## From lab to field, from micro to macro – test of technologies for the production of hydrate bonded $CH_4$ via $CO_2$ sequestration in hydrates

Judith M. Schicks

GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Telegrafenberg, 14473 Potsdam, Germany <a href="mailto:schick@gfz-potsdam.de">schick@gfz-potsdam.de</a>

## **Abstract**

Since huge amounts of  $CH_4$  are bond in natural gas hydrates occurring at all active and passive continental margins as well as permafrost regions, these hydrate reservoirs are supposed to be a promising resources for natural gas. The injection of  $CO_2$  into hydrate-bearing sediments as a chemical stimulation method for the exploitation of gas hydrate reservoirs appears to be particularly favorable because it combines the release of  $CH_4$  from the hydrate phase with the storage of  $CO_2$  as a solid clathrate. Therefore, several experimental and modelling studies worldwide and also in the framework of the German national project SUGAR (Submarine Gas Hydrate Reservoirs) focused on this approach during the last decades.

Laboratory data from micro-scale experiments using analytical methods such as Raman spectroscopy, X-ray and neutron diffraction, nuclear magnetic resonance and others provide information about the structural changes of the hydrate phase and the gas exchange processes on a molecular scale. The results indicate that the processes related to the exchange of the guest molecules are quite complex. It is now generally accepted that the driving force for this process is the chemical potential gradient between the  $CH_4$  hydrate phase and the injected  $CO_2$  phase inducing the release of  $CH_4$  from the hydrate lattice and the incorporation of  $CO_2$  into the hydrate structure. Raman spectroscopic and X-ray diffraction measurements indicate that this process correlates with a (partial) decomposition or opening of the hydrate cavities. In case of mixed hydrates containing larger hydrocarbons such as  $C_2H_6$  and  $C_3H_8$  besides  $CH_4$  in the hydrate phase the exchange of the guest molecules with  $CO_2$  comes along with a change of the hydrate structure (sII to sI and vice versa). The exchange of the guest molecules generally results in the formation of a secondary mixed hydrate phase containing  $CO_2$  besides  $CH_4$  and other hydrocarbons depending on the gas mixture content of the environmental phase.

Laboratory data from large-scale experiments provide information about the  $CH_4$  recovery and fluid migration, hydrate dissociation and formation of a secondary hydrate phase, heat and mass transfer. The experimental results show a wide variation indicating that the recovery rate of  $CH_4$  strongly depends on the experimental conditions such as the volume of the sample, hydrate saturation and thus permeability of the hydrate bearing sediment, hydrate morphology as well as pressure and temperature of the injected  $CO_2$  phase. Also, the use of gas mixtures containing  $N_2$  besides  $CO_2$  has an impact on the recovery rate of  $CH_4$  and the potential formation of a secondary  $CO_2$ -rich hydrate phase. In any case, the use of  $CO_2$  injection as a production method for  $CH_4$  from hydrate bearing sediments will result in the production of a gas mixture rather than the extraction of pure  $CH_4$ . Since the injected  $CO_2$  forms a mixed hydrate a certain amount of  $CH_4$  remains in the hydrate phase. In addition, the long-term stability of the secondary formed  $CO_2$ -rich hydrate phase also depends on the potential changes of the chemical environment which may result in the release of  $CO_2$  due to a re-exchange of the hydrate bonded gas molecules or dissociation of the hydrate phase. These results make the approach of  $CH_4$  production via  $CO_2$  injection maybe less attractive than original expected in particular if compared to other productions technologies such as depressurization and/or thermal stimulation.