic waves except for that in the prenoon sector outside the plasmapause, which implies that the existence of proton ring distribution is a necessary condition for the excitation of magnetosonic waves. It is known that Alfvén
energy $E_A$ is a key factor in controlling the magnetosonic wave growth by changing the ratio $E_R/E_A$. In this section, we investigate how variations in $E_A$ affect the magnetosonic wave excitation and determine statistically critical ratio $E_R/E_A$ exciting (or inhibiting) magnetosonic waves, separately, for two frequency bands both outside and inside the plasmapause. For this analysis, we separated the magnetosonic waves over the whole frequency range shown in Figure 5 into two frequency bands: low ($f_{cp} < f \leq 0.5f_{LHR}$) and high ($0.5f_{LHR} < f < f_{LHR}$) bands.

The global distribution of median proton ring energy $E_R$ outside the plasmapause is shown in Figure 7a, and the corresponding ratio $E_R/E_A$ in Figure 7b, together with the median absolute deviation in the small panels. The global distribution of the average wave intensity for lower- and higher-frequency bands is also

![Figure 6. The occurrence rate of proton ring distributions simultaneously during the time when magnetosonic waves occur (a) outside and (b) inside the plasmapause.](image)

![Figure 7. Comparison of (a) $E_R$ and (b) $E_R/E_A$ and (c and d) magnetosonic wave intensity for two frequency bands outside the plasmapause. Also shown in the small panels are the median absolute deviation in Figures 7a and 7b and the occurrence rates in Figures 7c and 7d.](image)
presented in Figures 7c and 7d, respectively, together with the corresponding occurrence rates in the small panels. One can see by comparing Figure 7c with Figure 5c that occurrences of magnetosonic waves outside the plasmapause shown in Figure 5c are mostly attributed to those of lower-frequency bands. The ring energy ranges from a few to a few tens of keV with the highest values in the 6–14 MLT sector. A relatively low ratio $E_R/E_A$ ($\leq \sim 1$) with low spreads is present in the region from 21 MLT at $L^* \leq 5$, through the noon sector at $L^* \leq 5$, to 9 MLT at $L^* \leq 4.5$. In general, the distribution is consistent with that of magnetosonic waves in both bands, having more similarity with higher-frequency bands, although it is difficult to make a direct comparison between occurrences of magnetosonic waves and the ratio $E_R/E_A$ in the prenoon sector at $L^* \leq 4$ due to lack of data for $E_R/E_A$. The result is similar to the previous studies (Chen et al., 2010; Ma et al., 2014) where they suggested that proton rings with $E_R$ just below $E_A$ provide a free energy to excite the magnetosonic waves, especially in high-frequency bands, outside the plasmapause.

Figure 8 shows the results for the case inside the plasmapause. Peak of ring energy shifts more toward the noon sector than outside. The ratio $E_R/E_A$ (Figure 8b) is generally higher than outside the plasmapause shown in Figure 7b (note the different color scales in Figures 7b and 8b) due to a decrease in $E_A$ in the high-plasma density region, while the remaining $E_R$ is similar to that outside the plasmapause. The ratio $E_R/E_A$ less than $\sim 9$ (with deviation of $\sim 3$) is present over a broad MLT range from 14, through midnight, to 9 at $L^* < \sim 5$ where magnetosonic waves in lower-frequency bands mostly occur inside the plasmapause with a peak occurrence rate near the postnoon sector, while there exist very rare magnetosonic waves in the region where $E_R/E_A > \sim 9$, mostly near the prenoon sector at high $L^* > 3.5$, which seems to inhibit exciting magnetosonic waves. Also, the distribution of intense high-frequency bands around the dusk sector well matches that of $E_R/E_A < \sim 9$, but its occurrence is very low ($<5\%$). Note that the value of 9 corresponds to 3 when the ratio of the proton ring velocity to the Alfven speed $V_p/V_A$ is estimated, which is suggested by Chen et al. (2010) as the upper limit of exciting the lower magnetosonic wave band inside the plasmapause. We thus have confirmed that, as suggested by Chen et al. (2010), as plasma density increases, that is, inside the plasmasphere, $V_p/V_A$ (thus $E_R/E_A$) increases (due to the inverse relation between $V_A$ and the plasma density), and the unstable frequency band shifts toward the lower frequencies.
5. Discussion

The analysis shown in Figures 5–7 shows that the excitation of low-frequency magnetosonic waves in the prenoon sector outside the plasmapause might not be related to a proton ring distribution. To understand in more detail how the prenoon waves outside the plasmapause are excited (or enhanced), we present in Figure 9 the global distribution of (a) magnetosonic waves in a low-frequency band outside the plasmapause and the corresponding quantities, (b) $E_A$, (c) $E_R$, (d) $E_R/E_A$, and (e) the logarithmic slope $d(\log f)/d(\log E)$ between $E_R$ and $E_{\text{dep}}$. The number of samples in each panel are shown in the small panels.

![Figure 9](image_url)
plasmapause for three levels of AE*, together with the quantities (b) $E_A$, (c) $E_B$, (d) $E_D/E_A$, and (e) the logarithmic slope $d(\log f)/d(\log E)$ between $E_R$ and $E_{dip}$. As already well known, positive $d\log f/\log E$ at velocities just below $V_R$ is associated with the magneto sonic wave growth (see equation (2) in Horne et al., 2000). Thus, for the present study, $d\log f/\log E$, with respect to $E_A$, between $E_R$ and $E_{dip}$ is simply adopted as the representative slope required for the excitation of magneto sonic waves, instead of estimating all the slopes for all available energy channels. It is estimated using the numerical approximation of $\log f/\log E_A$ following Thomsen et al. (2011).

As $AE^*$ increases, the magneto sonic wave amplitude in the low-frequency band is considerably enhanced in the prenoon sector, together with an increase in both $E_A$ and $E_B$. However, the $E_A$ noticeably increase (mostly due to decrease in plasma density) while the $E_B$ gradually increase, resulting in a generally gradual decrease in $E_B/E_A$ in the prenoon sector with increasing $AE^*$, which is opposite to the tendency of variation in magneto sonic wave amplitude. There is also a significant decrease in the positive slopes with $AE^*$ activity over a wide range of MLT, but they tend to peak around the prenoon sector during active times, which is qualitatively similar to the previous finding of Thomsen et al. (2011) using ion distributions observed at geosynchronous orbit. For the present study, we only focused on relative comparison of the positive slope among regions and $AE^*$ values rather than on pointing out its value, since it is difficult to set a threshold for a significant positive slope that drives magneto sonic instability unless we calculate the growth rate, which is beyond the scope of this study (though Thomsen et al., 2011 set it as $+0.3$ for the slope at any given energy).

The results suggest that the ratio $E_D/E_A \leq 4$ (corresponding to $V_D/V_A \sim 2$, which is suggested by Horne et al., 2000 and Chen et al., 2010) with relative high positive slopes around the prenoon sector against other regions at high $AE^*$ activity favors the local excitation of magneto sonic waves at low frequencies outside the plasmapause, although the occurrence rate of proton rings in that region is relatively low compared to other regions, as shown in Figure 5b.

However, we cannot ignore the possibility, due to favorable conditions of high occurrence of proton rings and $E_D/E_A \leq 1$ for excitation of magneto sonic waves in the postnoon sector (12–18 MLT), that waves in the prenoon sector may have propagated azimuthally from the postnoon sector where they were excited. In addition, Kim and Shprits (2017) suggested that magneto sonic waves inside the plasmapause are enhanced around the prenoon sector during active times, and we thus speculate that they may have radially propagated out of the plasmasphere through the plasmapause in the prenoon sector (e.g., Xiao et al., 2012). More theoretical analysis and modeling should be done in the future to validate these observational results. In addition, the plasma beta ($\beta$) parameter, which is defined as a ratio of the plasma pressure to the magnetic pressure, is also known as one of the necessary conditions to diagnose wave instability. Gary et al. (2010) using linear kinetic dispersion theory showed that wave properties change significantly with $\beta$ and suggested an appropriate value of $\beta$ for maximum instability growth rate of ion Bernstein mode waves. Therefore, considering more kinetic properties of the plasma during the observation of fast magneto sonic waves may help to find the source of the waves, and whether they generated locally or not.

6. Conclusions

We have investigated the relationship between proton rings and magneto sonic waves in two frequency bands, $f_{cp} < f \leq 0.5 f_{LHR}$ and $0.5 f_{LHR} < f \leq f_{LHR}$ using particle and wave data from Van Allen Probe-A during the period from October 2012 to December 2015. The global distribution of particle data for protons at different energies showed that an unstable proton ring distribution is driven by energy-dependent drift: eastward gradient-curvature drift for $>10$ keV and westward $E \times B$ drift for a several keV. In addition, the clear statistical relationship between the proton rings and the magneto sonic waves suggests that proton rings are a potential source of the magneto sonic waves. We have also found that outside the plasmapause, the low $E_D/E_A$ ratio ($\leq 1$) favors the excitation of magneto sonic waves in both low- and high-frequency bands in the postnoon sector (12–18 MLT), and waves in the low-frequency bands are observed in the prenoon sector (6–12 MLT), which is attributed to either that they may be locally excited in the region of a relatively high $E_D/E_A$ ratio ($\leq 4$) or they may have originated from the postnoon sector via azimuthal propagation after being excited under the condition of proton rings with low $E_D/E_A$ ($\leq 1$). Meanwhile, inside the plasmapause, a relatively higher $E_D/E_A$ ratio (but less than $-9$) than outside allow for excitation of the low-frequency band ($f_{cp} < f \leq 0.5 f_{LHR}$) of magneto sonic waves around the postnoon sector, while $E_D/E_A > -9$ inhibits excitation of
the magnetosonic waves. Our statistical results using Van Allen Probes-A are consistent with the previous theoretical and observational studies.
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