



Originally published as:

Jousset, P., Mortensen, A. K., Fridleifsson, G. Ó., Ágústsson, K., Gudmundsson, M. T. (2020): Reykjanes, Iceland: Structure and dynamics of mid-oceanic ridge geo/hydrothermal systems. - Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, [early online release], 106692.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2019.106692>

1 **Editorial Special Issue JVGR – revised version**

2 **Reykjanes, Iceland: Structure and dynamics of mid-oceanic ridge geo/hydrothermal**
3 **systems**

4

5 Philippe Jousset¹, Anette Mortensen², Gudmundur Omar Fridleifsson³, Kristjan Agustsson⁴ and
6 Magnus T. Gudmundsson⁵

7 1. GFZ, German Research Center for Geosciences, Potsdam, Germany.

8 2. Landsvirkjun, National Power Company of Iceland, Reykjavik, Iceland.

9 3. HS Orka hf, Svartsengi, Grindavik, Iceland

10 4. Iceland GeoSurvey, Reykjavik, Iceland

11 5. University of Iceland, Reykjavik, Iceland

12 **Introduction**

13 Our understanding of volcanic processes is hampered by our limited knowledge of sources processes
14 generating signals observed at the surface. Much has been learned about subsurface structures of
15 volcanoes from disciplines such as volcanology, geology, petrology, geophysics, and studies of ore
16 deposits in the mining sector. Moreover, fluid extraction and injection in exploited geothermal areas
17 are analysed and measured, providing good proxies for the estimate of parameter ranges (density,
18 seismic velocities, rock permeability, fluid composition, etc.) applicable to active undrilled volcanoes.

19 However, detailed information on structures at depth beneath active volcanoes is usually poor (only
20 a few volcanic areas have been drilled) and not sufficient for a clear understanding of magmatic
21 processes leading to eruption, hampering acute hazard assessment. The temporal evolution in terms
22 of magma accumulation, storage or solidification at depth is mostly unknown. As a result, studies in
23 areas where parameters defining those processes are better known due to in-situ observations
24 brought by drilling and exploitation are of considerable importance. In volcanic exploited geothermal
25 systems, many wells provide detailed structural control.

26 Iceland is the ideal place for this type of research. Iceland has for a long time been a natural
27 laboratory for the study of geological processes associated with rifting and hot spots. Volcanic
28 eruptions are frequent; volcanic activity mainly occurs within volcanic systems comprising a central
29 volcano and an associated fissure swarm. Reykjanes is somewhat of an anomaly, as the volcanic
30 systems have only fissure swarms but no central volcanoes.

31 A by-product of the intense volcanic activity is major geothermal activity. There is intensive use of
32 geothermal energy for heating and electricity production. Active search for energy resources beyond
33 conventional volcanic geothermal systems also occurs. Specifically, conditions where super-critical
34 fluids are anticipated to occur at several locations in Iceland. Harnessing such reservoirs are
35 estimated to produce fluids with about 10 times more energy than found in conventional systems.
36 Their use is considered to provide an important future energy resource, e.g. for large industries.

37 The IDDP-1 drilling in Krafla was designed to find such conditions at depth, but the drill entered
38 magma at an unexpectedly shallow depth and the geothermal objective could not be reached. The
39 IDDP-1 work is noteworthy for the fact that there was no geophysical indication of such a magma
40 pocket at shallow depth; the geophysical methods used were basically “blind” to relatively small
41 bodies of magma.

42 The development of new technologies and approaches for imaging magma and supercritical
43 conditions are therefore required. The integration of several methods is also a key to understand
44 better both structures and mechanisms in geothermal systems (e.g., Jousset et al., 2011).

45 This special issue of JVGR has been dedicated to provide a case study for such a complementary,
46 integrated approach. It is mainly based on the results of IMAGE (Integrated Methods for Advanced
47 Geothermal Exploration), a FP7 EU funded project, but also includes results and data obtained after
48 the completion of the project. The idea was to gather in one place several publications on studies
49 from one of the best places in the world to perform this kind of approach, the Reykjanes thermal area
50 on the southwestern margin of the Reykjanes Peninsula, Iceland. Conventional exploration
51 approaches were applied, and complemented by cutting edge methods used for imaging at depth,
52 prior to drilling the deepest well found in volcanic and geothermal environment in Iceland, the IDDP-
53 2 (Fridleifsson et al., 2019).

54 The IMAGE FP7 EU project focused on improving and integrating existing exploration methods, and
55 implementing new ones such as ambient noise tomography or Distributed Acoustic Sensing (Jousset
56 et al., 2018). The final achievements of the IMAGE project are illustrated by many contributions in
57 this special issue. The main results exposed here lead to more robust predictive models of the critical
58 exploration parameters on local scales. They comprise:

- 59 1) The identification of the key situations where favourable reservoir parameters (temperature,
60 permeability, resource extent) can be expected, which includes the relationships between
61 geological structures and geothermal resources, defining the exploration methods to be applied.
- 62 2) A better understanding of the processes that control permeability.

63 3) The determination of the fundamental properties of supercritical geothermal reservoirs and the
64 respective technologies to measure and define them, a major step forward in making this so far
65 untapped resource available.

66

67 Advanced exploration techniques developed and tested in IMAGE present a significant step forward
68 towards the goal of imaging geothermal systems with a higher degree of accuracy and resolution,
69 thus making geothermal targets for industrial exploitation more accessible. Those results lead to
70 new ways for studying active volcanoes where supercritical conditions occur.

71

72 **Structure of the special issue.**

73 The special issue has 27 original papers, mostly about the topics and results from IMAGE, but it also
74 includes papers on related subjects. We ordered and group them by geographic location, starting
75 from the Reykjanes Peninsula and then taking a way to the North of Iceland until Krafla.

76 An initial paper by Voight et al. (2019) is a tribute to Kristjan Saemundson who has made major
77 contributions to unravelling the nature and workings of geothermal activity, as well as the volcanic
78 and regional geology of Iceland, through his almost six decades of work on geology and tectonics of
79 Iceland.

80 ***Reykjanes Peninsula***

81 A review paper (Sigmundsson et al., 2019) explores the link between the geodynamics of Iceland, the
82 volcanic and tectonic activity and the geothermal exploitation. Reykjanes Peninsula extends from
83 south-west Iceland up to the triple junction expressed in Hengill. A review of the geology of
84 Reykjanes is given by Saemundsson et al. (2019). Reykjanes has been seismically very active Bjornson
85 et al. (2019). An important paper addresses the underestimated seismic hazard in Reykjanes
86 (Einarsson et al., 2019).

87 ***Reykjanes geothermal reservoir***

88 Reykjanes as such is defined as being the geothermal reservoir at the tip of the Peninsula

89 Imaging of Reykjanes has been performed by many geophysical techniques, including conventional
90 and recent ones. Blanck et al. (2019) analyse the seismicity during the deployment of a dense
91 seismic network. Martins et al. (2019) perform 3D imaging of the Reykjanes peninsula high-enthalpy
92 geothermal field with ambient-noise tomography. Toledo et al. (2019) developed an optimization
93 technique and applied it at the Reykjanes network, demonstrating that the network was adequate

94 for imaging properly the Reykjanes reservoir. The resistivity structure is presented by Karlsdottir et
95 al. (2019).

96 The results obtained are validated by the drilling results of IDDP-2 (Fridleifson et al., 2019), and were
97 actually used to guide the latest steps of the IDDP-2 drilling (Jousset et al., 2016). Nono et al. (2019)
98 analysed samples of the IDDP-2 measuring in the laboratory the electrical conductivity of samples
99 from the deep supercritical geothermal reservoirs. Kummerow et al. (2019) conducted non-reactive
100 and reactive experiments to determine the electrical conductivities of aqueous geothermal solutions
101 up to supercritical conditions.

102 Exploitation generates mass and energy transfer and produces deformation. Parks et al. (2019)
103 found the source of the exploitation-induced deformation at Reykjanes, while Darnet et al. (2019),
104 focussed on monitoring the geothermal reservoir using the Controlled-Source Electro-Magnetic
105 method.

106 ***Krýsuvík geothermal area***

107 The geothermal site Krýsuvík is also a potential target for exploitation. Hersir et al. (2019) discussed
108 the structure of the geothermal reservoir using results from inversion of magnetotelluric (MT)
109 resistivity data. Gudjonsdottir et al. (2019), explores the link between deformation and gas
110 emissions.

111 ***Hengill geothermal reservoir***

112 In the eastern termination of Reykjanes Peninsula, the complex triple junction volcano Hengill, which
113 is also since long time an exploited geothermal site is addressed in two papers: Steigerwald et al.
114 (2019) analyses the fracture patterns at the surface, while Juncu et al. (2019) interprets induced
115 seismicity and deformation associated to geothermal exploitation

116 ***Krafla***

117 In the North of Iceland, another transform fault system is present and is introduced by Young et al.
118 (2019). In the north, structural knowledge of one of the most productive geothermal area in Iceland
119 is improved with the results presented in three papers using conventional VSP techniques (Kästner
120 et al., 2019; Millett et al, 2019; Reiser et al., 2019).

121 The exploitation of a geothermal reservoir sometimes requires stimulation to prompt efficient
122 extraction of fluids, as illustrated in Krafla by Eggertsson et al. (2019). The exploitation of geothermal
123 systems produces induced seismicity (due to the stimulation) and this induced and natural seismic
124 activity can be used to image structures at depth, as is done by Doyeon et al. (2019).

125 ***Iceland past and present volcanic and geyser activity.***

126 As for completeness of the processes with time associated to geothermal activity in Iceland, two
127 papers addresses seismic activity at active volcanoes (Greenfield et al., 2019) and at natural
128 unexploited hydrothermal systems, Geysir (Walter et al., 2019).

129 Finally, in order to understand the structure of present geothermal systems and possibly the
130 reservoirs associated with active volcanoes, it is also useful to study old inactive systems that allows
131 discovery of features inaccessible in active reservoirs (Liotta et al., 2019).

132

133 **Concluding remarks.**

134 This special issue does not solve all questions regarding the Reykjanes geothermal system or other
135 related sites. Many more studies involving the integration of different methods at several different
136 sites are required to address those issues more fully.

137 However, it provides an interesting update on the state of the art in the research on the interaction
138 between volcanoes, hydrothermal systems and earthquakes at different spatial and temporal time
139 scales, with a focus on Iceland and Reykjanes. It demonstrates that the combination of knowledge
140 from volcanoes and exploited geothermal systems is beneficial for a better understanding for both
141 volcanic hazard and exploited geothermal reservoirs. This applies in particular to the case where
142 super-critical fluids are encountered. Those places at depth are close to magma chambers in the
143 crust: this region may hold great potential for harnessing of thermal energy from the Earth's crust.
144 Specifically, an approach combining conventional and new methods surface geological, structural,
145 geochemical and geophysical monitoring and deep drilling in those regions where supercritical fluids
146 are sought (for both harnessing more geothermal energy and searching for volcanic hazard
147 mechanisms) is certainly of great benefit for ensuring sustainable and resilient future of human
148 societies.

149

150 **References**

151 Bjornson et al., 2019. Seismicity of the Reykjanes Peninsula, 1971-1976.

152 Blanck et al., 2019. Analysis of seismological data on Reykjanes Peninsula, SW-Iceland. Analyse the
153 seismicity during the deployment of a dense seismic network.

154 Darnet et al., 2019. Monitoring geothermal reservoir developments with the Controlled-Source
155 Electro-Magnetic method – A calibration study on the Reykjanes geothermal field.

156 Doyeon et al., 2019. Magma “bright spots” mapped beneath Krafla, Iceland, using reflected waves
157 from microearthquakes

158 Eggertsson et al., 2019. Improving fluid flow in geothermal reservoirs by thermal and mechanical
159 stimulation: The case of Krafla volcano, Iceland

160 Einarsson et al., 2019. The structure of seismogenic strike-slip faults in the eastern part of the
161 Reykjanes Peninsula Oblique Rift, SW Iceland

162 Fridleifsson et al., 2019. The Iceland Deep Drilling Project at Reykjanes: Drilling into the root zone of
163 an analog of a black smoker.

164 Greenfield et al., 2019. Seismicity of the Askja and Bárðarbunga volcanic systems of Iceland, 2009-
165 2015.

166 Gudjonsdotir et al., 2019. Gas emissions and crustal deformation from the Krýsuvík high
167 temperature geothermal system, Iceland.

168 Hersir et al., 2019. Krýsuvík high temperature geothermal area in SW Iceland: 3D inversion of
169 magnetotelluric (MT) resistivity data.

170 Jousset, P., Haberland, C., Bauer, K., Arnason, K., 2011. Hengill geothermal volcanic complex
171 (Iceland) characterized by integrated geophysical observations. - *Geothermics*, 40, 1, pp. 1-24. DOI:
172 <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.geothermics.2010.12.008>

173 Jousset, P., Blanck, H., Franke, S., Metz, M., Ágústsson, K., Verdel, A., Ryberg, T., Hersir, G.
174 P., Weemstra, C., Bruhn, D., Flovenz, O., 2016. Seismic Tomography in Reykjanes, SW
175 Iceland, (Proceedings) , European Geothermal Congress (Strasbourg, France 2016).

176 Jousset, P., Reinsch, T., Ryberg, T., Blanck, H., Clarke, A., Aghayev, R., Hersir, G. P., Hennings,
177 J., Weber, M., Krawczyk, C., 2018. Dynamic strain determination using fibre-optic cables allows
178 imaging of seismological and structural features. - *Nature Communications*, 9, 2509. DOI:
179 <http://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-04860-y>

180 Juncu, et al., 2019. Injection-induced surface deformation and seismicity at the Hellisheidi
181 geothermal field, Iceland.

182 Karlsdottir et al., 2019. 3D Inversion of Magnetotelluric (MT) Resistivity Data from Reykjanes High
183 Temperature Field in SW Iceland.

184 Kästner et al., 2019. Seismic imaging in the Krafla high-temperature geothermal field, NE Iceland,
185 using zero- and far-offset vertical seismic profiling (VSP) data.

186 Kummerow et al., 2019. Non-reactive and reactive experiments to determine the electrical
187 conductivities of aqueous geothermal solutions up to supercritical conditions.

188 Liotta et al., 2019. Fractures analysis, hydrothermal mineralization and fluid pathways in the
189 Neogene Geitafell central volcano: insights for the Krafla active geothermal system

190 Martins et al., 2019. 3D S-wave velocity imaging of the Reykjanes peninsula high-enthalpy
191 geothermal fields with ambient-noise tomography.

192 Millett et al, 2019. Sub-surface geology and velocity structure of the Krafla high temperature
193 geothermal field, Iceland: Integrated ditch cuttings, wireline and zero offset vertical seismic profile
194 analysis.

195 Nono et al., 2019. Electrical conductivity of Icelandic deep geothermal reservoirs at supercritical
196 conditions: insight from laboratory experiments.

197 Parks et al., 2019. Deformation due to geothermal exploitation at Reykjanes, Iceland, 2003 to 2016:
198 InSAR time series analysis.

199 Reiser et al., 2019. Imaging the high-temperature geothermal field at Krafla using vertical seismic
200 profiling

201 Saemundsson, K., Sigurgeirson, A. Magnus, et al., 2019. Geodynamics of Iceland and the Signatures
202 of Plate Spreading.

203 Sigmundson et al., 2019: Geodynamics of Iceland and the Signatures of Plate Spreading

204 Steigerwald et al, 2019. Surface fractures and fault patterns at the Hengill Triple Junction, SW-
205 Iceland.

206 Toledo et al., 2019. Optimized Experimental Network Design for Earthquake Location Problems:
207 applications to geothermal and volcanic field seismic networks.

208 Voight et al. 2019. A Half-Century of Geologic and Geothermic Investigations in Iceland: The Legacy
209 of Kristján Sæmundsson.

210 Walter et al., 2019. Underwater and drone based photogrammetry reveals structural control at
211 Geysir geothermal field in Iceland.

- 212 Young et al., 2019. Major Tectonic Rotation along an Oceanic Transform Zone, Northern Iceland:
213 Evidence from Field Studies, Paleomagnetic Investigations, and Analog Modeling.