

Originally published as:

Nickel, J., di Primio, R., Mangelsdorf, K., Stoddart, D., Kallmeyer, J. (2012): Characterisation of microbial activity in pockmark fields of the SW-Barents Sea. - *Marine Geology*, *332-334*, p. 152-162.

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2012.02.002

1 Characterization of microbial activity in pockmark fields of the

2 SW-Barents Sea

- 3 Julia C. Nickel¹, Rolando di Primio¹, Kai Mangelsdorf¹, Daniel Stoddart², Jens
- 4 Kallmeyer³
- ⁵ ¹ Helmholtz Centre Potsdam GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences,
- 6 Telegrafenberg
- 7 D-14473 Potsdam, Germany, nickel@gfz-potsdam.de; kai.mangelsdorf@gfz-
- 8 potsdam.de
- ² Lundin Petroleum Norway, Strandveien 50D, 1366 Lysaker, Norway;
- 10 daniel.stoddart@lundin-norway.no
- ³ University of Potsdam, Karl-Liebknecht-Strasse 24, Haus 27, D-14476 Potsdam,
- 12 Germany; Jens.Kallmeyer@geo.uni-potsdam.de
- 13
- 14 Keywords: Pockmark, Barents Sea, sulfate reduction, microbial activity, Loppa High.
- 15 Abstract
- 16

17	Multibeam bathymetry revealed the occurrence of numerous craterlike depressions,
18	so-called pockmarks, on the sea floor of the Hammerfest Basin and the Loppa High,
19	south-western Barents Sea. To investigate whether these pockmarks are related to
20	ongoing gas seepage, microbial processes associated with methane metabolism
21	were analyzed using geochemical, biogeochemical and microbiological techniques.
22	Gravity cores were collected along transects crossing individual pockmarks, allowing
23	a direct comparison between different locations inside (assumed activity center), on
24	the rim, and outside of a pockmark (reference sites). Concentrations of hydrocarbons
25	in the sediment, particularly methane, were measured as headspace (free) gas, and
26	in the occluded and adsorbed gas fraction. Down to a depth of 2.6 m below sea floor

27 (mbsf) sulfate reduction rates were quantified by radiotracer incubations.

28 Concentrations of dissolved sulfate in the porewater were determined as well. Neither 29 the sulfate profiles nor the gas measurements show any evidence of microbial activity 30 or active fluid venting. Methane concentrations and sulfate reduction rates were 31 extremely low or even below the detection limit. The results show that the observed 32 sediment structures are most likely paleo-pockmarks, their formation probably 33 occurred during the last deglaciation.

34

35 **1** Introduction

Pockmarks are craterlike depressions in the seabed that form due to expulsion of
fluids (Hovland and Judd, 1988). Fluids can be aqueous liquids like porewater
(Harrington, 1985; Whiticar, 2002) but many published examples indicate formation
by seeping hydrocarbons, especially methane, which can be of biogenic (Vaular et
al., 2010) or thermogenic origin (Gay et al., 2006; Solheim and Elverhøi, 1985).

41 Pockmarks were first discovered in the late 1960's in Nova Scotia (King and 42 MacLean, 1970), since then they have been observed globally in many different 43 geologic settings, e.g. lakes, continental slopes, shelves and rises, shallow bays, 44 fjords, as well as in the deep sea (Hovland and Judd, 1988; Judd and Hovland, 45 2007). They occur in different shapes e.g. as circular craters or as non-circular, 46 elongated forms (Hovland et al., 2002). Sizes generally range from 10 to 200 m with 47 depths up to 35 m, but also giant pockmarks with diameters of up to 1 km or more 48 have been reported (Cole et al., 2000; Ondréas et al., 2005). Pockmarks can appear 49 as single features (Prior et al., 1989) or in aggregations of hundreds of pockmarks, 50 extending over tens of square kilometers (Lammers et al., 1995).

51 Hovland and Judd (1988) proposed a general model for pockmark evolution. Gas 52 from greater depth migrates upwards through the sediment towards the seabed 53 where it accumulates and causes doming. Stretching of the seabed causes small 54 tensional fractures. Gas migrating along routes towards those fractures establishes a 55 hydraulic connection, which results in a pressure drop followed by a violent burst. A 56 unit pockmark is formed. Clusters of unit pockmarks can coalesce to a normal pockmark. A model by Woolsey et al. (1975) simulating "diatreme emplacements by 57 58 fluidization" supports this theory. Recently, Cathles et al. (2010) came up with a conceptual model of pockmark and gas chimney formation. They assume that there 59 60 is a capillary seal which traps the gas in the deeper sediment. Gas accumulation 61 leads to overpressure and seal failure and an upward migrating gas diapir forms. 62 With gas approaching the seabed, the sediment becomes fluidized and is removed, 63 pockmarks begin to develop.

Pockmark formation is often associated with tectonic or sedimentary features such as
faults or buried channels (Chand et al., 2008). It can be controlled by underlying
bedrock and lithological boundaries (Forwick et al., 2009), but can also be triggered
by extreme events like earthquakes and tsunamis, melting of grounded icebergs or
even by human influence (Hovland et al., 2002).

There are only few examples in which active fluid or gas flux could be observed.
However, active pockmarks have been found all over the world (Gay et al., 2007;
Lammers et al., 1995; Ondréas et al., 2005; Solheim and Elverhøi, 1985) but also
inactive, dormant pockmarks (Hovland and Judd, 1988; Ussler et al., 2003; Webb et
al., 2009), even entire inactive pockmark fields (Paull et al., 2002; Solheim and
Elverhøi, 1993) and buried paleo-pockmarks, discovered by 3D seismic data (Cole et
al., 2000; Hartwig et al., 2012, this issue), have been described.

Leakage of hydrocarbons through pockmarks infers that there is a gas source in the
underlying sediment. As potential indicators for deeper hydrocarbon reservoirs,
pockmarks received considerable interest from the hydrocarbon exploration industry
(Hasiotis et al., 2002; Hovland and Judd, 1988).

80 Submarine hydrocarbon seeps, such as pockmarks or mud volcanoes, are habitats 81 for specific microbial communities. Epifaunal and bacterial aggregations like 82 thiotrophic bacterial mats associated with these structures are widely described in the 83 literature (Foucher et al., 2009; Niemann et al., 2006) and provide evidence of 84 ongoing nutrient provision and metabolization. Pockmarks also play an important role 85 in the global methane cycle. Methane coming from a source at greater depth can be 86 released to the overlying ocean and even partly to the atmosphere (Lammers et al., 87 1995; O'Connor et al., 2010; Reeburgh, 2007), effectively bypassing the zone of 88 anaerobic oxidation of methane, which normally prevents any methane escape from 89 sediments.

In subsurface sediments microbial activity dominates, with dissimilatory sulfate
reduction as the quantitatively most important electron acceptor process in the
anaerobic degradation of organic matter (D'Hondt et al., 2002; Jørgensen, 1982).
Thus, determination of sulfate reduction rates provides a reasonably good estimate of
total microbial activity in anoxic marine sediments. According to the carbon source
used, sulfate reduction (SR) can be divided into two main pathways.

Organiclastic sulfate reduction takes place in the upper part of the sediment close to
the sediment-water interface. It is fuelled by small organic molecules like fatty acids,
derived from the degradation of organic matter. The organic matter is oxidized by
dissolved sulfate, which is in turn reduced to hydrogen sulfide (Jørgensen, 1982;
Martens and Berner, 1974), according to equation 1.

101 Eq. 1
$$2 CH_2O + SO_4^{2-} \rightarrow 2 HCO_3^{-} + H_2S$$

102

Methanotrophic SR, also known as anaerobic oxidation of methane (AOM), occurs
below the zone of organiclastic sulfate reduction in a narrow band where there is an
overlap of dissolved sulfate and methane (Martens & Berner 1974, Iversen &
Jørgensen 1985), the so-called sulfate-methane transition zone (SMTZ). The
reduction of sulfate to sulfide is facilitated by the oxidation of methane to bicarbonate
(equation 2).

109 Eq. 2
$$CH_4 + SO_4^{2-} \rightarrow HCO_3^{-} + HS^{-} + H_2O$$

110

A consortium of archaea and sulfate-reducing bacteria (SRB) has been reported to
facilitate the anaerobic oxidation of methane with sulfate as an electron acceptor
(Boetius et al., 2000; Orphan et al., 2001). Recently, other electron acceptors have
been identified, e.g. Nitrate (Raghoebarsing et al., 2006).

Below the SMTZ sulfate is usually not detectable in the porewater, some very minor concentrations (single to tens of μ M) are usually attributed to reoxidation of hydrogen sulfide during sample processing. However, molecular studies could identify sulfate reducing microbes below the SMTZ (Schippers et al., 2010), even in the zone of active methanogenesis (Leloup et al., 2007).

120

121 In this study, three areas in the southwestern Barents Sea were investigated (Fig. 1).

122 Two of these areas (areas B and F) are part of a large field of many small

depressions in the seabed (Fig. 2), which were identified as pockmarks. The third

124 area (area D) does not contain any pockmark features but was chosen for further 125 investigations due to an assumed underlying gas anomaly, as interpreted from seismic data. Since pockmarks could be valuable indicators for deeper hydrocarbon 126 127 reservoirs, we investigated whether these pockmarks are related to ongoing gas 128 seepage or if they are manifestations of a past event. About 200 sediment gravity 129 cores were collected and analyzed. A combined study of gas measurements, 130 quantification of geochemical parameters and microbial activity was conducted to 131 obtain a better understanding about ongoing processes in and around the pockmarks 132 and to reveal their formation history.

133 2 Geological setting

The Barents Sea is a deep epicontinental sea, which borders to the Norwegian Sea in the southwest and to the Arctic Ocean in the north. It is characterized by several shallow banks and is crossed by deep troughs and faults (Fig. 1). The investigated area is located between the southwestern part of the Loppa High, comprising the Polheim sub-platform, and the Ringvassoy-Loppa Fault complex and defined by a square with SW and NE coordinates 72°13'N, 20°38'E and 71°57'N, 19°53'E (Fig. 1).

The Loppa High is a structural high near the south-western margin of the Norwegian Barents Sea. Fault complexes separate the Loppa High from the Hammerfest basin in the southern and the Bjørnøya Basin in the western part. In the south-east it passes into the Hammerfest Basin and the Bjarmeland Platform, separated by a monocline.

The area has undergone a complex geological history, characterized by several
phases of uplift/subsidence and subsequent tilting and erosion (Larssen et al., 2005),
whereby the Loppa High is a result of Late Jurassic to Early Cretaceous tectonism

148 (Gabrielsen et al., 1990). According to Eidvin et al. (1993) and Cavanagh et al.

149 (2006) the latest erosion phase is linked to the glacial period.

150 During the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) the study area was totally covered by 151 grounded ice (Andreassen et al., 2008; Siegert et al., 2001). During that time the ice 152 sheets reached the shelf break twice (LGM I and LGM II) in the SW-Barents Sea. 153 LGM I occurred at 19 ka followed by the Andoya Interstadial which commenced with 154 a high arctic climate after which middle to low arctic climate prevailed. Large areas of 155 the southern Barents Sea were deglaciated again. At 18 ka the LGM II occurred in 156 this area (Junttila et al., 2010; Vorren and Laberg, 1996). Between 16 and 15 ka a 157 warm spell caused the thinning of the ice sheets, triggering a major deglaciation. A 158 major drawdown of the marine-based Barents Sea Ice Sheet occurred around 14.5 159 ka. As of roughly 12 ka the study area was totally free of ice.

160

161

162 3 Materials and Methods

163

164 **3.1 Acoustic survey and Sampling**

In 2009 the Forsvarets Forskenings Institutt (FFI) conducted a multibeam bathymetric survey of the Hammerfest/Loppa High and the Tromsø Basin/Ingøydjupet area of the western Barents Sea region, using an EM 710 echo sounder system, with a medium frequency of 70-100 kHz. During a cruise in November 2009 on R/V HU Sverdrup to the Loppa High about 200 sediment gravity cores with a maximum length of 2.6 m were taken in three different areas (B, D, F).Topographic seafloor maps of the three investigated areas (B, D, F) showing the exact sample locations are given in Figure 2. To map the general gas distribution within each area, between 15 and 115
cores were taken for gas analysis. Due to the massive amount of cores for gas
analysis, samples were only taken from the deepest part of each core. Two sediment
samples of ca. 500 cm³ each were taken and immediately transferred into gas-tight
metal cans and stored at -80°C until analysis after the cruise.

A total of 35 cores (exact locations are given in Table 1) were selected for detailed microbiological and geochemical studies. Each of these cores was sampled in ten depth intervals directly on board. To avoid contamination from shallower sediment being dragged down during penetration of the core, the exterior of the material was shaved off prior to subsampling.

182 Sampling for SRR incubation experiments was carried out by inserting 5-mL tip-cut 183 plastic syringes into the sediment, thereby retrieving small subcores with an intact 184 sedimentary structure. After retrieval of the subcore, the open end was closed with a 185 butyl rubber stopper and the syringes stored in nitrogen-flushed gas-tight bags in a 186 refrigerator close to in-situ temperature (+5°C). Usually this type of incubation is 187 carried out in gas-tight glass barrels. Unfortunately our glass barrels got lost during 188 transport, so we had to revert to plastic syringes. Although plastic syringes are less 189 than perfect for incubating SRR samples because of their permeability for gasses, in 190 this case the potential bias should be minimal because there is basically no methane 191 in the sample which could potentially be lost. Changes in pH due to loss of CO₂ can 192 also be neglected because the porewater is well-buffered with regard to its carbonate 193 system. An aliquot of the sediment was frozen at -20°C in N₂-flushed gas-tight bags 194 for pore-water extraction after the cruise.

195

196 3.2 Sulfate reduction rates (SRR)

- Sulfate reduction rates were determined using a modification of the whole core 197 ³⁵SO₄²⁻ injection method (Jørgensen, 1978) as described by Ferdelman et al. (1999) 198 199 and Treude et al. (2005b). All incubations were performed in duplicates. 4 μ l of carrier-free ³⁵SO₄²⁻ (180 kBg/ μ l) were injected along the longitudinal axis of the 200 201 subcore. The incubations were conducted for 24 hours and terminated by transferring 202 the radiolabeled sediment into 10 mL of 20% (w/v) zinc acetate (ZnAc). Blank samples were prepared by injecting ${}^{35}SO_4{}^{2-}$ radiotracer into a sample, followed by 203 204 immediate transfer into 20% (w/v) zinc acetate. Additionally, tracer blanks were 205 prepared by adding 4 µl of radiotracer directly into ZnAc without any sediment. The 206 total reduced inorganic sulfur (TRIS) compounds (mono- and disulfides, elemental 207 sulfur) were separated using the cold chromium distillation method (Kallmeyer et al., 208 2004). Radioactivity was quantified using a Perkin Elmer Tri Carb 2800 TR liquid 209 scintillation analyzer and Perkin Elmer Ultima Gold XR Scintillation cocktail. SRRs 210 were calculated using the following equation (Jørgensen, 1978; Kallmeyer et al., 211 2004):
- 212

213 SRR=
$$\frac{a_{TRIS}}{a_{TOT}} \times \frac{[SO_4^2]}{t} \times \rho_{SED} \times 1.06 \times 1000000$$

214

where a_{TRIS} and a_{TOT} are the activities of the TRIS compounds and the total activity of the injected ${}^{35}SO_4{}^{2-}$, respectively, using the raw counts (given as counts per minute, cpm) from the scintillation counter; t is the incubation time and ρ_{SED} the porosity of the sediment (mL porewater cm⁻³ sediment). $SO_4{}^{2-}$ is seawater $SO_4{}^{2-}$ concentration, which was set to 28 mM for all samples, because there was no measurable down-core depletion of porewater SO_4^{2-} concentrations in any of our samples. 1.06 is a correction factor for the expected isotopic fractionation (Jørgensen and Fenchel, 1974), 1000000 is the factor to change units from mmol L⁻¹ to pmol cm⁻³.

224

225 **3.3 Determination of gas concentrations**

226 GeolabNor (Trondheim, Norway) quantified C_1 - C_6 hydrocarbons in the headspace (free) and occluded gas fraction as well as C1-C8 hydrocarbons in the adsorbed gas 227 228 fraction, using gas chromatography. Headspace gas was determined by thawing the 229 frozen samples over night with subsequent analysis of the free gas in the headspace 230 of the container. Occluded gas was released mechanically from an aliquot of the 231 sediment using a ball mill under vigorous shaking. Treatment of an aliguot of sediment with orthophosphoric acid led to the detachment of the gas adsorbed to 232 clay minerals. All values are shown in the unit µmol L⁻¹ sediment (µM). Extrapolated 233 234 gas distribution maps were generated based on the obtained gas data.

235 **3.4 Porewater sulfate concentration**

Sediment porewater was obtained by centrifugation and careful decantation followed
by filtration through a 0.2 µm membrane. Porewater sulfate concentrations were
determined by suppressed ion chromatography using a SYKAM IC-System (injection
volume 50µL, anion exchange column, SYKAM LCA A14; conductivity detector,
SYKAM S3115).The elutant was a 6.25 mM sodium carbonate solution with 0.1%
(v/v) modifier (1 mL 4-hydroxy-benzonitrile in 50 mL methanol), the flow rate was
1mL min⁻¹.

244 **4 Results**

245 **4.1 Morphology of seabed**

246 The morphology of the seabed in our study areas is shown in Figure 2. Multibeam 247 bathymetry revealed the presence of a multitude of circular seafloor depressions 248 interpreted as pockmarks. Area B and F contain an average density of 100 249 pockmarks per square kilometer, either randomly distributed or in arrays along 250 iceberg plough marks, which are the result of former iceberg activity, formed primarily 251 during glacial retreat (Solheim, 1997). The up to 15 m deep plough marks can be 252 observed all over the seabed surface. 253 The circular pockmarks are between 10 and 50 m in diameter with an average depth 254 of about 1-3 m. According to Hovland et al. (2002; 1988) these pockmarks can be 255 termed "normal pockmarks". Additionally, some larger, irregular pockmarks with 256 diameters of up to 300 m and depths up to 25 m were observed. As mentioned 257 before, area D does not contain any pockmark features, but, like in area B and F, 258 many plough marks could be seen on the seabed of area D.

259

260 4.2 Samples

The average water depth in the investigated area was found to be around 350 m with an average water temperature of about 6°C. Sediment composition appeared to be very similar in all three areas, being brown to dark brown in color. Except for the first 10 cm which were softer, porosity averaged around 0.52 throughout the entire length of the core.

In general the cores consisted of very sticky silty clay, occasionally containing some
less dense structures like small pieces of siliceous sponges as well as pebbles or
fragments of bivalve shells. There was no difference between sediment from within or

from outside the pockmarks. No hydrogen sulfide smell was noticed in any core norwere there any obvious authigenic carbonate structures.

In area D the penetration depth of the gravity corer was relatively small (average
penetration depth of 50 cm). The sediment material above the anomaly structure
consisted of very sticky mud preventing a deeper penetration.

274

275 4.3 Gas concentrations

276 Concentrations of hydrocarbons (methane and higher n-alkanes, cyclic and branched 277 hydrocarbons) in the headspace (free), occluded, and adsorbed gas fraction were 278 determined on samples from the deepest interval of the core (maximum depth 2.6 279 mbsf). Extrapolated gas distribution maps were created to show the distribution of 280 hydrocarbons in the three study areas. All maps show extremely low concentrations 281 and do not reveal any correlation between gas concentration and sampling sites 282 (pockmark or reference site). The following discussion is focused just on adsorbed 283 methane, since this fraction exhibited highest concentrations. In the occluded and 284 free gas fraction only marginal amounts could be found, concentrations of longer 285 chain hydrocarbons were also negligibly low.

Table 2 summarizes some statistic values for the adsorbed methane and free
methane fraction of the three study areas. Figure 3 shows exemplarily the distribution
of adsorbed methane in area B. In area B the highest methane concentration in the
adsorbed gas fraction was 29.1 µM. Average values were only 6.19 µM in the
pockmark cores and 5.93 µM in reference cores (Tab. 2, Fig. 3).

291 In area D the maximum concentration of adsorbed methane was 16.84 μ M, with an 292 average value of 5.42 μ M. In area F concentrations of adsorbed methane reached a

maximum value of 19.18 µM, the average values for the pockmark samples 6.63 µM
and for the reference samples 5.78 µM. There are some local maxima in gas
concentration in all three areas, but even these anomalies are still very low and they
do not generally correlate to pockmarks.

Due to the very low gas concentrations no isotope measurements could be carried
out. Consequently it remains unknown whether the gas is coming from a biogenic or
thermogenic source.

300

301

302 4.4 Sulfate-profiles

303 On 12 cores porewater sulfate concentrations were determined in 10 depth intervals 304 between 0-240 cm. The profiles show very little variation with depth and among each 305 other (Fig. 4). The almost linear profiles and the only minimal decrease with depth 306 indicate very low net sulfate consumption. When extrapolating linearly, the calculated 307 SO_4^{2-} penetration depth is 37 meters, a value that is more common for oligotrophic 308 open ocean sites, not for ocean margins. For gas rich sediments, this value normally 309 ranges between 0.02 - 0.2 m (Luff and Wallmann, 2003; Treude et al., 2005a).

310

311 4.5 Sulfate reduction rate

Exemplary SRR depth profiles for pockmark and reference sites in area B are presented in Figures 5a to 5d. Irrespective of pockmark or reference site, most of the sulfate reduction activity was restricted to the upper 30 cm of the sediment (Figs. 5a and b). In this depth interval the highest observed SRR was 600 pmol/cc/d. Deeper than 30 cm below the sea floor (cmbsf) measurements fell mostly below the minimum

detection limit (MDL) of 10 pmol cm⁻³ d⁻¹ (Fig. 5a). Only a few single samples showed 317 higher values of up to 200 pmol cm⁻³ d⁻¹ (Fig. 5b), but even those maximum values 318 319 are still very low when compared with other sites from literature e.g. active seeps 320 (Boetius et al., 2000; Pimenov et al., 2010; Treude et al., 2003). Even in the 321 permanently cold sediments of Svalbard in the northern part of the Barents Sea. sulfate reduction rates are much higher (around 60 nmol cm⁻³ d⁻¹) (Finke et al., 2007). 322 323 In some cores all values fell below the MDL, irrespective whether the site was located 324 within or outside of a pockmark (Figs. 5c and d).

In area F (Figs. 5e and 5h), highest SRR was also found in the top layer. For the majority of the samples, sulfate reducing activity was restricted to the upper 20 cm of the sediment, reaching a maximum of up to 400 pmol cm⁻³ d⁻¹ (Fig. 5f). Below this depth interval most values were close or below the MDL (Fig 5g and 5h), slightly higher values could only be detected in very few samples. In several cores from both pockmarks and reference sites, SRR fell continuously below the MDL (Fig. 5h).

In <u>area D</u>, SRR measurements fell consistently below the minimum detection limit inall samples.

In general, sulfate reduction rates do not show any difference between cores from within or outside of pockmark structures. Profiles with slightly higher values in the upper part as well as profiles showing all values below the MDL have been detected for both pockmark and reference sites. Furthermore all SRR profiles show values close to the detection limit, indicating a generally very low microbial activity in the sediments of the investigated area.

339 5 Discussion

- A multi-proxy approach was undertaken to obtain chemical, geomicrobiological and geochemical evidence for active fluid or gas venting. Seafloor seeps that contain methane or hydrogen sulfide can easily be identified by their sediment porewater chemistry, their association with specific microbial communities and high rates of microbial turnover as well as characteristic features in the seismic data. However, in our study area there was no evidence for active fluid venting currently occurring from either type of analysis.
- 347 During sampling we did not notice any hydrogen sulfide smell, not even on acidified
- 348 porewater samples, implying that there are neither significant amounts of dissolved
- 349 H₂S nor any finely precipitated sulfides such as ferrous monosulfide (FeS).
- 350 Furthermore, no apparent carbonate concretions, being an indicator for active or
- recent anaerobic oxidation of methane, could be identified (Ferrell and Aharon, 1994;

352 Knittel and Boetius, 2009).

353 5.1 Gas distribution maps

354 Gas concentrations in sediments of the investigated areas were extremely low. In 355 some single samples, slightly elevated gas concentrations could be detected but no 356 consistent correlation with the occurrence of pockmarks or any other parameter could 357 be established. Headspace gas and occluded gas concentrations were negligibly 358 small, implying that no active seepage is taking place in the pockmark structures. 359 Highest values were always detected in the adsorbed gas fraction, it is therefore 360 reasonable to assume that this gas is derived from previous gas emissions, during 361 which a portion of the gas was adsorbed onto the clay minerals. 362 There are no significant differences between the concentrations of adsorbed

363 methane in all three areas. Throughout our study site, the average gas content is

very low and shows no correlation between sites from within or outside the
pockmarks. Very low gas contents in area D demonstrate that there is no connection
between the potentially underlying gas anomaly and the surface sediments. Due to
the fact that gas concentrations were always below saturation and no bubble
formation occurred, any major loss due to degassing during sampling and processing
can be ruled out.

370

371 **5.2 Sulfate reduction rate (SRR) & Sulfate-profiles**

Unlike methane, which can be lost from the sediment during coring due to degassing, porewater sulfate cannot be lost as it does not form a gaseous phase. In sulfidic sediments however, there is the potential for increasing sulfate concentrations due to oxidation of hydrogen sulfide. Because of the lack of hydrogen sulfide, we can rule out this possibility and assume the sulfate profile to represent true in-situ conditions.

377 The linear decrease in porewater sulfate concentration at all sites indicates that 378 diffusion dominates sulfate transport into the sediment and that there is no sulfate 379 consumption in this depth interval. As described by Berner (1980) SR activity can be 380 estimated from porewater sulfate profiles. However, direct radiotracer measurements of SRR provide much more reliable results of SR activity, especially if diffusion is not 381 382 the only means of transport and other processes like advection may replenish the 383 sulfate pool in near-surface sediments (Fossing et al., 2000; Jørgensen et al., 2001; 384 Weber et al., 2001). This is the case in our study because contrary to the linear 385 sulfate profiles, which indicate no net sulfate consumption over the length of the core, 386 direct radiotracer SRR measurements reveal some very low activity in the upper few 387 cm. Much higher rates of sulfate reduction were expected in the pockmark cores, 388 particularly if active fluid venting was taking place. In most cases SR was only

measurable in the upper 30-40 cm below sea floor (cmbsf). This also leads to the
assumption, that AOM does not occur in the recovered depth interval (2.6 m) and the
SR-activity can be attributed entirely to organiclastic SR and not to anaerobic
oxidation of methane.

The calculated SO_4^{2-} penetration depth of 37 meter is quite deep when compared to 393 394 data of gas-rich sediments. In Eckernförde Bay (German Baltic Sea) for example, 395 Treude et al. (2005a) determined a penetration depth of only 0.32 m. Such a deep 396 sulfate penetration depth shows that very little sulfate is consumed in the upper part 397 of the seabed. Sulfate reduction rates in our study area are generally very low, with 398 no significant differences between SRR profiles from pockmark cores and reference 399 cores. Additionally, SRR values in area D fall consistently below the minimum 400 detection limit in all samples. This leads to the assumption that the pockmarks do not 401 release any gas at present, which is supported by our findings of very small 402 decreases in porewater sulfate concentration with sediment depth and very low gas 403 concentrations.

404

405 **5.3 Pockmark formation theory**

Fluid and gas venting is commonly believed to be the main factor for pockmark
generation. While pockmark structures could clearly be detected on the seabed, we
did not find any indications for significant concentrations of methane in the sediments
of the sampling area. Neither did we find indications for ongoing fluid flow through
these features.

411 Although expulsion of methane is the most frequently reported process leading to the

412 formation of pockmarks, seepage of other fluids has been described as well.

413 However, in most cases only minor quantities of gases like hydrogen sulfide or

414 carbon dioxide are associated with hydrocarbon leakage. The expulsion of porewater
415 through the seabed, so called submarine groundwater discharge (SGD), has been
416 widely described in the literature. In a review Taniguchi et al. (2002) describe
417 different systems showing SGD.

Some examples of pockmarks being formed by porewater discharge, have been reported (Harrington, 1985; Webb et al., 2009; Whiticar and Werner, 1981). However, those few examples are primarily based on assumptions and lacking direct evidence of the processes involved. Moreover, the mechanisms described in these studies are unable to explain the procedure of pockmark formation in detail. Given the enormous area of extensive pockmark occurrence within and beyond our study area and the fact that we have no evidence for past or present SGD, we rule out this mechanism.

425 Recently the occurrence of unit pockmarks (pockmarks diameter < 5 m) was
426 discussed to be an indicator for active gas seepage (Cathles et al., 2010; Hovland et
427 al., 2010).

Mapping unit pockmarks requires application of high-resolution bathymetry (at least
1m x 1m gridding) (Hovland et al., 2010). The resolution of the bathymetry equipment
which was used in this study is too low to locate unit pockmarks. However, due to the
very low gas concentrations in the pockmark as well as in the reference sites (table
2) we rule out the existence of seeping hydrocarbons in our study area.

Pockmark structures which are present in the seabed consistently over several years
are not necessarily an indicator for active fluid flow (Brothers et al., 2011). Numerical
modeling by Hammer et al. (2009) showed that upwelling currents can be a possible
mechanism to maintain pockmark structures even if activity has ceased for several
years.

This leads us to the more likely hypothesis that the pockmarks in our study area are preserved features from past activity. The present seabed shows many plough marks, derived from iceberg drifting during the last deglaciation. As undisturbed pockmark craters can be found within and outside of the plough mark structures, the pockmarks must have formed during and after the main phase of iceberg movement.

443 Considering the glacial history of the Barents Sea as well as the great number of 444 glacial plough marks in the study area, it can be assumed that the whole area was 445 covered by a grounded ice sheet during Last Glacial Maximum (ca. 20 ka ago). 446 Gaseous hydrocarbons like methane, derived from a deeper lying source, could have 447 migrated through the porous sediment towards near-seabed sediments. Due to the 448 conditions during the glacial period, including pressure of a thick grounded ice sheet 449 affecting the sediment, combined with the prevailing low temperatures, the necessary 450 conditions for clathrate formation were given (Kvenvolden, 1998; Sloan, 2003). 451 Methane could thus have been trapped as gas hydrate in the shallow sediment.

The uplifted Atlantic margin basins, comprising the Hammerfest Basin and the LoppaHigh, contain several oil and gas fields commonly occurring in underfilled traps.

454 Corcoran and Doré (2002) suggested that this was caused due to large scale

455 leakage of gas during the Cenozoic exhumation. 2D basin modeling of this area by

456 Cavanagh et al. (2006) revealed that glacially controlled pressure oscillations provide

457 a mechanism for episodic discharge of methane from the deep petroleum reservoirs

458 and sequestration of methane as gas hydrates near the surface.

459 Alternatively sub-glacial gas hydrates may have been fed by a biogenic source or a460 combination of biogenic and thermogenic gases.

461 About 14 ka ago the climatic conditions of the SW Barents Sea changed within a 462 relatively short time interval (approx. 5000 years, Elverhøi et al., 1995), causing 463 deglaciation, melting of the ice sheet and iceberg calving. Thus, the conditions to 464 preserve hydrate layers no longer persisted, resulting in the rapid decomposition of 465 the gas hydrate as well as the release of potentially accumulated gas. Such an event 466 probably occurred over large areas of the Barents Sea more or less simultaneously. 467 resulting in widespread pockmark structures on the seabed. Similar formation 468 mechanisms have already been described for other areas (Davy et al., 2010; Long, 469 1992; Long et al., 1998; Mienert et al., 1998; Plaza-Faverola et al., 2012; Solheim 470 and Elverhøi, 1993; Sultan et al., 2010).

471 Maslin et al. (2004) collected published data from submarine sediment failures and 472 correlated them with climatic changes from the past 45 ka. They found that over 70% 473 of continental slope failures could be dated to the periods between 15-13 ka and 11-474 8 ka. These dates, as well as the rising sea level and global atmospheric methane 475 records, correlate well with the timing of the last deglaciation. The hypothesis of 476 pockmark formation due to rapid methane release caused by destabilization of gas 477 hydrates during this time is supported by ice-core data (Chappellaz et al., 1993).

From the above discussion we can summarize the following points concerning theproposed scenario on the formation of the Loppa High pockmarks:

During LGM a grounded ice sheet existed in the study area. Thermogenic and
 / or biogenic gas from a source in the Mesozoic bedrock migrated through the
 sediment and accumulated as gas hydrate, which was stable under the given
 pressure and temperature conditions(Fig. 6 a).

- 484
 2. The retreat of the ice sheet during deglaciation caused changes of pressure
 485 conditions, which resulted in the decomposition of the hydrate layer and the
 486 release of large volumes of gas over a large areal extent, resulting in the
 487 formation of seafloor pockmarks (Fig. 6 b).
- 3. Nowadays the pockmark structures are still preserved despite the seeping
 activity having ceased several thousand years ago (Fig. 6 c).

The depressions seem to be relatively young features. As many pockmarks are
located inside the iceberg plow marks, it can be assumed that the pockmarks were
formed postglacially, probably in an early phase after the last deglaciation. Similar
observations have also been reported from the northern Barents Sea (Solheim and
Elverhøi, 1993) and could be an indication for a large methane release event caused
by the decay of the Barents ice sheet during the last deglaciation.

- 496 6 Conclusions
- 497 Deep, craterlike structures, identified as pockmarks were observed by multibeam
- 498 bathymetry on the seafloor of the SW-Loppa High in the SW-Barents Sea.
- 499 Geochemical, biogeochemical and microbiological analysis revealed
- 500 1. Very low concentrations of hydrocarbons in the sediment.
- 501 2. Almost no depletion of porewater sulfate with depth.
- 502 3. Low sulfate reduction rates, close to detection limit.
- 503 4. Currently no anaerobic oxidation of methane in upper 3 mbsf.
- 504 5. No significant and consistent differences in any measured parameter 505 between sites inside and outside of pockmarks.

506 This indicates astonishingly low microbial activity in the study area, which supports 507 the assumption that currently no active fluid venting is taking place. Based on our 508 own findings and the current literature we hypothesize that the pockmark occurrence 509 and its formation is most likely related to a paleo-event during the last deglaciation.

510

511 Acknowledgements

512 We would like to thank LUNDIN Petroleum Norway for funding the research project 513 and providing the bathymetric data. GeolabNor AS is acknowledged for providing the 514 gas profile data. We thank the crew and shipboard scientific party of the R/V HU 515 Sverdrup and the shore-based staff of FFI and NGU for excellent support during the 516 expedition and Jan Erik Bjorøy and his crew from Fugro for coring. Ilya Ostanin is 517 thanked for assistance in the preparation of this paper. We acknowledge the two 518 anonymous reviewers and the editor for the constructive comments which helped to 519 improve this manuscript. This work contributed to the Helmholtz Climate Initiative 520 REKLIM.

521 References

- Andreassen, K., Laberg, J.S., Vorren, T.O., 2008. Seafloor geomorphology of the SW Barents
 Sea and its glaci-dynamic implications. Geomorphology 97, 157-177.
- Berner, R.A., 1980. Early Diagenesis: A Theoretical Approach. Princeton University Press,
 New Jersey.
- 527 Boetius, A., Ravenschlag, K., Schubert, C.J., Rickert, D., Widdel, F., Gieseke, A., Amann, R.,
 528 Jorgensen, B.B., Witte, U., Pfannkuche, O., 2000. A marine microbial consortium
 529 apparently mediating anaerobic oxidation of methane. Nature 407, 623-626.
- Brothers, L., Kelley, J., Belknap, D., Barnhardt, W., Andrews, B., Maynard, M., 2011. More
 than a century of bathymetric observations and present-day shallow sediment
 characterization in Belfast Bay, Maine, USA: implications for pockmark field
 longevity. Geo-Marine Letters 31, 237-248.
- 534 Cathles, L.M., Su, Z., Chen, D., 2010. The physics of gas chimney and pockmark formation,
 535 with implications for assessment of seafloor hazards and gas sequestration. Marine and
 536 Petroleum Geology 27, 82-91.
- 537 Cavanagh, A.J., Di Primio, R., Scheck-Wenderoth, M., Horsfield, B., 2006. Severity and
 538 timing of Cenozoic exhumation in the southwestern Barents Sea. Journal of the
 539 Geological Society 163, 761-774.
- 540 Chand, S., Mienert, J., Andreassen, K., Knies, J., Plassen, L., Fotland, B., 2008. Gas hydrate
 541 stability zone modelling in areas of salt tectonics and pockmarks of the Barents Sea
 542 suggests an active hydrocarbon venting system. Marine and Petroleum Geology 25,
 543 625-636.
- 544 Chappellaz, J., Bluniert, T., Raynaud, D., Barnola, J.M., Schwander, J., Stauffert, B., 1993.
 545 Synchronous changes in atmospheric CH₄ and Greenland climate between 40 and 8
 546 kyr BP. Nature 366, 443-445.
- 547 Cole, D., Stewart, S.A., Cartwright, J.A., 2000. Giant irregular pockmark craters in the
 548 Palaeogene of the Outer Moray Firth Basin, UK North Sea. Marine and Petroleum
 549 Geology 17, 563-577.
- 550 Corcoran, D.V., Dore, A.G., 2002. Depressurization of hydrocarbon-bearing reservoirs in
 551 exhumed basin settings: evidence from Atlantic margin and borderland basins.
 552 Geological Society, London, Special Publications 196, 457-483.
- D'Hondt, S., Rutherford, S., Spivack, A.J., 2002. Metabolic Activity of Subsurface Life in
 Deep-Sea Sediments. Science 295, 2067-2070.
- 555 Davy, B., Pecher, I., Wood, R., Carter, L., Gohl, K., 2010. Gas escape features off New
 556 Zealand: Evidence of massive release of methane from hydrates. Geophysical
 557 Research Letters 37, L21309.
- Eidvin, T., Jansen, E., Riis, F., 1993. Chronology of Tertiary fan deposits off the western
 Barents Sea: Implications for the uplift and erosion history of the Barents Shelf.
 Marine Geology 112, 109-131.
- 561 Elverhøi, A., Andersen, E.S., Dokken, T., Hebbeln, D., Spielhagen, R., Svendsen, J.I.,
 562 Sørflaten, M., Rørnes, A., Hald, M., Forsberg, C.F., 1995. The Growth and Decay of
 563 the Late Weichselian Ice Sheet in Western Svalbard and Adjacent Areas Based on
 564 Provenance Studies of Marine Sediments. Quaternary Research 44, 303-316.
- Ferdelman, T.G., Fossing, H., Neumann, K., Schulz, H.D., 1999. Sulfate Reduction in Surface
 Sediments of the Southeast Atlantic Continental Margin between 15°38'S and 27°57'S
 (Angola and Namibia). Limnology and Oceanography 44, 650-661.
- Ferrell, R.E., Aharon, P., 1994. Mineral assemblages occurring around hydrocarbon vents in the northern Gulf of Mexico. Geo-Marine Letters 14, 74-80.

- Finke, N., Vandieken, V., Jørgensen, B.B., 2007. Acetate, lactate, propionate, and isobutyrate
 as electron donors for iron and sulfate reduction in Arctic marine sediments, Svalbard.
 FEMS Microbiology Ecology 59, 10-22.
- Forwick, M., Baeten, N.J., Vorren, T.O., 2009. Pockmarks in Spitsbergen fjords. Norwegian
 Journal of Geology 89, 65-77.
- Fossing, H., Ferdelman, T.G., Berg, P., 2000. Sulfate reduction and methane oxidation in
 continental margin sediments influenced by irrigation (South-East Atlantic off
 Namibia). Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 64, 897-910.
- Foucher, J.-P., Westbrook, G.K., Boetius, A., Ceramicola, S., Dupre, S., Mascle, J., Mienert,
 J., Pfannkuche, O., Pierre, C., Praeg, D., 2009. Structure and Drivers of Cold Seep
 Ecosystems. Oceanography 22, 92-109.
- 581 Gabrielsen, R.H., Faerseth, R.B., Jensen, L.N., Kalheim, J.E., Riis, F., 1990. Structural
 582 elements of the Norwegian continental shelf, Part I: The Barents Sea Region. NPD583 Bulletin No. 6, 1-33.
- 584 Gay, A., Lopez, M., Berndt, C., Séranne, M., 2007. Geological controls on focused fluid flow
 585 associated with seafloor seeps in the Lower Congo Basin. Marine Geology 244, 68-92.
- 586 Gay, A., Lopez, M., Cochonat, P., Levaché, D., Sermondadaz, G., Seranne, M., 2006.
 587 Evidences of early to late fluid migration from an upper Miocene turbiditic channel
 588 revealed by 3D seismic coupled to geochemical sampling within seafloor pockmarks,
 589 Lower Congo Basin. Marine and Petroleum Geology 23, 387-399.
- Hammer, Ø., Webb, K., Depreiter, D., 2009. Numerical simulation of upwelling currents in
 pockmarks, and data from the Inner Oslofjord, Norway. Geo-Marine Letters 29, 269275.
- Harrington, P., 1985. Formation of pockmarks by pore-water escape. Geo-Marine Letters 5, 193-197.
- Hartwig, A., Anka, Z., di Primio, R., Albrecht, T., 2012. Evidence of a widespread paleopockmarked field in the Orange Basin: An indication of an early Eocene massive fluid
 escape event offshore South Africa. Marine Geology, this issue.
- Hasiotis, T., Papatheodorou, G., Ferentinos, G., 2002. A string of large and deep gas-induced
 depressions (pockmarks) offshore Killini peninsula, western Greece. Geo-Marine
 Letters 22, 142-149.
- Hovland, M., Gardner, J.V., Judd, A.G., 2002. The significance of pockmarks to
 understanding fluid flow processes and geohazards. Geofluids 2, 127-136.
- Hovland, M., Heggland, R., De Vries, M.H., Tjelta, T.I., 2010. Unit-pockmarks and their
 potential significance for predicting fluid flow. Marine and Petroleum Geology 27,
 1190-1199.
- Hovland, M., Judd, A.G., 1988. Seabed pockmarks and Seepages. Impact on Geology,
 Biology and the Marine Environment. Graham and Trotman, London.
- Jørgensen, B.B., 1978. A Comparison of Methods for the Quantification of Bacterial Sulfate
 Reduction in Coastal Marine Sediments I.Measurement with Radiotracer Techniques.
 Geomicrobiology Journal 1, 11-26.
- Jørgensen, B.B., 1982. Mineralization of organic matter in the sea bed-the role of sulphate
 reduction. Nature 296, 643-645.
- 613 Jørgensen, B.B., Fenchel, T., 1974. The sulfur cycle of a marine sediment model system.
 614 Marine Biology 24, 189-201.
- 615 Jørgensen, B.B., Weber, A., Zopfi, J., 2001. Sulfate reduction and anaerobic methane
 616 oxidation in Black Sea sediments. Deep Sea Research 1 48, 2097-2120.
- Judd, A., Hovland, M., 2007. Seabed Fluid Flow. The Impact on Geology, Biology, and the
 Marine Environment. Cambridge University Press, New York.

- Junttila, J., Aagaard-Sørensen, S., Husum, K., Hald, M., 2010. Late Glacial-Holocene clay
 minerals elucidating glacial history in the SW Barents Sea. Marine Geology 276, 71 85.
- Kallmeyer, J., Ferdelman, T.G., Weber, A., Fossing, H., Jorgensen, B.B., 2004. A cold
 chromium distillation procedure for radiolabeled sulfide applied to sulfate reduction
 measurements. Limnology and Oceanography: Methods 2, 171-180.
- King, L.H., MacLean, B., 1970. Pockmarks on the Scotian Shelf. Geological Society of
 America Bulletin 81, 3141-3148.
- Knittel, K., Boetius, A., 2009. Anaerobic Oxidation of Methane: Progress with an Unknown
 Process. Annual Review of Microbiology 63, 311-334.
- Kvenvolden, K.A., 1998. A primer on the geological occurrence of gas hydrate. Geological
 Society, London, Special Publications 137, 9-30.
- 631 Lammers, S., Suess, E., Hovland, M., 1995. A large methane plume east of Bear Island
 632 (Barents Sea): implications for the marine methane cycle. Geologische Rundschau 84,
 633 59-66.
- 634 Larssen, G.B., Elvebakk, G., Henriksen Leif B., Kristensen, S.-E., Nilsson, I., Samuelsberg,
 635 T., Svånå, T.A., Stemmerik, L., Worsely, D., 2005. Upper Palaeozoic lithostratigraphy
 636 of the southern Norwegian Barents Sea. Geological Survey of Norway, Trondheim.
- 637 Leloup, J., Loy, A., Knab, N.J., Borowski, C., Wagner, M., Jørgensen, B.B., 2007. Diversity
 638 and abundance of sulfate-reducing microorganisms in the sulfate and methane zones
 639 of a marine sediment, Black Sea. Environmental Microbiology 9, 131-142.
- Long, D., 1992. Devensian late-glacial gas escape in the central North Sea. Continental Shelf
 Research 12, 1097-1110.
- 642 Long, D., Lammers, S., Linke, P., 1998. Possible hydrate mounds within large sea-floor
 643 craters in the Barents Sea. Geological Society, London, Special Publications 137, 223644 237.
- 645 Luff, R., Wallmann, K., 2003. Fluid flow, methane fluxes, carbonate precipitation and
 646 biogeochemical turnover in gas hydrate-bearing sediments at Hydrate Ridge, Cascadia
 647 Margin: numerical modeling and mass balances. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta
 648 67, 3403-3421.
- Martens, C.S., Berner, R.A., 1974. Methane Production in the Interstitial Waters of Sulfate Depleted Marine Sediments. Science 185, 1167-1169.
- Maslin, M., Owen, M., Day, S., Long, D., 2004. Linking continental-slope failures and
 climate change: Testing the clathrate gun hypothesis. Geology 32, 53-56.
- Mienert, J., Posewang, J., Baumann, M., 1998. Gas hydrates along the northeastern Atlantic
 margin: possible hydrate-bound margin instabilities and possible release of methane.
 Geological Society, London, Special Publications 137, 275-291.
- Niemann, H., Losekann, T., de Beer, D., Elvert, M., Nadalig, T., Knittel, K., Amann, R.,
 Sauter, E.J., Schluter, M., Klages, M., Foucher, J.P., Boetius, A., 2006. Novel
 microbial communities of the Haakon Mosby mud volcano and their role as a methane
 sink. Nature 443, 854-858.
- O'Connor, F.M., Boucher, O., Gedney, N., Jones, C.D., Folberth, G.A., Coppell, R.,
 Friedlingstein, P., Collins, W.J., Chappellaz, J., Ridley, J., Johnson, C.E., 2010.
 Possible role of wetlands, permafrost, and methane hydrates in the methane cycle
 under future climate change: A review. Reviews of Geophysics 48, RG4005.
- Ondréas, H., Olu, K., Fouquet, Y., Charlou, J., Gay, A., Dennielou, B., Donval, J., Fifis, A.,
 Nadalig, T., Cochonat, P., Cauquil, E., Bourillet, J., Moigne, M., Sibuet, M., 2005.
 ROV study of a giant pockmark on the Gabon continental margin. Geo-Marine Letters
 25, 281-292.

- Orphan, V.J., House, C.H., Hinrichs, K.-U., McKeegan, K.D., DeLong, E.F., 2001. Methane Consuming Archaea Revealed by Directly Coupled Isotopic and Phylogenetic
 Analysis. Science 293, 484-487.
- Paull, C., Ussler, W., Maher, N., Greene, H.G., Rehder, G., Lorenson, T., Lee, H., 2002.
 Pockmarks off Big Sur, California. Marine Geology 181, 323-335.
- Pimenov, N., Ulyanova, M., Kanapatsky, T., Veslopolova, E., Sigalevich, P., Sivkov, V.,
 2010. Microbially mediated methane and sulfur cycling in pockmark sediments of the
 Gdansk Basin, Baltic Sea. Geo-Marine Letters 30, 439-448.
- Plaza-Faverola, A., Bünz, S., Mienert, J., 2012. The free gas zone beneath gas hydrate bearing
 sediments and its link to fluid flow: 3-D seismic imaging offshore mid-Norway.
 Marine Geology, available online, DOI: 10.1016/j.margeo.2011.07.002.
- Prior, D.B., Doyle, E.H., Kaluza, M.J., 1989. Evidence for Sediment Eruption on Deep Sea
 Floor, Gulf of Mexico. Science 243, 517-519.
- Raghoebarsing, A.A., Pol, A., van de Pas-Schoonen, K.T., Smolders, A.J.P., Ettwig, K.F.,
 Rijpstra, W.I.C., Schouten, S., Damste, J.S.S., Op den Camp, H.J.M., Jetten, M.S.M.,
 Strous, M., 2006. A microbial consortium couples anaerobic methane oxidation to
 denitrification. Nature 440, 918-921.
- 685 Reeburgh, W.S., 2007. Oceanic Methane Biogeochemistry. Chemical Reviews 107, 486-513.
- 686 Schippers, A., Köweker, G., Höft, C., Teichert, B.M.A., 2010. Quantification of Microbial
 687 Communities in Forearc Sediment Basins off Sumatra. Geomicrobiology Journal 27,
 688 170-182.
- 689 Siegert, M.J., Dowdeswell, J.A., Hald, M., Svendsen, J.-I., 2001. Modelling the Eurasian Ice
 690 Sheet through a full (Weichselian) glacial cycle. Global and Planetary Change 31,
 691 367-385.
- Sloan, E.D., 2003. Fundamental principles and applications of natural gas hydrates. Nature
 426, 353-363.
- Solheim, A., Elverhøi, A., 1985. A pockmark field in the Central Barents Sea; gas from a
 petrogenic source? Polar Research 3, 11-19.
- Solheim, A., Elverhøi, A., 1993. Gas-related sea floor craters in the Barents Sea. Geo-Marine
 Letters 13, 235-243.
- Sultan, N., Marsset, B., Ker, S., Marsset, T., Voisset, M., Vernant, A.M., Bayon, G., Cauquil,
 E., Adamy, J., Colliat, J.L., Drapeau, D., 2010. Hydrate dissolution as a potential
 mechanism for pockmark formation in the Niger delta. Journal of Geophysical
 Research 115, B08101.
- Taniguchi, M., Burnett, W.C., Cable, J.E., Turner, J.V., 2002. Investigation of submarine
 groundwater discharge. Hydrological Processes 16, 2115-2129.
- Treude, T., Boetius, A., Knittel, K., Wallmann, K., Jørgensen, B.B., 2003. Anaerobic
 oxidation of methane above gas hydrates at Hydrate Ridge, NE Pacific Ocean. Marine
 Ecology Progress Series 264, 1-14.
- 707 Treude, T., Krüger, M., Boetius, A., Jørgensen, B.B., 2005a. Environmental Control on
 708 Anaerobic Oxidation of Methane in the Gassy Sediments of Eckernförde Bay (German
 709 Baltic). Limnology and Oceanography 50, 1771-1786.
- Treude, T., Niggemann, J., Kallmeyer, J., Wintersteller, P., Schubert, C.J., Boetius, A.,
 Jørgensen, B.B., 2005b. Anaerobic oxidation of methane and sulfate reduction along
 the Chilean continental margin. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 69, 2767-2779.
- Ussler, W., Paull, C.K., Boucher, J., Friederich, G.E., Thomas, D.J., 2003. Submarine
 pockmarks: a case study from Belfast Bay, Maine. Marine Geology 202, 175-192.
- Vaular, E.N., Barth, T., Haflidason, H., 2010. The geochemical characteristics of the hydratebound gases from the Nyegga pockmark field, Norwegian Sea. Organic Geochemistry
 41, 437-444.

- Vorren, T.O., Laberg, J.S., 1996. Late glacial air temperature, oceanographic and ice sheet
 interactions in the southern Barents Sea region. Geological Society, London, Special
 Publications 111, 303-321.
- Webb, K., Hammer, Ø., Lepland, A., Gray, J., 2009. Pockmarks in the inner Oslofjord,
 Norway. Geo-Marine Letters 29, 111-124.
- Weber, A., Riess, W., Wenzhoefer, F., Jørgensen, B.B., 2001. Sulfate reduction in Black Sea
 sediments: in situ and laboratory radiotracer measurements from the shelf to 2000 m
 depth. Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers 48, 2073-2096.
- Whiticar, M., Werner, F., 1981. Pockmarks: Submarine vents of natural gas or freshwater
 seeps? Geo-Marine Letters 1, 193-199.
- Whiticar, M.J., 2002. Diagenetic relationships of methanogenesis, nutrients, acoustic
 turbidity, pockmarks and freshwater seepages in Eckernförde Bay. Marine Geology
 182, 29-53.
- Woolsey, T.S., McCallum, M.E., Schumm, S.A., 1975. Modeling of diatreme emplacement
 by fluidization. Physics and Chemistry of The Earth 9, 29-42.
- 733