



Originally published as:

Gaina, C., Mac Niocaill, C., Conrad, C. P., Steinberger, B., Svensen, H. H. (2019): Linking plate tectonics and volcanism to deep earth dynamics – A tribute to Trond H. Torsvik. - *Tectonophysics*, 760, pp. 1-3.

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2019.03.002>

1

2 Editorial

3

4 Linking Plate Tectonics and Volcanism to Deep Earth Dynamics – a tribute to Trond H. Torsvik

5

6 Carmen Gaina¹, Conall Mac Niocaill², Clinton P. Conrad¹, Bernhard Steinberger^{1,3} and Henrik H.
7 Svensen¹

8¹ Centre for Earth Evolution and Dynamics, University of Oslo, Norway; ² Department of Earth Sciences, University of
9 Oxford, UK; ³ GFZ-Deutsches GeoForschungsZentrum, Germany

10

11

12 The **Plate Tectonics** theory took shape in the 1960's after paleomagnetism proved the existence of
13 continental drift, as Wegener had suggested half a century before; and magnetic anomalies of
14 oceanic crust attested to mantle convection as a mechanism for breaking and assembling continents
15 and recycling oceanic lithosphere. The seminal paper of Jason Morgan in 1971 ("*Convection*
16 *plumes in the lower mantle*". *Nature*. **230**) established, for the first time, a link between deep mantle
17 processes and surface volcanism. The first tomographic models of Dziewonski and collaborators
18 (Dziewonski *et al.*, 1977; Dziewonski, 1984) imaged degree two large-scale tomographic features on
19 the core-mantle boundary, later named the LLSVPs (Large Low Shear-wave Velocity Provinces).
20 We have since realized that these regions play an important role in the evolution of our planet.

21

22 The links between the Earth's surface and deep mantle have been explored incessantly in the past
23 decade, ever since Kevin C.A. Burke and Trond H. Torsvik published a series of papers (Torsvik *et al.*
24 *2006; 2008; 2010*) suggesting that large-scale volcanism is triggered by deep-seated mantle
25 plumes that rise from the edges of LLSVPs at the core-mantle boundary. Torsvik immediately
26 realized that this discovery – that plumes rise from the edges of the LLSVPs – provided a
27 framework for understanding plate tectonics and mantle dynamics over the course of Earth history.
28 In a series of popular science articles in both Norwegian and English (Torsvik and Steinberger,
29 *2006; 2008*), Torsvik and collaborator Bernhard Steinberger argued that this new framework for
30 mantle dynamics represented a new scientific revolution in the Earth Sciences. A decade later, the
31 intensity of scientific research surrounding this framework substantiates Torsvik's vision (e.g.,
32 Torsvik *et al.*, 2016).

33

34 This special issue provides an overview of our current understanding of how the evolution of plate
35 tectonics and mantle dynamics are linked by better knowledge of paleomagnetic data from land and
36 oceans, state-of-the-art tomographic models of Earth's mantle, geodynamic modeling, and
37 observations of volcanic eruptions, including Large Igneous Provinces (LIPs). Several contributions
38 also aim to highlight how changes in Solid Earth structure and dynamics may have triggered
39 environmental catastrophes in Earth History. This collection of papers addresses themes essential to
40 our understanding of our planet's evolution, but also links to topics in which Torsvik has made
41 substantial contributions to the geoscientific community. Here we follow the course of Torsvik's
42 career, starting with paleomagnetic and geophysical observations that constrain Earth's tectonic
43 history, and then proceeding toward the dynamics of the deep mantle before linking these dynamics
44 back to the surface through volcanism and a tight coupling to plate tectonics.

45

46 Given Torsvik's major contribution to **paleomagnetism and paleogeography**, this special volume
47 gathered several papers in which paleomagnetic data are used to better quantify the positions of
48 continents from the Neoproterozoic to the Cenozoic, and studies that use paleomagnetism together
49 with a wealth of other data to uncover past regional tectonic frameworks.

50

51 Pivarunas *et al.* (in this issue) report new paleomagnetic and geochronologic data from the
52 Southern Granulite Terrane (SGT) of India, a collage of Archean to Neoproterozoic crustal blocks

53hosting several generations of mafic dykes, that permit a better-resolved reconstruction of Indian
54crustal elements of ca. 2Ga age. The study also unmasks a widespread late Neoproterozoic
55Ediacaran-age remagnetization associated with the final stages of Gondwana assembly. *Owen-*
56*Smith et al.*, (in this issue) provide an independent test for proposed pre-breakup fits between the
57continental margins of South America and Africa that uses new palaeomagnetic data from the
58African Etendeka volcanic province. The study presents a revised reconstruction for West
59Gondwana during the early Cretaceous. *Molina Garza et al.*, (in this issue) analyze the position of
60the Chortis Block in the Late Cretaceous and construct a new tectonic model that links Laramide
61deformation with back-arc extension at the southern tip of the Laramides prior to the rotation of the
62Chortis Block and the development of the North America-Caribbean plate boundaries. *Nemkin et*
63*al.*, (in this issue) use the secondary magnetizations of carbonate rocks to provide information on
64orogenic processes. In particular, they show that Lower Cretaceous formations from the Monterrey
65Salient in northeast Mexico were remagnetized at 48–52 Ma, an age that is concurrent with the
66frontal, remagnetized, folds in the central Sierra Madre Oriental.

67

68Understanding the geodynamics governing subduction and the Himalayan orogeny is the main aim
69of *van Hinsbergen et al.* (in this issue), which reviews kinematic models and tests them against
70paleomagnetic data and seismic tomographic models. Based on kinematic constraints and sediment
71provenance, all Greater Indian lithosphere may have been continental, but from a geodynamic
72perspective, subduction with rates close to 20 cm/yr is incompatible with that scenario. They
73conclude that the Greater India Basin scenario is the only scenario that can fulfil paleogeographic,
74kinematic, and geodynamic constraints while also allowing absolute northward slab migration and
75overturning caused by flat slab subduction, Tibetan shortening, arc migration and arc volume
76decrease. *Gürer and van Hinsbergen* (in this issue) postulate that prolonged oceanic subduction and
77diachronous slab pull drew the adjacent terranes of the Eastern and Central Taurides into Central
78Anatolia, producing orogeny and oroclinal bending starting in the early Cenozoic. Estimates of the
79timing of collision in Central Anatolia are based on a forearc-to-foreland basin transition along the
80Eurasian margin. Their model, based on geologic observations, suggests that oceanic subduction
81continued much longer in Eastern Anatolia, perhaps well into the Miocene.

82

83The **history of oceanic basins** and **microcontinents** is discussed in three contributions: one re-
84evaluates oceanic plate tectonics, one specifically looks at the North American plate and its
85neighboring oceanic basins, and the third unveils for the first time the stratigraphy of a
86microcontinent stranded in the North Atlantic ocean (the Jan Mayen microcontinent).

87

88*Crameri et al.* (in this issue) reviews the life-cycle of an oceanic plate from its formation at mid-
89ocean ridges to its destruction at subduction zones, a journey that is intimately linked to the
90overturn of Earth's mantle. They note that the full range of dynamic behavior of an oceanic plate is
91not fully captured by the existing concept of Plate Tectonics, and they introduce a more specific and
92integral concept named **Ocean-Plate Tectonics** that describes tectonic plates like the Pacific plate,
93and that must have emerged on Earth at least 1 Billion years ago. *Gaina and Jakobs* (in this issue)
94employ a more regional focus by attempting to find a possible link between the destruction and
95formation of oceanic lithosphere along the western and eastern sides (respectively) of the North
96American plate in the Eocene. Both the interior and margins of the North American Plate were
97affected when subduction beneath western North America changed its behavior. The collision and
98incipient subduction of the early Eocene Siletzia Large Igneous Province in the Pacific Basin may
99have caused a sharp decrease in spreading rate in the Labrador Sea and north of the Charlie-Gibbs
100fracture zone. A subsequent, rapid, Farallon slab-break-off, and associated upwelling in the upper
101mantle, led to further variations in North Atlantic spreading rates. Tectonic stresses caused by
102changes to mantle-lithosphere interactions west of the North America plate may have triggered the
103emplacement of Eocene age kimberlite magma more than 1000 km from the western plate boundary
104of North America.

105

106The Jan Mayen Microplate Complex (JMMC) in the NE Atlantic has been interpreted as a collage
107of continental fragments, mainly based on remote-sensing data. *Polteau et al.*, (in this issue)
108provide new evidence for the continental nature of JMMC using recently recovered rocks of
109Permian/Triassic to Eocene ages, including igneous samples related to early Eocene breakup
110volcanism. In addition, the rock samples carry evidence for active migration of Jurassic-sourced
111hydrocarbons.

112

113**The Mantle structure and dynamics** theme is discussed in two contributions, with special
114attention to the Large Low Shear Velocity Provinces (LLSVPs), the two antipodal thermochemical
115piles detected by seismic waves at the core-mantle boundary.

116

117*Trønnes et al.* (in this issue) present a comprehensive study that reviews planetary melting, core
118formation and early mantle differentiation in the terrestrial planets, with an emphasis on the Earth.
119In order to understand the origin of observed structures in the Earth's lower mantle, they discuss
120core-mantle chemical exchange, mainly during the solidification of a Basal Magma Ocean (BMO).
121*Trønnes et al.* postulate that bridgmanitic cumulates with elevated Fe/Mg ratios have been
122convectively swept into the African and Pacific LLSVPs, which have moderate excess density, high
123bulk modulus, and high viscosity. *McNamara* (in this issue) reviews the observations associated
124with the LLSVPs and the various conceptual models of their dynamics that the community is
125currently debating. Ultra Low Velocity Zones (ULVZs), features of an order-of-magnitude smaller
126scale than LLSVPs, are also reviewed, together with the dynamical linkages between the two as
127their relationship provides critical insight into global scale mantle convection.

128

129Torsvik's pioneering work linking the **Deep Earth, Plate Tectonics and Surface Processes** is
130honored by two studies: one that compares Earth's observed topography with predictions from
131mantle flow models, and another that suggests a correlation between time-dependent subduction
132flux and geomagnetic reversal rate.

133

134*Steinberger et al.*, (in this issue) investigate the implications of postulated upwellings above the two
135LLSVPs. In particular, they compare observations of residual topography (the topography
136remaining after accounting for isostasy) to predictions of dynamic topography made using
137numerical models of mantle flow. They find that uplifted regions above the "superplumes" are
138barely seen in the observed topography, and the authors suggest that this implies extensive chemical
139heterogeneities in the lower mantle and/or laterally-varying or anisotropic lower mantle viscosity.
140*Hounslow et al.*, (in this issue) find a positive relationship between the time-dependent global
141subduction flux and the rate of magnetic reversals, which sheds new light on the dynamic
142connections between the surface and deepest Earth. This study takes a step further by presenting
143new models that link mantle convection, the thermal evolution of the lowermost mantle, and the
144geodynamo.

145

146Linking the deep mantle back to the surface is tackled in three contributions that address **large-**
147**scale volcanism** in geological time. One of these reviews LIPs, while two others present new
148constraints on the rifting processes that are an essential part of plate tectonics.

149

150*Svensen et al.*, (in this issue) review the emergence and evolution of the LIP concept and
151terminology, originally presented in a series of seminal papers by Millard F. Coffin and Olav
152Eldholm in the early 1990's. They combined existing data and information from continental flood
153basalts with the emerging geophysical understanding of oceanic plateaus and rifted continental
154margins. However, the history of this field of research prior to the 1990's has so far not been dealt
155with in detail. Who first realized that LIPs represent extraordinary events in the history of the Earth,
156what terminology was used, and why were geologists interested in this type of events? *Svensen et*
157*al.* conclude, based on the history of four different LIPs, that the past 150 years of LIP-related

158 research was driven by the need to understand fundamental aspects of Earth evolution, including
159 plate tectonics and the role of volcanism in driving mass extinctions and climatic change.

160

161 *Jerram et al.* (in this issue) report on new geochronologic data constraints from the African margin
162 (Angola) that illuminate volcanic events younger than the main pulse of the Paraná-Etendeka (ca.
163 134 Ma) that led to the South America-Africa break-up. These events associated with relatively low
164 volume volcanic pulses, document the development of volcanic passive margins and the evolution
165 of South Atlantic breakup from south to north. Moving from the South Atlantic to the North
166 Atlantic, *Abdelmalak et al.* (in this issue) investigate the tectono-magmatic evolution of the NW
167 Atlantic using extensive geophysical datasets, complemented by seabed samples and fieldwork.
168 Their study shows that most volcanism in the NW Atlantic occurred between ~62 and ~58 Ma, and
169 exhibited a complex rift configuration that developed along the conjugate margins both prior to and
170 during breakup.

171

172 Lastly, *Trond H. Torsvik* (in this issue) contributes a paper about how **Earth History** can be
173 deciphered by quantitatively establishing ancient longitudes using markers from the Earth's deep
174 interior that can be linked to its surface in geologic time.

175

176 To conclude, this special issue dedicated to Trond H. Torsvik's achievements in geosciences
177 assembles a collection of 17 papers that demonstrate (1) how modern paleomagnetic data can
178 improve our understanding of plate tectonics from deep time to recent; (2) when linked to other
179 geologic and geophysical data, paleomagnetism is a powerful tool that allows us to build high-
180 resolution regional and global geodynamic models that link the surface to the deep Earth; (3) state-
181 of-the-art mineral physics and geodynamical modeling can now define more accurately the
182 structure and dynamics of the deep mantle, including the LLSVPs and ULVzs at the core-mantle
183 boundary; and (4) volcanism through time played an important role in continental break-up and the
184 formation of oceanic and continental LIPS, which may, in turn, have influenced the dynamics of
185 tectonic plates. Changes in subduction regimes may have affected remote plate boundaries, seafloor
186 spreading and triggered intra-plate kimberlitic volcanism, and time-dependent global subduction
187 flux influenced the rate of magnetic reversals.

188

189 We thank the Tectonophysics editorial board and staff, and we are especially grateful to Timothy
190 James Horscroft and Editor-in-Chief Philippe Agard for supporting and helping with this special
191 issue. We would like to express our appreciation to all contributing authors, and to the referees for
192 providing critical reviews. We acknowledge partial support from the Research Council of Norway
193 through its Centres of Excellence funding scheme, project number 223272.

194

195 References

196

197 Dziewonski, A. M., B. H. Hager, and R. J. O'Connell (1977), Large scale heterogeneities in the
198 lower mantle, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 82(2), 239-255,
199 doi:[10.1029/JB082i002p00239](https://doi.org/10.1029/JB082i002p00239).

200 Dziewonski, A. M. (1984), Mapping the lower mantle: Determination of lateral heterogeneity in P-
201 velocity up to degree and order 6, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 89(B7), 5929-5952,
202 doi:[10.1029/JB089iB07p05929](https://doi.org/10.1029/JB089iB07p05929).

203 Torsvik, T. H., and B. Steinberger (2006), Fra kontinentaldrift til manteldynamikk, *Geo*, 8, 20-30.

204 Torsvik, T. H., M. A. Smethurst, K. Burke, and B. Steinberger (2006), Large igneous provinces
205 generated from the margins of the large low-velocity provinces in the deep mantle,
206 *Geophysical Journal International*, 167(3), 1447-1460, doi:10.1111/j.1365-
207 246X.2006.03158.x.

208 Torsvik, T. H., and B. Steinberger (2008), From continental drift to mantle dynamics, in *Geology*
209 *for Society for 150 Years - The legacy after Kjerulf*, edited by T. Slabstad and R. Dahl,

210 *Gråsteinen*, vol. 12, pp. 24-38.

211Torsvik, T. H., B. Steinberger, L. R. M. Cocks, and K. Burke (2008), Longitude: Linking Earth's
212 ancient surface to its deep interior, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 276(3–4), 273-282,
213 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2008.09.026.

214Torsvik, T. H., K. Burke, B. Steinberger, S. J. Webb, and L. D. Ashwal (2010), Diamonds sampled
215 by plumes from the core-mantle boundary, *Nature*, 466(7304), 352-355,
216 doi:10.1038/nature09216.

217Torsvik, T. H., B. Steinberger, L. D. Ashwal, P. V. Doubrovine, and R. G. Trønnes (2016), Earth
218 evolution and dynamics—a tribute to Kevin Burke, *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*,
219 53(11), 1073-1087, doi:10.1139/cjes-2015-0228.

220