

# The role of stress and geomechanical modelling BEFORE site selection

Andreas Henk<sup>1</sup>, Oliver Heidbach<sup>2</sup>, Birgit Müller<sup>3</sup>, Karsten Reiter<sup>1</sup>, Tobias Hergert<sup>1</sup>, Frank Schilling<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institut für Angewandte Geowissenschaften TU Darmstadt, Schnittspahnstraße 9, 64287 Darmstadt, Germany, henk@geo.tu-darmstadt.de

<sup>2</sup>Deutsches GeoForschungsZentrum GFZ, Sektion 2.6 Erdbebengefährdung und Spannungsfeld, Telegrafenberg, 14473 Potsdam, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Karlsruher Institut für Technologie, Institut für Angewandte Geowissenschaften, Adenauerring 20b, 76131 Karlsruhe, Germany

The local tectonic stress field and the mechanical properties of the host rock are crucial factors for the site selection process and safe radioactive waste disposal in the subsurface, respectively. Earthquakes, reactivation of tectonic faults, hydraulic properties of natural and induced fractures, geotechnical aspects of construction of a deep depository and extent of the excavation damage zone are just a few examples which highlight the importance of geomechanics for deep geological disposal. The National Cooperative for the Disposal of Radioactive Waste of Switzerland estimates that about 40% of their site selection criteria are affected by the crustal stress state (Nagra, 2008). As a consequence robust stress predictions are mandatory for site selection. Furthermore, they have to be available prior to detailed exploration (drilling, mining) of a specific site as the stress state is crucial for the ranking of competing site options and to provide criteria for exclusion due to unfavourable or critical stress states.

The required stress prognosis has to be simulated with 3D geomechanical-numerical models, which allow incorporating complex geometries of faults and lithological layers as well as non-linear rock mechanical behaviour (e.g., Fischer & Henk, 2013; Hergert et al., 2015). These models have to be site-specific as magnitude and orientation of the local stress field are not uniform, but influenced by local discontinuities like tectonic faults and lithological changes. Model results provide, among others, the complete 3D stress tensor for any arbitrary point in the model domain. This information is not only needed to characterize the stability of underground openings or faults, but also to derive the stress state prior to construction of a deep depository. Furthermore, it can be used to study the short- and mid-term stress changes in relation to the

excavation process and self-sealing mechanisms. In addition they deliver the crucial initial conditions for the required scenario simulations that cover geological time spans (ten thousands to one million years) to assess the long-term safety and stability of a radioactive waste disposal site. Examples are the assessment of the reactivation and fracture potential of tectonic faults as well as the quantification of the long-term impact of tectonic processes, thermal and ice loads.

We present the results of 3D geomechanical numerical models for potential nuclear waste disposal sites in Northern Switzerland and the impact of geological structures and rock properties on the local stress field variability. Given the important role of stress and geomechanical modelling for the characterization of potential disposal sites, we present briefly the initiative SpannEnD that has been established to set up and advance the necessary data bases and modelling tools for robust stress predictions in Germany so that they could be made available once the actual site selection process will be started (see also Heidbach et al., this conference, for further details).

## References

- Fischer, K. & Henk, A. (2013). A workflow for building and calibrating 3-D geomechanical models-A case study for a gas reservoir in the North German Basin. *Solid Earth*, 4(2): 347–355.
- Hergert, T., Heidbach, O., Reiter, K., Giger, S. B., & Marschall, P. (2015). Stress field sensitivity analysis in a sedimentary sequence of the Alpine foreland, northern Switzerland. *Solid Earth*, 6(2): 533–552.
- Nagra (2008). Vorschlag geologischer Standortgebiete für das SMA- und das HAA-Lager. Begründung der Abfallzuteilung, der Barrierensysteme und der Anforderungen an die Geologie. Bericht zur Sicherheit und technischen Machbarkeit, NTB 08-05, ISSN 1015-2636, Nagra, Wettingen, Schweiz.