Originally published as:

Conticello, F. R., Cioffi, F., Lall, U., Merz, B. (2020): Synchronization and Delay Between Circulation Patterns and High Streamflow Events in Germany. - Water Resources Research, 56, 4, e2019WR025598.

<https://doi.org/10.1029/2019WR025598>

Water Resources Research

RESEARCH ARTICLE

10.1029/2019WR025598

Key Points:

- Atmospheric circulation patterns that generate high streamflow events in Germany are identified
- Event synchronization is used to determine synchronization and delay between atmospheric circulation patterns and high streamflow events
- The occurrence probability of high streamflow events is conditioned on atmospheric circulation patterns and integrated water vapor transport

Supporting Information:

- Supporting Information S1

Correspondence to:

F. R. Conticello,
federicosariorosario@uniroma1.it

Citation:

Conticello, F. R., Cioffi, F., Lall, U., & Merz, B. (2020). Synchronization and delay between circulation patterns and high streamflow events in Germany. *Water Resources Research*, 56, e2019WR025598. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019WR025598>

Received 18 MAY 2019

Accepted 30 MAR 2020

Accepted article online 8 APR 2020

Synchronization and Delay Between Circulation Patterns and High Streamflow Events in Germany

Federico Rosario Conticello¹ , Francesco Cioffi¹ , Upmanu Lall² , and Bruno Merz^{3,4}

¹Dipartimento di Ingegneria Civile, Edile e Ambientale, “La Sapienza” University of Roma, Rome, Italy, ²Department of Earth and Environmental Engineering, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA, ³Section Hydrology, GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Potsdam, Germany, ⁴Institute for Environmental Science and Geography, University of Potsdam, Potsdam, Germany

Abstract River floods cause extensive losses to economy, ecology, and society throughout the world. They are driven by the space-time structure of catchment rainfall, which is determined by large-scale, or even global-scale, atmospheric processes. The identification of coherent, large-scale atmospheric circulation structures that determine the moisture transport and convergence associated with rainfall-induced flooding can help improve its predictability and phenomenology. In this paper, we extend a methodology, used for the analysis of extreme rainfall events, to high streamflow events (HSEs). The approach combines multiple machine learning methods to link HSEs to atmospheric circulation patterns. An application to the German streamflow network using reanalysis data for the period 1960 to 2012 is presented. Daily streamflow from 166 gauges, homogeneously distributed across Germany, are used. Geopotential height fields and integrated vapor transport (IVT) are derived from reanalysis data. An unsupervised neural network, Self Organizing Maps, is applied to geopotential height to identify a finite number of circulation patterns (CPs). Event synchronization between CPs and HSEs is used to establish if they are linked or not. If they are linked, the Event Synchronization method computes the delay between the occurrence of a CP and a HSE. Finally, local logistic regression is used to estimate the probability of occurrence of a HSE, as function of CP and IVT. We demonstrate that our approach is very effective to evaluate HSE probability occurrence across Germany.

1. Introduction

Floods cause extensive losses to economy, ecology, and society throughout the world (Desai et al., 2015; Lehner et al., 2006). Forecasting floods at a local or regional scale for timely planning and risk mitigation continues to be a challenge. River floods typically result from space-time patterns of rainfall, induced by a complex combination of the convergence of atmospheric moisture transport driven by planetary atmospheric circulation and the associated dynamics of local or regional convection. The transport of the catchment rainfall through the watershed and streamflow network may lead to a threshold exceedance of local channel capacities resulting in flooding. Hydrologists have traditionally focused on the latter process conditional on a specified rainfall distribution. Recently, the understanding how the space-time dynamics of rainfall is conditioned on the large-scale atmospheric dynamics has received increasing attention, especially for assessments at sub-seasonal to seasonal and longer time scales (Allan et al., 2014). For example, the sequence of rainfall events may be as important for flooding as the space-time distribution of the particular flood-triggering rainfall event. Consequently, the diagnosis and linkage of the evolution of atmospheric circulation mechanisms and moisture transport to the affected region is of interest. Here, we consider an important inverse problem. Given historical data on space-time peaks in streamflow, is it possible to identify atmospheric circulation and moisture transport regimes? The underlying idea is that, given the large scales of atmospheric circulation that influences regional precipitation, distinct signatures of streamflow peaks may conform to specific patterns of moisture transport convergence into the region. We focus on the semi-automatic classification and identification of these mechanisms and the use of this information for assessing the occurrence probability of streamflow exceeding a given threshold. To this end, we must differentiate the flood types, since they are activated by different types of atmospheric circulation. Merz and Blöschl (2003) propose a typology to classify flood events in Central Europe into five types: long rain floods, short rain floods, flash floods, rain on snow floods, and snowmelt floods. Long rain floods are generated by

precipitation that can last from one to several days and are triggered by phenomena that occur on a synoptic scale. Short rain floods happen within a few hours or a day at most; since they depend on the circulation pattern, they occur either on a local or regional scale. Flash floods are very local phenomena of very short duration, less than 90 min, which are generally present during the summer season and usually influenced by convective phenomena. Rain on snow floods occur in the period of snow melting leading to a high base flow rate to which the effects of precipitation are added. Snowmelt floods occur when the release of water stored in the snowpack is enough to generate an inundation. A comprehensive approach able to forecast the occurrence and magnitude of all flood types is difficult because of the very different scales of the driving phenomena involved. Since the most disastrous floods in Europe occur as a result of heavy rainfall falling between 3 and 48 hr (Barredo, 2007), we focus on the long and short rain floods. Because such floods are driven by atmospheric phenomena at the synoptic scale, the investigation about the link between flood occurrence and large-scale atmospheric circulation patterns assumes a particular relevance (Merz et al., 2014). Historically, variations of the pressure fields, temperature, and winds have been assumed as representative of large-scale atmospheric phenomena influencing floods (Bhalme & Mooley, 1980; Jain & Lall, 2000; Trenberth & Guillemot, 1996). Methods to represent such variations have been proposed in the past based on climate indices (e.g., Ionita et al., 2008), circulation patterns (e.g., Bárdossy, 2010), or weather types (e.g., Murawski et al., 2016). Studies on the correlation between climate indices and floods in Europe have been conducted by several authors (e.g., Karamperidou et al., 2012). The indices most correlated with floods and/or extremes of precipitation are the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) and the El Niño South Oscillation (ENSO). However, such indices represent only part of the complexity of circulation patterns. The methods to classify large-scale atmospheric phenomena into circulation patterns can be divided into subjective methods (i.e., the types are chosen by meteorologists based on their experience) or objective methods (i.e., the types are chosen with the aid of an algorithm). The most used subjective classifications to identify European patterns are the one of Lamb (1972), and the one of Hess and Brezowsky (1977), updated by Gerstengarbe and Werner (2005). With the advent of computers, objective classifications became more frequent (Huth et al., 2008); initially only geopotential fields were classified, but then other atmospheric variables were integrated. Classifications that integrate more than one atmospheric variable are called weather types (Philipp et al., 2010). All the objective classifications proposed in the literature, however, include some subjectivity as the scientist needs to decide on the number of types. More recently, many authors (Allan et al., 2016; Dacre et al., 2015; Garaboa-Paz et al., 2015; Lavers et al., 2016; Rutz et al., 2014; Steinschneider & Lall, 2015b, 2015a), investigating large-scale atmospheric phenomena influencing floods, focused on the transport of large masses of moisture generated in the tropics and transported along coherent structures known as Atmospheric Rivers (ARs) (Newell et al., 1992; Zhu & Newell, 1998). Along these coherent structures, humidity is conveyed by the winds, which are generated by pressure differences, and can fall in the form of precipitation. ARs can be described by Integrated Vapor Transport (IVT) (Lavers et al., 2012; Newell et al., 1992; Zhu & Newell, 1998), an atmospheric variable that integrates specific humidity, and zonal and meridional winds. In a previous paper (Conticello et al., 2018), we proposed to use both Atmospheric River and Circulation Pattern features, represented by IVT and geopotential states, respectively, to predict heavy rainfall events in Central Italy. In that study unsupervised neural networks, Self Organizing Maps (SOM), were used to classify the geopotential fields in a finite number of geopotential patterns (GPs). This methodology allows an objective selection of the optimal number of circulation patterns according to the available data. An event synchronization method, which measures the correlation between two variables within a time window, was used to establish if there is a link between the circulation patterns and the heavy rainfall events. These results were synthesized using Complex Networks. Finally, local logistic regression was used to estimate the probability of heavy rainfall events conditional on the geopotential field and the local IVT value. This provided a reliable method for identifying the statistical dependence between the occurrence probability of heavy rainfall events and circulation patterns and moisture transport. In this paper, we extend the above described approach to investigate the link between the occurrence of high streamflow events and atmospheric circulation patterns. Heavy rainfall events do not linearly translate into heavy streamflow events, as the interaction of the space-time dynamics of rainfall with land surface processes determines the flooding potential (Nied et al., 2014). Rainfall-runoff models often used to translate rainfall into streamflow require the estimation of a large number of model parameters and the catchment precipitation. Both are often difficult to quantify (Lavers & Villarini, 2015). The probabilistic prediction of space-time rainfall and its convolution with unknown or uncertain rainfall-runoff processes over a heterogeneous landscape can lead to significant uncertainty propagation. Consequently, we consider the problem of directly evaluating

Figure 1. Study area, main rivers including catchment boundaries, and location of the streamflow stations.

the probability of flood occurrence starting from the atmospheric circulation features (Orton et al., 2018). We use streamflow data along the German hydrographic network, since Germany is well monitored with a sufficiently long record. Further, a number of studies have looked into the climate-flood link for this area, so our results can be compared to the existing knowledge. The article is organized as follows: in sections 2 and 3 the data and study area are described, and in section 4 the methodology is presented. The results are discussed in section 5, and the conclusions and future developments are highlighted in section 6.

2. Study Area

The study area is Germany in Central Europe. It is bounded between the Alps to the south and the North Sea to the north and between the Oder River to the east and the valley of the Rhine to the west. Other large rivers are the Danube, the Elbe, and the Weser Rivers (Figure 1).

Germany is characterized by a west-east gradient with increasing continental climate and a north-south gradients with increasing elevation. The superposition of both gradients leads to spatial patterns in temperature, snow cover, and rainfall with implications for streamflow and flood regimes. Moisture fluxes into the study area are dominated by westerly winds, and high intensity precipitation events are usually associated with cyclonic weather patterns (Merz et al., 2018; Steirou et al., 2017). Approximately two thirds of the maximum annual floods across Germany occur in winter (Uhlemann et al., 2010). Catchments that show a distinct winter dominated flood regime are located in the west, from south to north, in the Rhine and the Weser basins (Merz et al., 2018). Catchments in the Elbe basin and some parts of the Danube basin show a more mixed regime with frequent winter floods but also substantial summer floods. During summer, the advection of warm and moist air masses from the Mediterranean, referred as Vb pattern (Zugstrasse Vb), occasionally leads to very intense precipitation events and severe flooding over south and east Germany. Catchments in the Danube basins in the Alpine and pre-Alpine region in the south of Germany show a clear summer flood regime with annual maxima caused by either snowmelt events or convective rainfall events.

Figure 2. Schematic of the methodology. (a) Data pretreatment to derive high streamflow events (HSE), integrated vapor transport (IVT), and circulation pattern (CP). (b) Sequence of the methods applied to derive clustered streamflow stations, HSE occurrence against CP, HSE occurrence against IVT, and HSE occurrence against both CP and IVT.

3. Data

The data used in this paper are the daily streamflow data, provided by the Global Runoff Data Center (GRDC), from 166 stations that cover homogeneously the whole of Germany (Figure 1). Data are available from 1 January 1960 to 31 December 2012. To represent atmospheric variables between 90° W and 70° E of longitude and 20° N and 80° N of latitude, we used daily data provided by the NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis (Kalnay et al., 1996): Geopotential height at 850 hPa, specific humidity, and zonal and meridional wind from 1,000 to 300 hPa. The spatial resolution of the atmospheric fields is 2.5° for both latitude and longitude.

4. Methods

The methodology is shown in Figure 2. It consists of the following steps: (1) pretreatment of the streamflow and atmospheric data to derive high streamflow events (HSEs), integrated vapor transport (IVT), and circulation patterns (CPs) (Figure 2a); (2) identification of HSE synchronized streamflow gauge clusters (Figure 2b); (3) evaluation of the link (synchronization) and time lag (delay) between HSEs and CPs for each cluster; (4) evaluation for each cluster of the relation between HSE and IVT value at the point of the reanalysis grid close to the geographic center of each cluster; and (5) assessment of the probability of HSE occurrence given CP and IVT for each cluster. Synchronization and delay between two binary variables (e.g., gauge-gauge or gauge-CP) is evaluated by event synchronization (ES). Gauges and CPs with common behavior are pooled together using the modularity method; results are represented by complex networks. We use local regression to identify a relation between a binary variable (HSE) and a discrete variable (IVT). A Matlab code, available from the authors, was developed for calculating synchronization and delay between binary variables. Igraph R package (<http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:0803.0476>) is used to apply the modularity method. The Meteolab Meteorological toolbox for Matlab (<https://meteo.unican.es/trac/MLToolbox/wiki>) is used to apply SOM, whose output is a topologically ordered mapping of the input data, where similar patterns are

Figure 3. Decomposition of the daily streamflow time series into seasonal, trend, and remainder components (left) and peak identification from the remainder time series by selecting the discharge peak values exceeding the 90th percentile (right).

mapped onto neighboring map regions, while dissimilar patterns are located further apart. Local regression relies on the R Package (<http://r-statistics.co/Logistic-Regression-With-R.html>). The methods are explained in more detail in the following sections.

4.1. Definition of High Streamflow Events

The method to derive high streamflow events (HSEs) relies on the peak identification method inspired by Uhlemann et al. (2010) which has been successfully applied to the Elbe River in Germany by Nied et al. (2014, 2017). We first decompose the discharge time series into seasonal, trend, and remainder components. We adopt the method proposed by Cleveland et al. (1990), known as Seasonal Decomposition of Time Series by Loess (STL). This procedure consists of a sequence of smoothing operations using loess, a locally weighted regression (Cleveland & Devlin, 1988). STL is used to identify the seasonality and long-term trend in a given time series. We retain the remainder component, on the base of the following motivations. The seasonal and trend components represent slow changes over time in the mean value of the streamflow. Consequently, the remainder represents anomalies relative to the seasonal mean and the long-term trend mean; that is, it is due to a perturbation of the mean and hence to an event above the baseline. HSEs are identified from the remainder time series by selecting the discharge peak values exceeding the 90th percentile. Figure 3 exemplarily shows the results of the pretreatment and the peak detection. The left panel shows the recorded streamflow, the seasonal, trend, and remainder components. The right panel illustrates how the remainder is used to identify independent peaks (highlighted in red).

First, two successive peaks are retained if they are separated by at least 3 days (Nied et al., 2014; Uhlemann et al., 2010). Then, in order to select only the peaks occurring during the buildup period in the hydrograph, which is the period in which the weather conditions influence the increase in the streamflow (Nied et al., 2014), we apply a moving average. Peaks are selected if they exceed a moving average with a time window of 15 days. This selection is applied to all stations shown in Figure 1. For each station, a binary time series is obtained, using 1 for peaks, 0 elsewhere. Hence, a binary matrix $F_{t,s}$ (with $t = 1, n_T$; $s = 1, n_S$) is constructed where n_T and n_S are the number of time steps and streamflow gauges, respectively.

4.2. Estimation of Integrated Vapor Transport

Integrated vapor transport (IVT) is used to quantify the moisture transport in a region, that is, a cell of the reanalysis data set. It is estimated by integration over the air column, from 1,000 to 300 hPa, of the specific humidity multiplied by the zonal and meridional wind components:

$$IVT = \sqrt{\frac{1}{g} \int_{1,000}^{300} q u dp + \frac{1}{g} \int_{1,000}^{300} q v dp}$$

where q is the specific humidity in kg/kg , u is the zonal wind in m/s , v is the meridional wind in m/s , p is the pressure in hPa , and g is the acceleration due to gravity.

4.3. Identification of Circulation Patterns

Event synchronization applies to binary time series. Thus, geopotential height at 850 hPa (GPH850) anomaly time series have to be converted to a binary sequence to associate them with the streamflow peaks. This is done by (a) clustering the daily GPH850 anomaly fields into a number of representative circulation patterns (CPs); (b) assigning each day to the CP most similar to the GPH850 field of that day; and (c) constructing a binary matrix whose elements indicate the occurrence of a CP at a given day. The clustering of point (a) is carried out using Self Organized Map (SOM), that is, an unsupervised competitive learning neural network which projects the high-dimensional GPH850 data set onto a two-dimensional set of CPs. The Kaski and Lagus error criterion (Kaski & Lagus, 1996), which provides a global measure of both quantification and topological errors, is used to estimate the optimal number of CPs needed for an accurate representation of the daily GPH850 data set. A similar approach, based on the minimization of quantization and topographic errors, was also applied by Rousi et al. (2015). Applying the k -nearest neighbor method (Cover & Hart, 1967), the daily GPH850 anomaly time series is substituted with the sequence of the CPs. Finally, a binary matrix $G_{t,g}$ ($t = 1, n_T; g = 1, n_G$) is constructed in which the t th row indicates the day and the g th column the associate CP, being n_T the number of time steps, and n_G the number of CPs. The matrix element $G_{t,g}$ is set equal to 1 if the specific configuration applies, 0 otherwise.

4.4. Event Synchronization

The two matrices $F_{t,s}$ and $G_{t,g}$ have the same number of rows, and they can be horizontally concatenated in a unique matrix $E_{t,k}$, with $t = n_T, k = n_E$, and $n_E = n_S + n_G$. Each column of the matrix $E_{t,k}$ is a time series of events, according to the definition given in the previous paragraphs. Given two binary time series of events, $E_{t,x}$ and $E_{t,y}$, (where x and y indicate two different columns of $E_{t,k}$, $x \neq y$), event synchronization quantifies the synchronization degree, that is, the number of near-simultaneous occurrence of events between the two time series, as well as the time delay of the events of a time series with respect to those of the other. A slightly different formulation from the one proposed by Quiroga et al. (2002) and Kreuz et al. (2015), given in Conticello et al. (2018), is used to compute the synchronization degree and the delay between each couple of time series of matrix $E_{t,k}$. Let x_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m_x$) and y_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m_y$) be two vectors, each element of which identifies the time, t_i^x and t_j^y , of occurrence of events in the respective time series; m_x and m_y are the number of events in the vectors x_i and y_j , respectively. The two vectors x_i and y_j are obtainable from the time series $E_{t,x}$ and $E_{t,y}$ corresponding to two arbitrary chosen columns x and y of $E_{t,k}$. Let $s^\tau(x|y)$ and $s^\tau(y|x)$ be the number of times an event appears in x shortly before or after it appears in y within a pre-fixed time window τ

$$s_i^\tau(x|y) = \sum_{i=1}^{m_x} S_i; \quad s_j^\tau(y|x) = \sum_{j=1}^{m_y} S_j \quad (1)$$

with $S_i = 1$ if $\exists \min(|t_i^x - t_j^y| \leq \tau)$, and $S_i = 0$ else. The conditional probability of an event x given the occurrence of an event y (and vice versa) can be calculated by

$$P^\tau(x|y = 1) = \frac{s^\tau(x|y)}{m_x}; \quad P^\tau(y|x = 1) = \frac{s^\tau(y|x)}{m_y}. \quad (2)$$

Furthermore, a measure of event synchronization is provided by

$$Q_{x,y}^\tau = \frac{s^\tau(x|y) + s^\tau(y|x)}{m_x + m_y}. \quad (3)$$

In order to explore the directionality of the delay, we define

$$d^\tau(x|y) = \sum_{i=1}^{m_x} D_i; \quad d^\tau(y|x) = \sum_{j=1}^{m_y} D_j \quad (4)$$

with $D_i = 1$ if $\exists \min(0 < t_i^x - t_j^y \leq \tau)$, and $D_i = 0$ else. A measure of directionality of the delay can be expressed by

$$q_{x,y}^r = \frac{d^r(x|y) - d^r(y|x)}{m_x + m_y}. \quad (5)$$

According to the definitions of equations (3) and (5), $0 \leq Q_{x,y}^r \leq 1$ and $-0.5 \leq q_{x,y}^r \leq 0.5$. For $Q_{x,y}^r = 1$, events are fully synchronized, for $q_{x,y}^r = -0.5$, events in the y time series always anticipate events in x . Varying k from 1 to n_E , the variables of equations (1) and (4) can be calculated to derive the synchronization and delay between pairs of streamflow gauges and between streamflow gauges and CPs. A $n_E \times n_E$ synchronization matrix $Q_{x,y}^r$ and a $n_E \times n_E$ delay matrix $Q_{x,y}^r$, whose elements are calculated by equations (1) and (4), respectively, can be constructed. The matrices $Q_{x,y}^r$ and $Q_{x,y}^r$ describe the strength of the link between the elements and the directionality of the link, respectively.

4.5. Complex Network Clustering by Modularity

The n_G CPs and n_S streamflow gauges can be defined as nodes of a weighted and directed complex network (details are provided in supporting information), where the strength of connections between the nodes is given by the value of the elements of the synchronization matrix $Q(i,j)^r$. In order to explore if there is an underlying causal structure between CPs and HSEs, as well as between the HSEs at different streamflow gauges, we analyze the community structure of the complex networks; that is, we analyze if the nodes of the complex networks can be organized in clusters, where many links connect the nodes within a cluster, and comparatively few links exist between the nodes of different clusters. The modularity method (Blondel et al., 2008; Newman, 2004) is applied to identify communities into which a complex network can be split. Modularity is based on the comparison between the actual density of links inside a community and the density one would expect if the nodes were attached at random, regardless of the community structure. Modularity M is calculated by

$$M = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i,j} \left[Q_{i,j} - \frac{\prod_i \prod_j}{2m} \right] \delta(c_i, c_j), \quad (6)$$

where Q_{ij} represents the strength of the link between the nodes i and j , $\prod_i = \sum_j Q_{ij}$ is the sum of the strength of the links attached to node i , c_i is the community to which node i is assigned, the δ - function $\delta(u, v)$ is 1 if $u = v$ and 0 otherwise, and $m = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} Q_{ij}$. Equation (6) is solved using the two-step iterative algorithm proposed by Blondel et al. (2008), also known as Louvain Method. In the present study, the modularity method is applied twice. First, it is applied to the HSEs associated to the entire set of streamflow gauges. In this way a number of communities of streamflow gauges with strong HSE synchronization are identified. Then the modularity method is applied again, separately for each community, to assess the link between HSEs and CPs.

4.6. HSE-IVT Local Regression

Local regression (Loader, 1999), based on generalized linear models (glm) with Bernoulli response and link logit, is used to compute the probability of HSE occurrence given IVT as predictor. The problem can be formulated as follows. Let $Y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ be a binary time series, defined by

$$y_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if there is at least one HSE in the group of streamflow considered,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

where i is the value of y at the i th time step. Let $x_i = (x_{i1}, \dots, x_{ip})$ be the predictor time series, whose elements are the IVT values, at the i th time step, of the cell of the NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis data closest to the centroid of the streamflow gauge community. Being $\mu_i = E(Y_i|x_i)$, a glm can be specified for Y

$$g(\mu_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \dots + \beta_p x_{ip} = \eta_i, \quad (8)$$

where η_i is the linear predictor and $g(\cdot)$ is the link function. A Bernoulli distribution is used to identify the binary response variable:

$$P(Y_i = 1) = \mu_i \quad P(Y_i = 0) = 1 - \mu_i. \quad (9)$$

Figure 4. Clusters of streamflow stations based on event synchronization and modularity. Clusters are represented by different colors.

Since μ_i is defined between 0 and 1, a logistic transform can be used as a link function:

$$g(\mu_i) = \ln \left(\frac{\mu_i}{1 - \mu_i} \right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \dots + \beta_p x_{ip} = \eta_i. \quad (10)$$

To estimate μ_i , the link function is inverted:

$$\mu_i = \frac{e^{\eta_i}}{1 + e^{\eta_i}}. \quad (11)$$

Further details on HSE-IVT local regression are provided in the supporting information.

5. Results and Discussion

5.1. Pooling Streamflow Stations in Homogeneous Clusters

Figure 4 shows the main rivers, their basin boundaries, and the complex network of the streamflow stations based on event synchronization and modularity. The nodes represent the stations, and the links indicate synchronization between HSEs. Four clusters of stations are identified. To a large part, as expected, these clusters coincide with the main German river basins: South Western Rhine (green), North Western/Central Weser (pink), North Eastern Elbe (orange), and South Eastern Danube (blue). The few exceptions are related to streamflow stations assigned to an adjacent basin, where the membership is caused by the spatial distribution of rainfall events due to the storm orientation generating floods that do not overlap with the boundaries of the basins.

5.2. Local Regression to Relate High Streamflow Events and Integrated Vapor Transport

After the pooling, we study the influence of integrated vapor transport (IVT) on the probability of occurrence of a heavy streamflow event (HSE) by applying local logistic regression to the binary sequence of HSEs and the corresponding IVT. In Figure 5 the results of this analysis are presented for the four clusters.

Figure 5. Probability of occurrence of at least one high streamflow event (HSE) within the four station clusters as function of integrated vapor transport (IVT). IVT values are obtained taking the closest cell value to the centroid of each cluster. Dashed lines: 95% confidence band. At the top and the bottom of each figure, HSE occurrence is represented with 0 (bottom: it means that HSE didn't overpass the threshold) and 1 (top: it means that HSE overpassed the threshold) associated to IVT values.

All clusters show a dependence of the probability of HSE occurrence on IVT which suggests that IVT is a useful predictor for river flooding. This agrees with the literature on the influence of moisture transport on the probability of extreme precipitation or flooding and in particular on the effectiveness of IVT as an early warning indicator (Lavers et al., 2012; Lavers & Villarini, 2013). However, for high IVT values the probability of having at least one high streamflow event in the region is higher for the western regions than in eastern regions. Figure 6a shows the composite of wind and IVT for the HSEs in the northwestern cluster, while Figure 6b shows the difference between the composites of the northwestern and southwestern clusters. For both regions, HSE occurrences are related to similar wind and IVT patterns. However, small shifts in these patterns at the synoptic scale, highlighted in Figure 6b, can result in a deflection of moisture transport that is sufficient to cause heavy rainfall (at the spatial scale of Germany) in another region.

Figure 6 shows that HSE occurrence in these regions is mainly related to westerly moisture flux. This observation is consistent with the widespread understanding that flooding in Central Europe is primarily associated with geopotential states that favor westerly moisture flows (e.g., Blöschl et al., 2017; Bendix, 2017; Jacobeit et al., 2003; Steirou et al., 2017, 2019). In supporting information are reported Figures S1–S3, similar to Figure 6, but showing the difference of IVT and wind between eastern and north-western clusters. The mechanism of moisture transport able to generate high streamflow in the southern eastern cluster differs significantly from the one affecting the other clusters. Indeed, in this region the moisture transport is often linked to so-called Vb cyclones that usually occur in late Spring and Summer, bringing warm and humid air masses advected from south Europe northward to Central and Eastern Europe (Jacobiteit et al., 2006; Nied et al., 2014; Petrow et al., 2007; Ulbrich et al., 2003).

5.3. Self Organizing Maps to Identify Circulation Patterns

To identify the atmospheric pressure patterns that influence the transport of moisture during HSEs, we analyze the daily geopotential fields at 850 hPa. We reduce the data set of over 20,000 daily geopotential height

Figure 6. IVT composite for high streamflow events occurring in the north-western/central cluster (a). Difference between IVT composites of the northwestern and southwestern clusters (b). Arrows show the wind direction and speed, colors code IVT. It is evident that westerly moisture flow influences high streamflow events in both clusters.

fields using Self Organizing Maps (SOMs). The error function (Kaski & Lagus, 1996) is given in Figure S4 in supporting information, showing the error versus the number of maps necessary to synthesize the original data set. Figure 7 shows the resulting 81 circulation patterns for the optimal tradeoff between number of maps and error. The resulting number of 81 CPs is rather high compared to other circulation type classifications. There are some similarities between different CPs, but a subtle shift in circulation patterns may translate into streamflow impact in a different watershed (see also section 5.2). The small shifts that are seen between similar CPs correspond physically to the wave guide centered in the case of the CPs that matter most for HSE on Germany.

5.4. Synchronization and Local Regression to Relate High Streamflow Events With Circulation Patterns and Integrated Vapor Transport

The relations between HSEs and CPs and IVT are studied for each cluster. The results for the south-western cluster are shown in Figure 8.

Figure 8a shows a complex network whose nodes at the center of the circle represent the streamflow stations of the south-western cluster, while the nodes arranged in the outer circle represent the 81 circulation patterns. The presence, or absence, of links between the nodes indicates their synchronization Q . Circulation patterns 8, 9, 54, 63, and 72 are highly synchronized with the HSEs of this cluster. To identify the circulation pattern which shows the strongest synchronization, we plot the values of the synchronization between each

Figure 7. Self Organizing Maps (SOM) for the geopotential height at 850 hPa.

station and each circulation pattern (Figure 8b). Circulation patterns 63 and 72 stand out as highly synchronized. Averaging across all stations of the south-western cluster shows that circulation pattern 63 is the most synchronized pattern with HSEs (Figure 8c). This pattern, consisting of a GPH850-positive anomaly around the Canary Islands and negative ones on Central Europe with the strongest gradient around the Pyrenees, generates winds whose average speeds are highest at the latitudes where Germany is located (Figure 8d). This circulation pattern occurs about five to six times per year. In almost 75%, it occurs in winter (DJF). If we also include March and April, we reach >90% (Figure 8e). The local logistic regression function, which links the probability of HSE occurrence to IVT, for configuration 63 shows an increase in the regression slope in the IVT interval from 0 to $250 \text{ kg m}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ compared to all circulation patterns (Figure 8f). This translates into much higher probability values for IVT larger than around $100 \text{ kg m}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$. For example, the probability of occurrence of a HSE in the south-western cluster for an IVT value of $300 \text{ kg m}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ is 30%, while it reaches over 60% if conditioned on CP 63. It is evident that knowing both the value of moisture transport and the geopotential configuration leads to a significant improvement in the predictive capacity of the model. As expected, due to the minor number of data of the time series, the confidence intervals for the CP conditioned local logistic regression (Figure 8f) are wider than non CP conditioned ones. However, the confidence intervals significantly diverge only for very extreme value of IVT, which don't question the previously discussed finding. Figures 8g–8i show the IVT composites obtained by sampling the IVT fields during the circulation pattern 63 for different ranges of IVT values. These figures illustrate the reason why taking both variables into account improves the model skill. While IVT gives an idea of the local moisture concentration, the geopotential state provides information on the path followed by the humidity, especially from where it originates and where it moves to. The results for the north-western/central cluster (Figure S5 in supporting information) are very similar to those for the south-western cluster. These clusters mostly coincide with the Rhine and Weser basins, which have a distinct winter flood regime (Belz et al., 2007; Beurton & Thielen, 2009; Disse & Engel, 2001; Mudelsee et al., 2004; Petrow et al., 2009). For the north-eastern cluster (Figure S6 in supporting information), which coincides with the Elbe basin, the results (supporting information) are similar to those of the two western clusters, although the synchronization between HSEs and circulation patterns is lower. The most important circulation pattern for this area is 72. Compared to 63, the pattern

Figure 8. (a) Complex networks for the south-western cluster. Nodes at the center represent streamflow stations of the south-western cluster, while the nodes at the outer circle represent the 81 circulation patterns. (b) Synchronization matrix between high streamflow events of this cluster and CPs. (c) Mean synchronization matrix, averaged across all streamflow stations. (d) Most synchronized circulation pattern: CP 63. (e) Seasonal distribution of the occurrence of CP 63. (f) Local logistic regression for CP 63: probability of occurrence of at least one HSE in the south-western cluster as function of IVT. (g–i) IVT composites during CP 63 for different values of IVT: 100–300 (g), 300–500 (h), >500 (i).

72 has the center of the higher positive geopotential values moved to the north, 20° W, 45° N. This pattern collects moisture from the north Atlantic not immediately discharging it to the west of the French coast, as it happens with the pattern 63, but deviating to the north and arriving directly in the north-eastern cluster. This result agrees with Uhlemann et al. (2010) and Petrow et al. (2007) who also found that this region is affected during winter floods by similar circulation patterns, albeit less, to those that activate floods in the west of the country. The south-eastern cluster (Figure S7 in supporting information), on the other hand, has the HSE synchronized with the circulation patterns ordered along the left column of the map matrix, corresponding to summer patterns. Conditioning the HSE occurrence versus IVT regression to a specific CP does not increase the probability of HSE occurrence. The IVT composites for values greater than 500 kg m⁻¹ s⁻¹ show the presence of moisture in Central Europe without indicating a preferential route of transport from other regions. This result can be explained by the variety of flood generation processes in that portion of the Danube basin. Floods typically occur in summer, often caused by convective rainfall events (Prudhomme & Geneviev, 2011), but snowmelt and large-scale frontal systems play an important role as well. Merz et al. (2018) note that extreme summer floods in this region are often associated with Vb storm tracks which favor moisture transport from the Mediterranean and in particular from the Gulf of Genoa and the Adriatic sea. The synoptic situation of a Vb system is, by and large, characterized by high pressure centers on the English Channel and lows on the Gulf of Genoa and Alps. The persistence of this situation increases the residence

Figure 9. Delay values, q , for each station associated with CP 63, that is, the circulation pattern which is most synchronized with HSEs in Germany. Colors indicate the delay value for each station, from low (blue) to high (red). Histograms of delay values are shown for two stations. Station 31 in the mountainous part of the Rhine basin has most often a delay of zero; that is, HSEs occur on the same day as CP 63. At station 2, the most downstream gauge of the Rhine River, the most frequent delay is between 4 and 5 days.

time of a Vb cyclone and thus the amount of precipitation over Central Europe. In addition, Merz et al. (2018) found that the initial catchment state plays a much larger role for flood generation in this region compared to other river basins in Germany. Similarly, Blöschl et al. (2013) observed that the particularly disastrous summer floods of 1954, 2002, and 2013 for the Danube started after extended rainy periods which saturated the ground. Since our indicators CP and IVT do not represent the initial catchment conditions, our model is less well suited for this cluster. Here, an approach similar to Nied et al. (2014) who attempt to consider the atmospheric situation jointly with the initial catchment state should be developed.

5.5. Synchronization and Delay to Identify Optimal Time Window

In addition to synchronization values, event synchronization also provides delay values (equation (5)). Figure 9 shows the map of delay values between streamflow peaks and the most synchronized circulation pattern with the western clusters (CP 63).

As expected, the delay values increase along the hydrograph network of each basin from upstream to downstream regions. We select two stations of the south-western cluster, namely, stations 31 and 2 indicated with a red circle in the delay map to demonstrate the capability of the method to detect complex spatiotemporal relations. For these stations, histograms of the frequency versus lag time between the occurrence of CP 63 and of HSE, within the time window, were calculated. Station 31 is located in the mountainous areas of the Rhine. Its histogram has its highest value for a delay equal to 0; that is, the HSEs occur on the same day as the CP 63. The histogram of station 2 which is the most downstream station at the Rhine, instead, shows a peak corresponding to delay values of 4 to 5 days. This is coherent with the physics of the system which suggests that downstream gauges have longer delay than upstream gauges.

6. Conclusions

The goal of this research was to identify, considering an appropriate delay, the atmospheric circulation features and moisture transport patterns that cause space-time peaks in streamflow, and to use this information for linking the probabilistic occurrence of high streamflow events to these large-scale climate features. To this end, we extended the methodology recently proposed by Conticello et al. (2018) for assessing the probability of heavy rainfall occurrence. Using river discharge records from streamflow gauges covering the entire German territory, we showed that the proposed methodology can be successfully applied to (a) identify the atmospheric patterns most synchronized to HSE occurrence; (b) find a significant statistical dependence, by logistic regression, between the probability of occurrence of HSE and the IVT in the examined regions, conditioned on the CPs most synchronized with HSEs of the streamflow clusters; (c) use event synchronization not only to identify the CPs most synchronized to HSEs but also through the delay values to identify a clear causality between CP and HSE occurrence; and (d) calculate the delay between CP and HSE which is embedded in the calculation and could subsequently be used in the prediction. Some relevant aspects of the proposed methodology can be highlighted:

- We found a statistically significant dependence of HSE occurrence on the local values of IVT, conditional to the most synchronized CP. This approach improves a probabilistic approach that links HSE occurrence only to the local IVT as proposed by Lavers and Villarini (2013).
- Very high probabilities of HSE occurrence (conditioned on the most synchronized CP), as a function of local value of IVT, were found for most of the clusters identified by event synchronization and modularity. This is particularly evident for the two western clusters where floods are driven by atmospheric circulation patterns represented by a positive anomaly around the Canary Islands and negative ones at Central Europe with strongest gradient around the Pyrenees. Such fields are associated to winds whose average speeds are highest at the latitudes where western Germany is located and that are able to transport moisture along atmospheric rivers, originating in the Tropics.
- The dependence of HSE occurrence on the proposed atmospheric predictors suggests the possibility to construct flood early warning tools or to assess changes in HSE frequency due to the occurrence of more frequent atmospheric circulation patterns able to generate floods or due to an increase of humidity in the atmospheric column as expected in the future.

Some limitations of the approach are noted. Our analysis is limited to high streamflow events that are linked to rainfall involving large-scale atmospheric circulation. For the south-eastern cluster, only a weak dependence of HSE occurrence on IVT could be found. This is explained by the flood generation processes in these regions. Floods typically occur in summer often caused by local convective rainfall events or snowmelt and where the soil saturation may play a larger role in generating HSEs. To overcome such limitation, an upgrade of the approach is necessary further investigation need. Large-scale circulation is represented by nodes obtained by SOM. The number of patterns represents a tradeoff between bias and variance in identifying groups. While a large number of CPs were retained, only a few CPs are highly synchronized with HSEs, and these are statistically significant predictors in the logistic regression. Our analysis is limited to a symmetric SOM and to a given spatial domain. We identify the number of nodes on the basis of the variance of only geopotential time series and not by including the link with HSE. This has the advantage that the CPs are identified independently of the HSEs and then a small subset that influences the extreme HSEs can be identified. This approach mitigates the overfitting that could result if the HSEs were used to train the clustering of the CPs. However, there is room for refining the proposed methodology exploring non-symmetrical SOM and spatial domain of different extension. A relevant result of our study is the identification of the two significant atmospheric predictors IVT and GPH850. Classifying GPH and IVT patterns together to explore the existence of a stronger link with HSE could be a further possibility, as well as the choice of a smaller region to apply the methodology.

References

- Allan, R. P., Lavers, D. A., & Champion, A. J. (2016). Diagnosing links between atmospheric moisture and extreme daily precipitation over the UK. *International Journal of Climatology*, 36(9), 3191–3206.
- Allan, R. P., Liu, C., Zahn, M., Lavers, D. A., Koukouvagias, E., & Bodas-Salcedo, A. (2014). Physically consistent responses of the global atmospheric hydrological cycle in models and observations. *Surveys in Geophysics*, 35(3), 533–552.
- Bárdossy, A. (2010). Atmospheric circulation pattern classification for South-West Germany using hydrological variables. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C*, 35(9–12), 498–506.
- Barredo, J. I. (2007). Major flood disasters in Europe: 1950–2005. *Natural Hazards*, 42(1), 125–148.

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge Dr. Nguyen Viet Dung for his precious work to provide Figures 1 and 9. Streamflow Data were provided by The Global Runoff Data Centre, 56068 Koblenz, Germany, and it is available online (at <https://www.bafg.de/GRDC/EN/02&urlscore;srvc/21&urlscore;tmsrs/riverdischarge&urlscore;node.html>) (accessed 30/01/2020). NCEP NCAR Reanalysis data are available at the IRI Climate Data Library (<https://iridl.ldeo.columbia.edu/SOURCES/NOAA/NCEP-NCAR/CDAS-1/DAILY/>) (accessed 30/01/2020). The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

- Belz, J. U., Brahmer, G., Buiteveld, H., Engel, H., Grabher, R., Hodel, H., et al. (2007). *Das Abflussregime des Rheins und seiner Nebenflüsse im 20. Jahrhundert: Analyse, Veränderungen, Trends*. Internationale Kommission für die Hydrologie des Rheingebietes.
- Bendix, J. (1997). Natürliche und anthropogene Einflüsse auf den Hochwasserabfluss des Rheins natural and human impacts on flood discharge of the river Rhine. *Erdkunde*, *Bd. 51*(H. 4), 292–308.
- Beurton, S., & Thieken, A. H. (2009). Seasonality of floods in Germany. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, *54*(1), 62–76.
- Bhalme, H. N., & Mooley, D. A. (1980). Large-scale droughts/floods and monsoon circulation. *Monthly Weather Review*, *108*(8), 1197–1211.
- Blöschl, G., Hall, J., Parajka, J., Perdigão, R. A., Merz, B., Arheimer, B., et al. (2017). Changing climate shifts timing of European floods. *Science*, *357*(6351), 588–590.
- Blöschl, G., Nester, T., Komma, J., Parajka, J., & Perdigão, R. (2013). The June 2013 flood in the Upper Danube basin, and comparisons with the 2002, 1954 and 1899 floods. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, *17*(12), 5197–5212.
- Blondel, V. D., Guillaume, J.-L., Lambiotte, R., & Lefebvre, E. (2008). Fast unfolding of communities in large networks. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*, *2008*(10), P10008.
- Cleveland, R. B., Cleveland, W. S., McRae, J. E., & Terpenning, I. (1990). STL: A seasonal-trend decomposition. *Journal of Official Statistics*, *6*(1), 3–73.
- Cleveland, W. S., & Devlin, S. J. (1988). Locally weighted regression: An approach to regression analysis by local fitting. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, *83*(403), 596–610.
- Conticello, F., Cioffi, F., Merz, B., & Lall, U. (2018). An event synchronization method to link heavy rainfall events and large-scale atmospheric circulation features. *International Journal of Climatology*, *38*(3), 1421–1437.
- Cover, T. M., & Hart, P. E. (1967). Nearest neighbor pattern classification. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, *13*(1), 21–27.
- Dacre, H. F., Clark, P. A., Martinez-Alvarado, O., Stringer, M. A., & Lavers, D. A. (2015). How do atmospheric rivers form? *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, *96*(8), 1243–1255.
- Desai, B., Maskrey, A., Peduzzi, P., De Bono, A., & Herold, C. (2015). Making development sustainable: The future of disaster risk management, global assessment report on disaster risk reduction.
- Disse, M., & Engel, H. (2001). Flood events in the Rhine basin: Genesis, influences and mitigation. *Natural Hazards*, *23*(2-3), 271–290.
- Garaboa-Paz, D., Eiras-Barca, J., Huhn, F., & Pérez-Muñuzuri, V. (2015). Lagrangian coherent structures along atmospheric rivers. *Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science*, *25*(6), 063105.
- Gerstengarbe, F.-W., & Werner, P. C. (2005). Simulationsergebnisse des regionalen klimamodells star. *Auswirkungen des globalen Wandels auf Wasser, Umwelt und Gesellschaft im Elbegebiet. Konzepte für die nachhaltige Entwicklung einer Flusslandschaft*, *6*, 110–118.
- Hess, P., & Brezowsky, H. (1977). Katalog der Großwetterlagen Europas 1881–1976. 3. verbesserte und ergänzte Aufl. *Berichte des Deutschen Wetterdienstes*, *113*, 1–140.
- Huth, R., Beck, C., Philipp, A., Demuzere, M., Ustrnul, Z., Cahynová, M., et al. (2008). Classifications of atmospheric circulation patterns. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, *1146*(1), 105–152.
- Ionita, M., Lohmann, G., & Rambu, N. (2008). Prediction of spring Elbe discharge based on stable teleconnections with winter global temperature and precipitation. *Journal of Climate*, *21*(23), 6215–6226.
- Jacobeit, J., Glaser, R., Luterbacher, J., & Wanner, H. (2003). Links between flood events in Central Europe since AD 1500 and large-scale atmospheric circulation modes. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *30*(4), 1172.
- Jacobeit, J., Philipp, A., & Nonnenmacher, M. (2006). Atmospheric circulation dynamics linked with prominent discharge events in Central Europe. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, *51*(5), 946–965.
- Jain, S., & Lall, U. (2000). Magnitude and timing of annual maximum floods: Trends and large-scale climatic associations for the Blacksmith Fork River, Utah. *Water Resources Research*, *36*(12), 3641–3651.
- Kalnay, E., Kanamitsu, M., Kistler, R., Collins, W., Deaven, D., Gandin, L., et al. (1996). The NCEP/NCAR 40-year reanalysis project. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, *77*(3), 437–472.
- Karamperidou, C., Cioffi, F., & Lall, U. (2012). Surface temperature gradients as diagnostic indicators of midlatitude circulation dynamics. *Journal of Climate*, *25*(12), 4154–4171.
- Kaski, S., & Lagus, K. (1996). Comparing self-organizing maps. In *International conference on artificial neural networks*, Springer, pp. 809–814.
- Kreuz, T., Mulansky, M., & Bozanic, N. (2015). SPIKY: A graphical user interface for monitoring spike train synchrony. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, *113*(9), 3432–3445.
- Lamb, H. H. (1972). British Isles weather types and a register of the daily sequence of circulation patterns 1861–1971. Her Majesty's stationery office.
- Lavers, D. A., & Villarini, G. (2013). The nexus between atmospheric rivers and extreme precipitation across Europe. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *40*, 3259–3264. <https://doi.org/10.1002/grl.50636>
- Lavers, D. A., & Villarini, G. (2015). The contribution of atmospheric rivers to precipitation in Europe and the United States. *Journal of Hydrology*, *522*, 382–390.
- Lavers, D. A., Villarini, G., Allan, R. P., Wood, E. F., & Wade, A. J. (2012). The detection of atmospheric rivers in atmospheric reanalyses and their links to British winter floods and the large-scale climatic circulation. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *117*, D20106. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2012JD018027>
- Lavers, D. A., Waliser, D. E., Ralph, F. M., & Dettinger, M. D. (2016). Predictability of horizontal water vapor transport relative to precipitation: Enhancing situational awareness for forecasting western US extreme precipitation and flooding. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *43*, 2275–2282. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL067765>
- Lehner, B., Döll, P., Alcamo, J., Henrichs, T., & Kaspar, F. (2006). Estimating the impact of global change on flood and drought risks in Europe: A continental, integrated analysis. *Climatic Change*, *75*(3), 273–299.
- Loader, C. (1999). *Local regression and likelihood*. New York, Berlin, and Heidelberg: Springer.
- Merz, B., Aerts, J., Arnbjerg-Nielsen, K., Baldi, M., Becker, A., Bichet, A., et al. (2014). Floods and climate: Emerging perspectives for flood risk assessment and management. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, *14*(7), 1921–1942.
- Merz, R., & Blöschl, G. (2003). A process typology of regional floods. *Water Resources Research*, *39*(12), 1340.
- Merz, B., Dung, N. V., Apel, H., Gerlitz, L., Schröter, K., Steirou, E., & Vorogushyn, S. (2018). Spatial coherence of flood-rich and flood-poor periods across Germany. *Journal of Hydrology*, *559*, 813–826.
- Merz, B., Kundzewicz, Z. W., Delgado, J., Hunecheda, Y., & Kreibich, H. (2018). Detection and attribution of changes in flood hazard and risk. *Changes in flood risk in europe* (pp. 445–468). London: CRC Press.
- Mudelsee, M., Börngen, M., Tetzlaff, G., & Grünwald, U. (2004). Extreme floods in Central Europe over the past 500 years: Role of cyclone pathway “Zugstrasse Vb”. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *109*, D23101. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JD005034>

- Murawski, A., Bürger, G., Vorogushyn, S., & Merz, B. (2016). Can local climate variability be explained by weather patterns? A multi-station evaluation for the Rhine basin. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 20(10), 4283–4306.
- Newell, R. E., Newell, N. E., Zhu, Y., & Scott, C. (1992). Tropospheric rivers?—A pilot study. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 19(24), 2401–2404.
- Newman, M. E. (2004). Analysis of weighted networks. *Physical Review E*, 70(5), 056131.
- Nied, M., Pardowitz, T., Nissen, K., Ulbrich, U., Hundecha, Y., & Merz, B. (2014). On the relationship between hydro-meteorological patterns and flood types. *Journal of Hydrology*, 519, 3249–3262.
- Nied, M., Schröter, K., Lüdtke, S., Nguyen, V. D., & Merz, B. (2017). What are the hydro-meteorological controls on flood characteristics? *Journal of Hydrology*, 545, 310–326.
- Orton, P. M., Conticello, F. R., Cioffi, F., Hall, T. M., Georgas, N., Lall, U., et al. (2018). Flood hazard assessment from storm tides, rain and sea level rise for a tidal river estuary. *Natural Hazards*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-018-3251-x>
- Petrow, T., Merz, B., Lindenschmidt, K.-E., & Thieken, A. (2007). Aspects of seasonality and flood generating circulation patterns in a mountainous catchment in south-eastern Germany. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions*, 4(2), 589–625.
- Petrow, T., Zimmer, J., & Merz, B. (2009). Changes in the flood hazard in Germany through changing frequency and persistence of circulation patterns. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, 9(4), 1409–1423.
- Philipp, A., Bartholy, J., Beck, C., Erpicum, M., Esteban, P., Fettweis, X., et al. (2010). Cost733cat—A database of weather and circulation type classifications. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C*, 35(9–12), 360–373.
- Prudhomme, C., & Genevier, M. (2011). Can atmospheric circulation be linked to flooding in Europe? *Hydrological Processes*, 25(7), 1180–1190.
- Quiroga, R. Q., Kreuz, T., & Grassberger, P. (2002). Event synchronization: A simple and fast method to measure synchronicity and time delay patterns. *Physical Review E*, 66(4), 041904.
- Rousi, E., Anagnostopoulou, C., Tolika, K., & Maheras, P. (2015). Representing teleconnection patterns over Europe: A comparison of SOM and PCA methods. *Atmospheric research*, 152, 123–137.
- Rutz, J. J., Steenburgh, W. J., & Ralph, F. M. (2014). Climatological characteristics of atmospheric rivers and their inland penetration over the western United States. *Monthly Weather Review*, 142(2), 905–921.
- Steinschneider, S., & Lall, U. (2015a). Daily precipitation and tropical moisture exports across the eastern United States: An application of archetypal analysis to identify spatiotemporal structure. *Journal of Climate*, 28(21), 8585–8602.
- Steinschneider, S., & Lall, U. (2015b). A hierarchical Bayesian regional model for nonstationary precipitation extremes in Northern California conditioned on tropical moisture exports. *Water Resources Research*, 51, 1472–1492. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014WR016664>
- Steirou, E., Gerlitz, L., Apel, H., & Merz, B. (2017). Links between large-scale circulation patterns and streamflow in Central Europe: A review. *Journal of Hydrology*, 549, 484–500.
- Steirou, E., Gerlitz, L., Apel, H., Sun, X., & Merz, B. (2019). Climate influences on flood probabilities across Europe. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 23(3), 1305–1322.
- Trenberth, K. E., & Guillemot, C. J. (1996). Physical processes involved in the 1988 drought and 1993 floods in North America. *Journal of Climate*, 9(6), 1288–1298.
- Uhlemann, S., Thieken, A., & Merz, B. (2010). A consistent set of trans-basin floods in Germany between 1952–2002. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 14(7), 1277–1295.
- Ulbrich, U., Brücher, T., Fink, A. H., Leckebusch, G. C., Krüger, A., & Pinto, J. G. (2003). The central European floods of August 2002: Part 1—Rainfall periods and flood development. *Weather*, 58(10), 371–377.
- Zhu, Y., & Newell, R. E. (1998). A proposed algorithm for moisture fluxes from atmospheric rivers. *Monthly Weather Review*, 126(3), 725–735.