

Originally published as:

Tyagunov, S., Stempniewski, L., Grünthal, G., Wahlström, R., Zschau, J. (2006): Building stock vulnerability modelling for earthquake damage and loss assessment First European Conference on Earthquake Engineering and Seismology (1st ECEES) (Geneva 2006), 135 p.

Table of Contents

Oral Presentations	L
Common Keynotes	1
Engineering Keynotes	2
CS1: Seismic Input for Design (EC8 and Others)	2
CS2: Historical Investigations of Earthquake Effects, Damage and Vulnerability	3
CS3: Applications of the EMS-98 and Related Future Evolutions	3
CS4: Strong Motion : Use and Modelling)
CS5: Site Response and Site Effects $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	3
CS6: Early Warning, Shaking and Loss Scenarios	3
CS7: Strategies in Earthquake Mitigation	7
CS8: Secondary Earthquake Hazards: Tsunami, Landslide, Rock Fall, Liquefaction)
ES 1: Geotechnical Engineering	1
ES 2: Dam Engineering	7
ES 3a: Structural Engineering - Analysis	3
ES 3b: Structural Engineering - Bridges	3
ES 3c: Structural Engineering - Concrete)
ES 3d: Structural Engineering - Control	2
ES 3e: Structural Engineering - Experimental	õ
ES 3f: Structural Engineering - Masonry and Timber	1
ES 3g: Structural Engineering - Steel	4
ES 3h: Structural Engineering - Miscellaneous	3
ES 4: Design Criteria and Methods, Codes	3
ES 5: Existing Structures and Earthquake Risk Reduction	2
ES 6: Lifeline Systems	7
ES 8 & ES 7: Earthquake Engineering Practice (Recent Projects) and Architectural Aspects,	
Nonstructural Components and Contents (Joint Session))
ES 9 & SS 5: Lessons from Recent Earthquakes	1
STS E1 & SS 6: The Last Mile, Implementation of Risk Mitigation Measures in Europe 83	3
STS E2: Practice-oriented Nonlinear Approaches for Performance Assessment and Design 84	4
STS E3: Irregular Structures	5
STS E4: Displacement Based Design: Initial Versus Secant Stiffness	3
STS E5: Eurocode 8 : How to Apply ?	3
STS E6: Seismic Assessment and Retrofit of Bridges	7
STS E7: European Research on the Performance of Experimental Facilities)
STS E8: Shaking Table Facilities and Testing for Advancement of Earthquake Engineering \ldots 9	1
STS E9: Analysis and Design of RC Frames with Masonry Infills	2
STS E10: By How Much Does the Natural Frequency of Structures Decrease During Seismic	
Response ?	3
STS E11: Petrochemical Facilities and Large LNG Storage Tanks	3

	STS E12: Joint IAEE-IASPEI Session on International Collaboration of Earth Science and Earth-	
	quake Engineering Professional Associations	95
	STS E13: LESSLOSS Project: General Assembly	95
	SC-A 1: Archaeological and Historical Studies on the Earthquakes of the Past Centuries	96
	SC-A 2: Volcano Seismology and Applications to Hazard Evaluation	98
	SC-B 1: Theory of Wave Propagation and New Techniques of Data Processing	100
	SC-B 2: The 20th Century Strong Euro-Mediterranean Earthquakes from Historical Seismograms SC-C 1: Earthquake Source Complexity: From Geology Through Kinematic and Dynamic Models	103
	to Realistic Ground Motion Simulations	106
	SC-C 2. Becent Developments in Theoretical and Numerical Earthquake Source Dynamics: New	100
	Horizons to Predict Seismic Badiation and Near-Field Ground Motions	108
	SC-D 1: 2-D and 3-D Crustal Models of Europe	111
	SC-E 1: Earthquake Forecasting and Society	113
	SC-E 2: Deterministic and Probabilistic Prediction Methods: Theory Applications and Case Studies	115
	SC-E 3 & SC-F 2: Time-Dependent Earthquake Hazard Assessment	118
	SC-E 5: Earthquakes : To Predict or not to Predict? (Controversial Debate)	121
	SC-E 6: Earthquake Physics - Field and Laboratory Study	121
	SC-E 1: Approaches to Model Seismic Scenarios	121
	SC-F 3: Multiparametric Test Sites in Europe for the Evaluation of Ground Motion Amplification	125
	SC-F 4: Geoinformation Technologies Oriented to Seismic Hazard and Seismic Risk Assessment	127
	SC-F 5: Seismic Hazard and Bisk due to Induced Seismicity	129
	SC-F 6: Geophysical and Civil Engineering Aspects of Hazard Risk and Mitigation for Major	120
	European Cities	131
	SC-F 7: Potential for Very Large Earthquake Disasters in the European Mediterranean Begion	133
	SC-F 8: Near Real-Time Damage and Loss Assessment due to Strong Earthquakes	134
	SC-G 1 & SC-F WG: National Methodologies for Macroseismic Field Surveys (Joint Session with	101
	SC-F WG on Macroseismology)	138
	SC-G 2: Becent Macroseismic Field Surveys	139
	SS G 2. Recent Macrosolshine Fleid Surveys	100
	in the Indian Ocean	140
	SS 2: Earthquake Loss Modelling : From Earth Sciences to Insurance Applications	142
	SS 2: Education and Outreach for Bisk Beduction	147
	SS 4: ESC-UNESCO Workshop on Earthquake Hazard and Seismic Risk Reduction : Studies in	111
	the Southern Mediterranean Countries	150
		100
Po	oster Presentations - From Monday to Wednesday	155
	CS1: Seismic Input for Design (EC8 and Others)	155
	CS2: Historical Investigations of Earthquake Effects, Damage and Vulnerability	162
	CS3: Applications of the EMS-98 and Related Future Evolutions	162
	CS4: Strong Motion : Use and Modelling	164
	ES 3b: Structural Engineering - Bridges	180
	ES 3c: Structural Engineering - Concrete	188
	ES 3d: Structural Engineering - Control	198
	ES 3e: Structural Engineering - Experimental	208
	ES 3g: Structural Engineering - Steel	225
	ES 5: Existing Structures and Earthquake Risk Reduction	232
	ES 9 & SS 5: Lessons from Recent Earthquakes	248
	ES 10: Other Issues	255
	STS E2: Practice-oriented Nonlinear Approaches for Performance Assessment and Design	256
	STS E3: Irregular Structures.	260

STS E4: Displacement Based Design: Initial Versus Secant Stiffness	262
STS E6: Seismic Assessment and Retrofit of Bridges	263
SC-A 0: Seismicity of the European-Mediterranean Area (Open Session – Posters Only)	265
SC-A 2: Volcano Seismology and Applications to Hazard Evaluation	276
SC-B 0: Data Acquisition, Theory and Interpretation (Open Session – Posters Only)	277
SC-B 2: The 20th Century Strong Euro-Mediterranean Earthquakes from Historical Seismograms	285
SC-D 0: Crust and Upper Mantle Structures (Open Session – Posters Only)	287
SC-D 1: 2-D and 3-D Crustal Models of Europe	290
SC-E Ω : Earthquake Prediction Research (Open Session – Posters Only)	200
SC-E 0. Earthquake Forecasting and Society	201
SC-E 1. Datemplate Polecasting and Society	- 200 - 206
SC-E 2. Deterministic and Probabilistic Prediction Methods. Theory, Applications and Case Studies	5230 208
SC-E 5 & SC-F 2. This-Dependant Earthquake Hazard Assessment	290
SC-F 3: Multiparametric Test Sites in Europe for the Evaluation of Ground Motion Amplification	- 300 - 209
SC-F 4: Geomormation Technologies Oriented to Seismic Hazard and Seismic Risk Assessment	302
SU-F 6: Geophysical and Utvil Engineering Aspects of Hazard, Risk, and Mitigation for Major	20.0
	302
SC-F 7: Potential for Very Large Earthquake Disasters in the European Mediterranean Region	304
SC-F 8: Near Real-Time Damage and Loss Assessment due to Strong Earthquakes SS 1: Tsunamis in the European Mediterranean Region and the Sumatra Earthquake and Tsunami	305
in the Indian Ocean \ldots	307
SS 2: Earthquake Loss Modelling : From Earth Sciences to Insurance Applications	308
SS 4: ESC-UNESCO Workshop on Earthquake Hazard and Seismic Risk Reduction : Studies in	
the Southern Mediterranean Countries	313
Dester Dresentations From Thursday to Friday	915
Corrections - From Inursday to Friday	315
CS5: Site Response and Site Effects	315
CS6: Early Warning, Shaking and Loss Scenarios	330
CS7: Strategies in Earthquake Mitigation	341
CS8: Secondary Earthquake Hazards: Tsunami, Landslide, Rock Fall, Liquefaction	347
ES 1: Geotechnical Engineering	351
ES 2: Dam Engineering	365
ES 3a: Structural Engineering - Analysis	371
ES 3f: Structural Engineering - Masonry and Timber	398
ES 3h: Structural Engineering - Miscellaneous	408
ES 4: Design Criteria and Methods, Codes	413
ES 6: Lifeline Systems	426
ES 8 & ES 7: Earthquake Engineering Practice (Recent Projects) and Architectural Aspects,	
Nonstructural Components and Contents (Joint Session)	432
STS E1 & SS 6: The Last Mile, Implementation of Risk Mitigation Measures in Europe	435
STS E5: Eurocode 8 : How to Apply ?	436
STS E9: Analysis and Design of RC Frames with Masonry Infills	437
STS E10: By How Much Does the Natural Frequency of Structures Decrease During Seismic	
Response?	439
STS E11: Petrochemical Facilities and Large LNG Storage Tanks	442
SC-A 1: Archaeological and Historical Studies on the Earthquakes of the Past Centuries	444
SC-B 1: Theory of Wave Propagation and New Techniques of Data Processing	446
SC-C 0: Physics of the Earthquake Sources (Open Session – Posters Only)	448
SC-C 1: Earthquake Source Complexity: From Geology Through Kinematic and Dynamic Models	
to Realistic Ground Motion Simulations	452

SC-C 2: Recent Developments in Theoretical and Numerical Earthquake Source Dynamics: New	
Horizons to Predict Seismic Radiation and Near-Field Ground Motions \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 45	4
SC-E 6: Earthquake Physics - Field and Laboratory Study	5
SC-F 0: Engineering Seismology (Open Session – Posters Only)	6
SC-F 1: Approaches to Model Seismic Scenarios	4
SC-F 5: Seismic Hazard and Risk due to Induced Seismicity	6
SC-G 0: Rapid Intervention Field Investigation Teams (Open Session – Posters Only) 47	0
SC-G 2: Recent Macroseismic Field Surveys	1
SS 3: Education and Outreach for Risk Reduction	1

Author's Index

 $\mathbf{475}$

of building typology used in current urbanization, i.e.: Level I, using traditional, so-called "macroseismic" or "statistic", methods based on a large damage data sets compiled in past earthquakes affecting Italy, Greece, Romania and former Yugoslavia, and Level II, using modern, so-called "mechanical (analytical)" methods, based on behavioral analyses, so-called "displacement analyses" for assessment of destructive "performance" and whose main development was proposed in the ATC 40 (1996) and the HAZUS (1999) project. The WP04 developments are principally carried out by IZIIS (Skopje), UNIGE (Genoa), AUTh (Thessaloniki), and UTCB (Bucharest) for a fundamentally European buildings' context, based on the typologies defined under the RISK-UE WP01. The presentation intends to discuss the achievements of RISK-UE, in particular Level I and II vulnerability functions developed for European building environment that can readily be implemented for real time apriori and all level (local, regional, global) damage/loss assessments.

VULNERABILITY OF THE BUILDING STOCK AC-CORDING THE EMS-98 - APPLICATION TO SOFIA – ID 409

- E. Vaseva, CLSMEE-BAS, Bulgaria
- $D.\ Stefanov,\ CLSMEE\text{-}BAS,\ Bulgaria$
- $M.\ Kostov,\ CLSMEE\text{-}BAS,\ Bulgaria$
- A. Kaneva, CLSMEE-BAS, Bulgaria
- $G. \ Varbanov, \ CLSMEE-BAS, \ Bulgaria$
- N.~Koleva,~CLSMEE-BAS,~Bulgaria

Methodology for evaluation of vulnerability of buildings in a given region in terms of assignment of the vulnerability classes according the new EMS 98 scale is presented. The methodology is applied for vulnerability analysis on surveyed buildings in Sofia – Triaditca region. The main results of this case study are the graphs where are shown the distribution of buildings in different vulnerability classes; the relationships between different damage grades and intensity for some of the vulnerability classes. All results are presented in GIS format. The vulnerability curves are shown for types of buildings included in data bank of buildings, typical to Bulgaria. The results in this paper are received with financial support of European Commision in the frame of Project RISK-UE "An advanced approach to earthquake risk scenarios with applications to different European towns".

BUILDING STOCK VULNERABILITY MODELLING FOR EARTHQUAKE DAMAGE AND LOSS ASSESS-MENT – ID 2054

- S. Tyagunov, University of Karlsruhe, Germany
- L. Stempniewski, University of Karlsruhe, Germany
- G. Grünthal, GeoForschungsZentrum, Germany
- R. Wahlström, GeoForschungsZentrum, Germany
- J. Zschau, GeoForschungsZentrum, Germany

Seismic vulnerability is one of the key factors determining the level of risk in earthquake prone regions. The vulnerability may affect different aspects of community safety (structural, functional, social, economic, etc.), though, primarily, the seismic vulnerability is considered in the structural sense, which implies the ability of buildings and structures to withstand seismic loads. Often the structural vulnerability of existing built environment might be responsible for the bulk of human and economic losses resulting from earthquakes. The paper presents a methodology of analysis and modelling of seismic vulnerability of the building stock of communities for damage and losses assessments on a regional scale. In the frame of the large-scale approach the main attention is paid to the seismic performance of the whole building stock of communities rather than to the seismic response of single buildings. Therefore, the principal core of the methodology is modelling of the vulnerability composition of the existing building stock of communities, which is implemented in terms of the vulnerability classification of the European Macroseismic Scale (EMS-98). Description and application of the methodology is given with specific reference to the residential building stock of earthquake prone communities of Germany. The vulnerability composition

models are constructed on the basis of information derived from field observations and available databases. Validation and calibration of the models are performed with the use of observed data from a few past damaging earthquakes in Germany and the nearby area. The results of the validation prove that the developed models are adequate to predict the performance of the building stock under seismic influence and therefore they are applicable both for risk assessment studies and near-real time damage and loss estimates in the region.

REAL-TIME ASSESSMENT OF EARTHQUAKE IN-DUCED DAMAGE: LESSONS LEARNED IN ICELAND – ID 1346

R. Sigbjornsson, University of Iceland, Iceland

This paper describes lessons learned in Iceland on near real-time assessment of earthquake induced damage. The scenario is the South-Iceland Lowland in June 2000, which has been hit by a devastating South-Iceland Earthquake leaving thousands of damaged buildings in its wake. The assessment of the damage had to be carried out swiftly, without any delays, to prevent people from staying in unsafe buildings as the area was being shaken by further on-going earthquakes activity. Furthermore, to provide the authorities with real-time information required for efficient planning of urgently needed relieve operation. The damage assessment and the subsequent numerical analysis was organised by the local Office of Building Inspector. The methodology adopted can be described as a 'real-time' on-line loss-estimation applying geographic information technology and the Internet. Information on damage was collected in the field by qualified engineers. This information was then transferred to a database already containing building related information like architectural and engineering drawings. This data was readily linked to the official real-estate database to get information related to each building, including insurance, value, number of inhabitants, their age etc. Furthermore, the databank was also linked to the Icelandic Strong-Motion Network, which provided real-time information on recorded ground The data on damage, along with above mentioned motion. information, could then be visualised, inspected and analysed using a password-protected Website. This Website provided the local authorities with a valuable analysis tool they could use to follow directly, from one hour to the next, the development in the field. Furthermore, they got a rapid estimate on extend and nature of the damage which proved helpful both in their short- and long-term planning of mitigating actions and relief operations. Finally, new development of this damage assessment system, introduced since the described real-time operation was carried out, is outlined.

AUTOMATED DAMAGE DETECTION OF BUILDINGS FROM HIGH-RESOLUTION SATELLITE IMAGES – ID 714

F. Yamazaki, Chiba University, Japan

K. Kouchi, University of Tokyo, Japan

In the recent years, satellite remote sensing has become one of the most important and efficient tools to identify affected areas due to natural disasters, notably earthquakes, tsunamis, hurricanes, and floods. Among various remote sensing technologies, high-resolution optical satellites, e.g. QuickBird (QB) and Ikonos, have demonstrated their capability to capture damage distribution in urban areas due to disasters. Using actual high-resolution images with spatial resolution of 0.6-1.0 m, visual damage inspections have been conducted by several research groups for recent disasters. But it is time consuming to cover large areas and the results of visual inspection are dependent on interpreters. Hence in this study, automated damage detection methods are developed and their accuracy is examined, compared with the results of visual interpretation. As an example, QuickBird images obtained both before and after the 21 May 2003 Boumerdes, Algeria, Earthquake are employed. Both pixel based classification and object-oriented classification methods are used to identify severely damaged or collapsed buildings, which are characterized by debris around them. Based on pixel based classification using edge information,