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#### Abstract

SUMMARY We describe results of an active-source seismology experiment across the Chilean subduction zone at $38.2^{\circ}$ S. The seismic sections clearly show the subducted Nazca plate with varying reflectivity. Below the coast the plate interface occurs at 25 km depth as the sharp lower boundary of a $2-5 \mathrm{~km}$ thick, highly reflective region, which we interpret as the subduction channel, that is, a zone of subducted material with a velocity gradient with respect to the upper and lower plate. Further downdip along the seismogenic coupling zone the reflectivity decreases in the area of the presumed 1960 Valdivia hypocentre. The plate interface itself can be traced further down to depths of $50-60 \mathrm{~km}$ below the Central Valley. We observe strong reflectivity at the plate interface as well as in the continental mantle wedge. The sections also show a segmented forearc crust in the overriding South American plate. Major features in the accretionary wedge, such as the Lanalhue fault zone, can be identified. At the eastern end of the profile a bright west-dipping reflector lies perpendicular to the plate interface and may be linked to the volcanic arc.
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## 1 INTRODUCTION

One of the main goals in subduction zone research is to understand the structural and petrophysical properties of seismogenic coupling zones, especially their downdip end. Here, mega-thrust earthquakes are inferred to initiate, but the triggering mechanisms and processes are still poorly understood (e.g. Bebout et al. 1996; Oleskevich et al. 1999; Peacock \& Hyndman 1999; Stern 2002; Nicholson et al. 2005). All subduction earthquakes with magnitudes larger than eight occur in the seismogenic coupling zone, often located close to the coast where population is concentrated. Therefore, coastal areas above subduction zones are subject to high-risk potential.
With a quarter of the worldwide seismic energy in the last century having been released in the Chilean region alone (Scholz 2002), the Andean subduction zone is a natural laboratory for our seismogeniczone studies. The overarching purpose of project TIPTEQ (from The Incoming Plate to mega-Thrust EarthQuake processes), which com-

[^0]prises 13 subprojects, is to investigate processes active at all scales in the seismogenic coupling zone in south central Chile (Fig. 1), which hosted the rupture plane of the 1960 Valdivia earthquake $\left(M_{\mathrm{w}}=9.5\right.$; Cifuentes 1989; Barrientos \& Ward 1990). The controlled-source seismology survey described here aims at imaging and identifying the structural properties within the seismogenic coupling zone at $38.2^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$.

In this manuscript, we summarise the experiment setup and field acquisition. Then we present structural images including an initial interpretation of the observed features below this part of the Chilean forearc.

## 2 SURVEY SETUP

The TIPTEQ survey was conducted at $38.2^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ and trended WE, crossing the Coastal Cordillera, where the 1960 earthquake hypocentre is thought to have occurred (Cifuentes 1989; Krawczyk \& the SPOC Team 2003), and the western portion of the Central Valley (Fig. 1). The experiment was cored by a 95.5 km long nearvertical reflection (NVR) survey with explosive shots every 1.5 km and three-component geophones every 100 m (see Table 1). Three shots off the end of the line extended it eastwards to a total length of 118 km . The NVR survey was supplemented by an expanding spread profiling (ESP) component, consisting of 15 extra shots with


Figure 1. Location map of the active-source seismological experiment of project TIPTEQ (for acquisition details see Table 1). Black line - receiver line, black ticks - shot locations, blue line - CDP line, black box - Kirchhoff migration volume ( $c f$. Fig. 4 and text), red star - hypocentral area of the 1960 earthquake. The yellow and magenta lines mark the CDP line of the SPOC onshore seismic reflection profile and the SPOC wide-angle refraction profile, respectively (Krawczyk \& the SPOC Team 2003). The green line marks the eastern end of the marine seismic reflection profile SO161-038/42 (Reichert \& Schreckenberger 2002). The red line maps the surface trace of the Lanalhue fault zone (LFZ; after Melnick \& Echtler 2006). NAZCA and SAM in the inset label the Nazca and South American plates, respectively.
offsets up to almost 100 km , and focussing on the downdip end of the seismogenic coupling zone $20-50 \mathrm{~km}$ inland at about 45 km depth. In a pilot study the generation of horizontally polarized shear waves (SH waves) using the three-hole (Camouflet) method (Dohr (1985)) was tested.

Within project Subduction Processes Off Chile (SPOC; Krawczyk \& the SPOC Team 2003; Krawczyk et al. 2006; Sick et al. 2006) an onshore seismic reflection profile with a two-fold common depth-point (CDP) coverage served as a feasibility study for the survey described here. The two profiles coincide along the first 45 km eastwards of the coast. Then the SPOC profile turns northwards for another 10 km , while the TIPTEQ profile continues east for about 50 km . Furthermore, the onshore profiles connect westwards to an existing marine seismic reflection profile, which yields a high resolution image of the subducted oceanic plate and the trench region (Reichert \& Schreckenberger 2002; Rauch 2005) (see Fig. 1).

## 3 DATA PROCESSING AND RESULTS

Single shot gathers (e.g. Fig. 2) show distinct reflections (e.g. between 4 and 5 s two-way time (TWT)) and broad reflectivity bands (e.g. below 6 s TWT down to at least 17 s TWT). These bands have different dips and continue laterally over several kilometres. For the shot record shown in Fig. 2 the reflectivity around 15-17 s TWT is associated with the plate interface and will be characterized in the further interpretation.

The SPOC wide-angle refraction experiment provided us with a $P$-wave velocity model (Krawczyk \& the SPOC Team 2003, Fig. 3) along the same latitude (Fig. 1). This velocity information was used to produce a CDP stacked time section and a pre-stack depth migrated section of the vertical component of the NVR data set (Fig. 4). The processing and the major structural features of both sections are described below.

### 3.1 The CDP stacked time section

To produce a brute stack of the NVR data the processing comprised the following steps. After data-conversion and assigning the field
geometry, the NVR data were edited for bad traces, traces with reversed polarity and GPS timing errors. The data were bandpassfiltered and spherical divergence losses were recovered by two offsetdependent amplitude correction operators. Horizontal scaling (trace equalization) and automatic gain control (AGC) were applied, as well as a lateral coherency enhancement. After normal move-out (NMO) correction, a CDP reflectivity stack with phase restoration was carried out, including horizontal and vertical trace balancing.

The resulting time section (Fig. 4a) shows coherent reflections and broad reflectivity bands throughout the section. A prominent continuous reflector band is visible between 9 s TWT at the coast and 17 s TWT under the Central Valley. From 0 to 10 s TWT, the seismic section is strongly structured between the coast and the Central Valley showing dipping, horizontal and arched bands of high reflectivity with a duration of $1-2 \mathrm{~s}$ TWT. These distinct reflective bands are structurally continuous for tens of kilometres distance. An enigmatic reflection is present below 20 s TWT in the eastern half of the section.

### 3.2 The pre-stack depth migrated section

Kirchhoff pre-stack depth migration was performed in a 3-D volume (see box in Fig. 1) using the true source and receiver coordinates, thereby taking into account the actual crooked line geometry and the topography along the profile using the method of Buske (1999). As input velocities for the migration we used a smoothed version of the SPOC 2-D wide-angle velocity model (see Fig. 3) that was stretched in $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ direction in order to provide a 3-D model. The single shot gathers were migrated separately using the true phase information. Then trace envelopes of all migrated single shots were calculated and stacked to form a 3-D image. An analysis of the 3-D migration volume showed almost no structural dip perpendicular to the survey line. That allowed us to further increase the signal-to-noise ratio up to a factor of 2 by summing of the $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{E}$ oriented depth slices. The resulting 2-D depth section is shown in Fig. 4(b). For further details concerning the process of crossline stacking see Yoon et al. (2003) and Yoon (2005). More details on the concept of crooked line migration in 2-D and 3-D in general can be found in Nedimovic \& West (2003a,b).

Table 1. Acquisition and field parameters of the controlled-source seismology experiment of the TIPTEQ project.

| General information |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Recording period | 2005 January 13-30 |
| Area | Southern central Chile, $\sim 38.2^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 73.5-72^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ |
| Profile length | 105-km-along CDP line |
| Total data amount | Three components, 1.5 GB each |
| Recording |  |
| Recording system | Portable field recorders, EarthDataLogger PR6-24 (GPS-clock, 6-40 GB HDs) |
| Sampling rate | 5 ms |
| Recording format | MiniSEED, continuous |
| Extracted event time | 102 s |
| Receivers |  |
| Number of channels | 180 |
| Geophone type | Three-component geophone, Sensor P-6/B, 4.5 Hz |
| Geophones/station | 1 (buried) |
| Geophone spacing | 100 m (projected on CDP line) |
| Spread length | 18.0 km |
| Daily roll-along | 4.5 km (east to west) |
| Number of stations | 955 |
| Sources |  |
| Source type | Explosive shots in boreholes |
| Explosive charge/shot |  |
| NVR, SH | 75 kg (1 hole, 20 m deep) |
| East off the line | 100/150/200 kg (1/2/2 |
|  | Holes; 25/20/25 m deep) |
| ESP | $150-300 \mathrm{~kg}$ ( 2 holes, 20-30m deep) |
| Shot spacing |  |
| NVR/SH/ESP | 1.5/9.0/9.0 km |
| Number of shots |  |
| NVR/SH/ESP | 73/13/15 |
| East off the line | 3 (all during first set-up) |
| Subsurface coverage |  |
| NVR/SH/ESP | 8/1/10-fold (nominal) |

An east-dipping reflective band with a thickness of $2-5 \mathrm{~km}$ appears below the coast at a depth of about 25 km . Apart from a region of low reflectivity at $x=30-50 \mathrm{~km}$, this reflective band can be traced down to a depth of 50 km below the Central Valley. The upper boundary of this reflective band is relatively diffuse whereas the lower boundary appears comparatively sharp. The section above this reflective band from $x=0-30 \mathrm{~km}$ shows several discrete, bent and horizontal reflectors. The region from $x=30-50 \mathrm{~km}$ shows little and diffuse reflectivity. From $x=50-90 \mathrm{~km}$ a highly reflective region can be observed which continues up to 15-20 km depth where it is bounded by an almost transparent area. Within this region predominantly horizontal structures are visible. At the eastern end of the profile from 40 to 70 km depth a strong west-dipping reflector appears almost perpendicular to the downward prolongation of the east-dipping reflective band. An extension to shallower depths cannot be imaged due to the eastern limit of the profile.

## 4 INTERPRETATION AND DISCUSSION

Fig. 4(c) shows a preliminary interpretation based on the post-stack and pre-stack images. The sharp lower boundary of the reflective band between 25 km depth at the coast and 50 km depth below the Central Valley (Fig. 4b) is interpreted as the top of the subducted ma-


Figure 2. NVR shot gather (shot no. 56; marked by spark) recorded along the asymmetric $18-\mathrm{km}-$ long geophone spread (for location see Fig. 1). The section shows the vertical component of ground motion. It has only been bandpass-filtered and horizontally and vertically scaled, underlining the good data quality.
terial going down with the velocity of the Nazca plate. This boundary coincides with the plate interface in the SPOC wide-angle velocity model, which was inferred from the observed oceanic Moho and the thickness of the oceanic crust imaged by marine seismics close to the coast.

The reflectivity from $x=0$ to 30 km directly above the plate boundary can be associated with the existence of a subduction channel (Lohrmann et al. 2006; Krawczyk et al. 2006) with a thickness of $2-5 \mathrm{~km}$ (Fig. 4c). The subduction channel as defined by Cloos


Figure 3. Wide-angle $P$-wave velocity model of profile SPOC-South showing the geometry of the downgoing plate and the velocity structure at $38.2^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ (modified after Krawczyk \& the SPOC Team 2003).
\& Shreve (1988) is a zone with varying thickness above the oceanic crust in which material being transported downwards, exhibits a velocity gradient with respect to both plates. The material flowing within the subduction channel is derived from trench deposits, off scrapings from the base of the upper plate by tectonic erosion, or from the top of the downgoing plate at depth. Following this concept we expect the subduction channel and the transition zone to be highly reflective, as material here differs from its surroundings, thus inducing a strong impedance contrast. An additional process leading to high reflectivity may be the dewatering of sediments carried down in the subduction channel and the migration of fluids into the continental crust. Currently the thickness of the subducting sediments is 2.2 km at the trench (Rauch 2005), but this is neither expected to be continuous along the entire subduction channel nor through time. The thickness of $2-5 \mathrm{~km}$ of the subduction channel we observe onshore is an upper bound, as we cannot distinguish material within the subduction channel from freshly accreted material or material that is moving with the oceanic plate. According to Cloos \& Shreve (1988) a narrowing of the subduction channel leads to an upflow of material, and thus causes a thickening of the channel further up-dip. This process is one possible explanation for basal accretion. We observe such a thickening of the channel ( $>2 \mathrm{~km}$; $x=15-20 \mathrm{~km}$ ) below the Coastal Cordillera, where uplift is observed and attributed to basal accretion by Glodny et al. (2005). The authors conducted a detailed study in the Valdivia area using isotope tectonochronology and sandbox modelling. This study shows that the intercalated metasediments and metabasites which build up the Coastal Cordillera at the surface were once basally accreted and consist of material that was transported from the offshore trench to depth along what is thought to be the subduction channel. In our sections we observe a strongly segmented upper plate showing horizontal and arched reflector elements that characterize the internal structure of the Permo-Triassic accretionary wedge, tracing at depth the sequences mapped and dated at the surface. The melange in the channel that is subducted further down undergoes dehydration and embrittlement and may lead to the observed reflectivity at $x=50-$ 90 km at depths of $42-55 \mathrm{~km}$. Local seismicity studies (Haberland et al. (2006)) show moderate seismic activity along the plate interface as well as within the supposed subduction channel.

The varying pattern of reflectivity observed in the overriding plate can be explained in the context of local geology (e.g. Hérve 1988) and morphotectonic segmentation of the region (e.g. Mpodozis \& Ramos 1989; Melnick \& Echtler 2006). At $x=51 \mathrm{~km}$ the profile crosses the Lanalhue fault zone (LFZ). Its continuation to about 10 km depth can be inferred by a lateral change of reflectivity (Figs 4 a and b ). A granite body covered by sediments of the Eastern

Series (Hérve 1988) occurs east of the LFZ and causes an almost transparent image down to 20 km depth, where an east-dipping reflector indicates a change to highly reflective material. This high reflectivity may be caused by fluids trapped just below the granite or simply by a change in the lithology. The Western Series (Hérve 1988) is made up of chlorite schists, which are exposed towards the coast, and a more heterogeneous basement devoid of sediments in the Coastal Cordillera. Both the schists and the homogeneous granite body are favourable for deep seismic imaging, whereas due to scattering absorption it is probably difficult to image structures below the strongly heterogeneous basement. This situation is one possible explanation for the less reflective central part of the section (Figs 4 a and b ).

A clear boundary marking the position of the continental Moho could not be found in the NVR data. The dewatering of the downgoing oceanic crust might lead to serpentinized forearc mantle material that reduces the velocity contrast between continental crust and mantle and thus hampers the formation of an explicit Moho reflection in the seismic image. This phenomenon is also observed in receiver function data from the Cascadia subduction zone (Bostock et al. 2002; Nicholson et al. 2005) and Alaska (Abers et al. 2006). Constraints from a thermal model (e.g. isotherm geometries and phase transitions) are not available yet, but it is an ongoing effort within project TIPTEQ to construct such a model for this region for the first time.

Even though the existence of the continental Moho within the overriding plate is not directly evident, we can consider two possible delineations and intersections of the continental Moho with the oceanic plate from the seismic image. The first scenario assumes the hypocentre of the 1960 Chile earthquake as shown in the section in Fig. 4(c) after Krawczyk \& the SPOC Team (2003). The authors relocated the hypocentre using the continental Moho from the SPOC wide-angle velocity model (Fig. 3) together with the assumption that the hypocentres of mega-thrust earthquakes initiate at the downdip end of seismogenic coupling zones (e.g. Oleskevich et al. 1999; Peacock \& Hyndman 1999). Engdahl \& Villaseñor (2002) relocated the 1960 earthquake epicentre independently at almost the same location (within 4 km horizontal distance) using an improved global velocity model (ak135) and a new location procedure (EHB method). The reflectivity east of the 1960 hypocentre shows horizontal structures at various depths that may be linked to a continental Moho (see Fig. 4c). We may see a horizontal Moho at 38 km depth as indicated in the SPOC wide-angle data or a Moho rising up to a depth of 32 , respectively, 25 km . The highest position would coincide with the interpretation of Yuan et al. (2006) based on receiver function studies at $\sim 39^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$.


Figure 4. TIPTEQ profile at $38.2^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ as (a) Unmigrated post-stack time section; (b) Kirchhoff pre-stack depth migrated section; (c) Preliminary interpretation with the region of the 1960 earthquake hypocentre marked. See text for details.

The second possibility is, that we do not directly image the continental Moho, because it encounters the oceanic plate at depths greater than 50 km . We cannot image horizontal or east dipping structures with high coverage in these depths east of profile-km 80
due to the experiment geometry. This would imply that all of the reflectivity above the plate interface is attributed to the continental crust and the location of the 1960 earthquake is not at the intersection of the continental Moho with the oceanic crust. However, a
continental Moho depth of 50 km or more would be highly surprising as the continental Moho, if anything, tends to shallow towards the coast from the present-day topographically high, volcanic arc of the Andes beneath which it does not reach depths of more than 4050 km between $37^{\circ}$ and $39^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ (Krawczyk et al. 2006). If the continental Moho were to intersect the oceanic plate at depths greater than 50 km , then crustal material should have been added to the base of the crust in this region. As a consequence, one would expect this region to uplift due to isostasy. However, presently this region occupies the western part of the topographically low central valley. Thus it seems unlikely that the continental Moho intersects the oceanic plate at depths greater than 50 km .

Significant changes in reflectivity along the plate interface are also reported in other subduction systems. In Cascadia, Nedimović et al. (2003) suggest a correlation between reflectivity and coupling along the plate interface. According to their interpretation, a thin reflective zone less than 2 km thick indicates the coupled section of the plate interface. This zone broadens to $2-4 \mathrm{~km}$ in a transitional zone at the downdip end of the seismogenic coupling zone. A broad reflection band more than 4 km thick (E-layer) marks the beginning of the zone of aseismic creep. For south central Chile we observe a similar thickness variation in the reflective zone along the plate interface from an average thickness of $2-3 \mathrm{~km}$ in the coupled zone at $24-$ 36 km depth to more than 4 km in the zone of aseismic creep at $40-$ 55 km depth (Fig. 4). However, instead of a gradual thickening in the transitional zone from 36 to 40 km depth, we observe a zone of low reflectivity as discussed above. In Cascadia the reflections from the E-layer vanish where the oceanic plate encounters the mantle wedge. In our preferred interpretation of the TIPTEQ seismic data with the onset of the continental Moho at 38 km depth, the reflectivity corresponding to the E-layer starts at the beginning of the mantle wedge. We attribute this reflective band to the continuation of the subduction channel towards depth. A similar observation is reported by Calvert et al. (2003) for Cascadia where a lobe of subducted material and sheared crustal rocks, up to 15 km thick, underplates the serpentinized mantle wedge.

The origin of the E-layer in the Cascadia subduction zone was addressed by several authors. Green et al. (1986) interpret the laminated structure of the E-layer as the lowermost layers of lithified sediments and possibly the uppermost crystalline layers of the oceanic crust underplating the base of the overriding plate, whereas Nicholson et al. (2005) attribute the E-layer to fluids in the upper part of the oceanic crust. A migration of these fluids into the continental crust can explain variations in the thickness of the layer. This is in agreement with Kurtz et al. (1986) who modeled a zone of high electrical conductivity at the depth of the seismic E-horizon caused by saline fluids in the pore spaces of sedimentary and mafic materials of the upper oceanic crust. All three papers place the E-layer at the plate interface between the upper part of the oceanic crust and the base of the continental crust and connect it with processes active at the plate interface. These findings from Cascadia support our interpretation of the reflective band at the plate interface as the seismic signature of the fluid filled subduction channel in south central Chile. Other studies in Cascadia argue that the E-layer reflection is caused by fluids trapped at a boundary in the continental crust at least 10 km above the plate interface (e.g. Hyndman 1988). This is further supported by receiver function analysis (Cassidy \& Ellis 1993) and a 3-D compressional wave velocity model constructed through tomographic inversion (Ramachandran et al. 2005). In this interpretation the top of the oceanic plate is correlated with a thin reflective band (F-layer) below the E-layer. With our available data for south central Chile the depth of the plate interface is well constrained. The

E-layer as interpreted above might correspond in our case to strong subhorizontal reflections in the continental crust (e.g. from $x=10$ to 50 km and $z=25$ to 30 km in Fig. 4b).

The nature and the origin of the bright west-dipping reflector at the eastern end of the profile at $40-70 \mathrm{~km}$ depth (Fig. 4) is not yet clear. We note the spatial coincidence of its extrapolation to the surface and the trace of the Liquiñe-Ofqui fault zone, which is a transpressional feature at the magmatic arc (Hérve 1994; Rosenau et al. 2006). One might speculate that this bright reflective feature is related to a possible ascent path for melts towards the volcanic arc. The position of the reflector also coincides with a local anomaly in the $P$-wave velocity model derived from local earthquake tomography (Bohm 2004; Asch et al. 2006).

## 5 SUMMARY

We present a structural image and a first interpretation of the NVR data set of project TIPTEQ, acquired across the seismogenic coupling zone of the south central Chilean subduction zone. The image clearly shows the subducted oceanic Nazca plate below the segmented forearc and a highly reflective overriding South American plate. We associate the high reflectivity at the plate interface with the existence of a subduction channel with a varying thickness of $2-5 \mathrm{~km}$ down to a depth of at least 38 km . It might continue towards depth. The continental Moho is not clearly imaged. However, one possible location is at 38 km depth given by the 1960 earthquake hypocentre. The reflectivity east of the hypocentre shows horizontal structures at various depths, which give rise to different eastward continuations of the continental Moho. The position and extent of the continental mantle wedge changes accordingly. Major forearc features such as the crustal Lanalhue fault zone and a strong west-dipping reflector perpendicular to the plate interface, can be observed.

Future research will be directed towards further advanced processing and imaging as well as the incorporation of the ESP and SH -waves components of the survey. Furthermore, the existing velocity model from project SPOC will be improved by using the ESP data and first-break tomography. Finally, the results provide a framework for further analysis of the corresponding subduction related processes in south central Chile and will enter an integrative interpretation within the whole TIPTEQ project.
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