Sotoudeh, M., Bongers-Loth, M. D., Roddatis, V.,
Čížek, J., Nowak, C., Wenderoth, M., Blöchl, P., Pundt,
A. (2021): Hydrogen-related defects in titanium
dioxide at the interface to palladium. - Physical
Review Materials, 5, 12, 125801.

<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.5.125801>

Hydrogen-related defects in titanium dioxide at the interface to palladium

Mohsen Sotoudeh^{1,*}, Marian David Bongers-Loth,² Vladimir Roddatis^{2,3}, Jakub Čížek,⁴ Carsten Nowak,^{2,5} Martin Wenderoth,⁶ Peter Blöchl^{7,8,†} and Astrid Pundt^{2,9,‡}

¹*Institute of Theoretical Chemistry, Ulm University, Albert-Einstein-Allee 11, 89081 Ulm, Germany*

²*Institut für Materialphysik, Universität Göttingen, Friedrich-Hund-Platz 1, 37077 Göttingen, Germany*

³*Helmholtz Centre Potsdam, GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences Telegrafenberg, 14473 Potsdam, Germany*

⁴*Department of Low-temperature Physics, Charles University in Prague, V Holešovičkách 2, 180 00 Praha 8, Czech Republic*

⁵*XLAB, Universität Göttingen, Justus-von-Liebig-Weg 8, 37077 Göttingen, Germany*

⁶*IV. Physikalisches Institut, Universität Göttingen, Friedrich-Hund-Platz 1, 37077 Göttingen, Germany*

⁷*Institute for Theoretical Physics, Clausthal University of Technology, Leibnizstr. 10, 38678 Clausthal-Zellerfeld, Germany*

⁸*Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Göttingen, Friedrich-Hund-Platz 1, 37077 Göttingen, Germany*

⁹*Institut für Angewandte Materialien-Werkstoffkunde, Karlsruher Institut für Technologie, Kaiserstr. 12, 76131 Karlsruhe, Germany*

(Received 21 June 2021; revised 8 November 2021; accepted 24 November 2021; published 10 December 2021)

A metal oxide support and a catalytically active metal are the two main ingredients for complex catalysts used in heterogeneous catalysis. The gas environment can change the catalyst during the reaction, modifying its structural and electronic properties. Here, we use monochromated electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS) to reveal hydrogen-pressure-dependent changes of the electronic structure at the Pd/rutile-TiO₂ interface in an environmental transmission electron microscope (ETEM). Hydrogen-induced changes are observed in rutile-TiO₂ within 2 nm from the interface at 10 Pa of hydrogen pressure, in the Ti *L*_{3,2} EEL spectra. Lower pressures such as 1 Pa show no changes in the EEL spectra. We attribute the observed changes in the EEL spectra to hydrogen-induced defects accumulating in the vicinity of the interface. Based on DFT calculations, we developed a thermodynamic multistate defect (TMD) model of the interface and the bulk of the rutile-TiO₂. This TMD model predicts high concentrations of positively charged defects accumulating at the interface. The presence of the Schottky barrier stabilizes these defects by significantly lowering their formation energy. Our findings provide important insights into catalytic processes taking place at metal/metal oxide interfaces in hydrogen gas environments.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.5.125801](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.5.125801)

I. INTRODUCTION

Metal/oxide systems using titanium dioxide (TiO₂) as a support have been used in a broad range of technological applications where they serve as model systems for fundamental process studies in surface science [1,2], catalysis [3–16], the field of resistive switching [17–19], as well as for Schottky contacts [20–23]. One central question in these studies is how chemical and physical properties of the metal/TiO₂ interface are influenced by an electric field [19], by metal-support interaction [11], or by defect concentrations [21,22]. The latter can be also influenced by the environment, e.g., by the presence of hydrogen, as utilized in for catalysts and gas sensors.

Defects, such as oxygen vacancies, Ti vacancies, and Ti interstitials, and their properties in bulk rutile-TiO₂ (r-TiO₂) have been experimentally studied [1,6,24–32]. On the other side, the hydrogen concentration in pristine r-TiO₂ crystals can be found [33] between 10¹⁷ cm⁻³ and 10¹⁹ cm⁻³. Interstitial hydrogen [34,35] and hydrogenated oxygen vacancy

[35] (H_O) are detected by infrared spectroscopy [36] and discussed as two important hydrogen-related defects, which act as dopants in r-TiO₂.

According to recent theoretical studies on bulk TiO₂ [35,37–41], the favorable adsorption sites for H atoms are oxygen vacancies (V_O), and, in the absence of vacancies, interstitial sites (H_i). All these point defect studies were carried out using first-principles calculations based on density-functional theory (DFT).

Palladium (Pd) or platinum (Pt) are often used as metal partners. They are highly active in hydrogenation reactions [42], and for dissociative chemisorption of H₂ molecules at their surfaces [43–45]. Pd is a well-studied catalyst and also a system for hydrogen ad- and absorption [46–48]. Hydrogen solves on interstitial sites in the Pd lattice in the Pd-H α phase and the Pd-H hydride phase [43,49]. The solubility of H depends on the hydrogen chemical potential [50]. It is, for thin films, also affected by the film's microstructure [51–54] and the mechanical stress state [55]. For low chemical potentials, the hydrogen-related mechanical stress is small [56]. For high chemical potentials of H, the mechanical stress can be in the GPa-range [52]. The room-temperature kinetics of H in Pd is fast [57]. The H diffusion coefficient of 3.2×10^{-11} m²/s leads to diffusion over μ m distances within seconds. For a Pd

*mohsen.sotoudeh@uni-ulm.de

†peter.bloechl@tu-clausthal.de

‡astrid.pundt@kit.edu

thin film, this allows for fast equilibration and, further, to fast transport of atomic hydrogen to the film/TiO₂ interface.

At the Pd/TiO₂ interface, the energetic difference between the work function of Pd and the electron affinity of TiO₂ results in electron transfer. A space charge layer builds up and a Schottky barrier establishes at the contact [58,59] according to Schottky's classical picture. Hydrogen diffuses through the Pd to the interface where it spills over into the TiO₂ and affects the Schottky barrier thickness and/or height [21]. Irreversible changes in the conductivity of hydrogen loaded Pd/TiO₂ hint on the presence of stable hydrogen-oxygen vacancy complexes [22]. Hydrogen additionally lowers the critical field necessary for electroforming processes and can eventually lead to the formation of substoichiometric phases in the titanium dioxide [22]. Recent experiments reveal additional local (spatial) changes of the Schottky barrier height that could be attributed to the formation of substoichiometric Magnéli phases (Ti_nO_{2n-1}, $n = 4$ to 10) in the vicinity of the interface [22]. All suggested interpretations [22,60] of the observed Schottky barrier height changes hint on a dominant contribution of defects in the near-interface region in the TiO₂. The microscopic details of the interface changes are still under debate. However, a strong contribution of defects is beyond question. The dominant type of hydrogen-related defects in r-TiO₂ is still unknown, especially in the close vicinity to the Pd interface.

In this paper, we determine hydrogen-related changes in r-TiO₂ in the nanometer vicinity of the Pd/r-TiO₂ interface. Experimentally, we use electron energy-loss spectroscopy (EELS) in an environmental TEM (ETEM). First-principle calculations based on the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) of the DFT were carried out to assess the influences of different defects on the electronic density of states (DoS). Results originating from these calculations serve as input parameters for a thermodynamic multistate defect model (TMD model). This TMD model allows to implement the experimental conditions and to gain information about defect concentrations in the r-TiO₂ and at the interface to the metal.

II. METHODS

A. Pd/TiO₂ sample preparation and characterization

Pd(111) films were deposited on pretreated r-TiO₂(110) crystals (CrysTec GmbH, Berlin, epi-polished), by magnetron sputter deposition in a high vacuum system. The r-TiO₂ substrates were etched in 20% fluoric acid, rinsed in purified water and annealed for 1 h at 1173 K in an O₂ gas stream of approximately 10⁵ Pa. Such pretreatment results in the formation of clean and smooth well-defined surface terraces on r-TiO₂ as verified by x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and atomic force microscopy (AFM). Subsequently, the Pd films were deposited at 1024 K in an oxygen atmosphere with a pressure of 7×10^{-3} Pa and a sputter rate of approximately 11.8 nm/min. After film deposition, the samples were cooled down in the oxygen atmosphere to about 373 K. The chosen deposition conditions resulted in Pd grain sizes above 1 μ m. Further details are provided elsewhere [61].

Cross-sectional specimens required for high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) and EELS stud-

ies are prepared by mechanical polishing and subsequent low-angle (6°) Ar⁺ ion milling at 3 kV in PIPS 691 (Gatan). At the final stage of ion milling, the acceleration voltage was gradually decreased to 0.5 kV according to the procedure described by Süess *et al.* [62].

Variable energy positron annihilation spectroscopy (VEPAS) studies on Pd/r-TiO₂ reveal no detectable change of the Ti-vacancy concentration in r-TiO₂ in the here-addressed pressure range. VEPAS probes for vacancy concentrations between 10⁻⁷ to 10⁻⁴ per atom [63]. Further, the concentration of the related Ti interstitials is, here, regarded as low, with respect to the concentration of oxygen vacancies, under the chosen preparation conditions [31] and assumed to be constant in the experimentally studied pressure range. Therefore, we do not consider any Ti-related defects in our simulations. Details on the VEPAS measurements are presented in Appendix A.

B. Electron energy-loss spectroscopy (EELS) experimental details

The experiments on the Pd/TiO₂ interfaces were performed using an FEI Titan 80-300 ETEM operated at 300 keV. The ETEM is equipped with a Cs-image corrector, monochromator and GATAN image filter (GIF) Quantum 965. TEM experiments were performed in a high vacuum (10⁻⁵ Pa) and at H₂ gas pressures up to 10 Pa. The sample was equilibrated in H₂ for 1 hour at each pressure. During this time the electron beam in the ETEM was blanked. The hydrogen loaded Pd can be regarded as an atomic hydrogen source for this study. Spectrum images (SI) were collected at different places in the close nanometer-range vicinity of the Pd/TiO₂ interface, at selected H₂ gas pressure ranging from 10⁻¹ Pa to 10 Pa. To reveal changes in the Ti *L* electron energy-loss near-edge structure (ELNES) of about 100 meV an advanced procedure was used that is described in details in Appendix B.

C. Computational details

First-principles calculations based on density-functional theory (DFT) [64,65] were performed on pristine r-TiO₂ and r-TiO₂ containing selected defects. We used the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) functional PBE [66].

The calculations were carried out with the projector augmented wave (PAW) [67] method using the CP-PAW code [68]. The augmentation has been set up using the systematic projector construction [69]. For the augmentation, a $s^2p^2d^1$ (3s, 3p, 3d, 4s, and 4p orbitals) set of projector functions was used for the Ti atom, and a $s^2p^1d^1$ (2s, 2p, 3s, and 3d orbitals) set for the O atom. The superscripts denote the number of projector functions per angular momentum channel. For the Ti atom, the 3s and 3p core electrons were treated on the same level as the valence electrons.

A plane wave cutoff of 40 Ry has been chosen for the wave functions and 80 Ry for the charge density. The Brillouin-zone integration has been performed with the linear tetrahedron method [70,71] and the so-called Blöchl corrections [72]. A $5 \times 5 \times 8$ k-point mesh has been used for the unit cell containing two formula units. Defect calculations were simulated by $2 \times 2 \times 3$ supercells with 72 atoms plus the defect. All atomic

FIG. 1. Corresponding Ti- d orbitals representing the local symmetry at an O-site (defect center), in the (110) plane. The Ti- d states pointing towards the defect center are dominated by the approximate D_{3h} symmetry of the defect. They are classified as A'_1 and E' . E' appears in two degenerate modifications. For the other d orbitals on the Ti neighbors of the defect, we refer to the approximate octahedral symmetry (O_h).

positions are optimized without symmetry constraints. A theoretical lattice constant 4.562 Å of bulk r-TiO₂ was obtained, in good agreement with the experimental [73] value of 4.593 Å. The calculated c/a ratio matches the experimental [73] ratio of 0.644. This lattice constant was used for the supercells. Collinear spin polarization was allowed in all calculations.

Three different defect types in r-TiO₂ were selected: The oxygen vacancy V_O , the interstitial hydrogen H_i , and the oxygen vacancy filled with hydrogen H_O . The interstitial titanium Ti_i was not considered as the concentration was found experimentally not to depend on the hydrogen partial pressure (Appendix A).

Crystal field splitting divides the Ti- d orbitals, located at the center of a regular TiO₆ octahedron, into high-lying, doubly degenerate e_g and low-lying triply degenerate t_{2g} orbitals. Note that the crystal-field splitting originates from the covalent interaction with the oxygen neighbors. The stronger σ bond of the e_g states in comparison to the π bonds formed by the t_{2g} states, shifts the antibonding e_g states energetically above the t_{2g} states.

The molecular orbitals associated with defect electronic states are related to the surrounding Ti- d orbitals. Linear combinations of these orbitals result in bonding and antibonding states. The e_g orbitals on the Ti neighbors of the defect can be divided into two situations: The situation where the orbitals point towards the defect center. They can be superimposed into one A'_1 orbital and two E' orbitals, as shown in Fig. 1. In the second situation, the orbitals point orthogonal to this direction. It is denoted as δe_g . This situation appears not to be affected by the presence of defects, as will be shown later.

Furthermore, we considered the Ti- t_{2g} , which are classified according to the approximate octahedral symmetry of the Ti site.

In order to represent the Ti- d states pointing towards the defect center, the local z axis for the Ti- d orbitals has been defined by the Ti-O bond pointing away from the defect center. A second, approximately orthogonal Ti-O bond has been used to define the xz plane.

The formation energy of defect X_σ is given by the defect energy E_σ and the chemical potentials for electrons, oxygen and hydrogen atoms:

$$E_f[X_\sigma] = E_\sigma - \eta_{O,\sigma} \mu_O - \eta_{H,\sigma} \mu_H - \eta_{e,\sigma} \mu_e. \quad (1)$$

TABLE I. d orbitals and their symmetry label in the setting of the ideal octahedral (O_h) and the orthorhombic distorted octahedral (D_{2h}) symmetry group of r-TiO₂. The orbitals refer to the local coordinate system with the z axis along the orthorhombic distortion. The corresponding white lines (a , b , b' , c , and d) occurring in EEL spectra of the Ti $L_{3,2}$ edge are given and associated with the energy states.

d orbital	Symmetry label in O_h	Symmetry label in D_{2h}	L_3 white line	L_2 white line
d_{xz}	t_{2g}	b_{2g}	a	c
d_{yz}	t_{2g}	a_g	a	c
d_{xy}	t_{2g}	b_{3g}	a	c
$d_{3z^2-r^2}$	e_g	a_g	b	d
$d_{x^2-y^2}$	e_g	b_{1g}	b'	d

The defect energies E_σ and stoichiometric factors $\eta_{O,\sigma}$, $\eta_{O,\sigma}$, and $\eta_{H,\sigma}$ are given below in Table III. The defect energy E_σ is calculated as the energy difference $E[\text{TiO}_2 : X_\sigma] - E[\text{TiO}_2]$ of the supercell with and without the defect. The lattice constants of the supercell have been taken from the calculations of bulk r-TiO₂, provided in Table II.

In order to avoid large values without physical significance, we introduce reference values for each of the three chemical potentials. We choose the valence band top $\mu_e^{\text{ref}} = \epsilon_v$ for the electron chemical potential and one-half of the calculated molecular energies $\mu_O^{\text{ref}} = \frac{1}{2}E[\text{O}_2]$ and $\mu_H^{\text{ref}} = \frac{1}{2}E[\text{H}_2]$ for oxygen and hydrogen atoms, respectively.

In our supercell, the defects in r-TiO₂ produce a deviation of the valence-band maximum and conduction-band minimum ranging between +0.15 eV and -0.02 eV from the calculated bulk values. In our calculations, we measure the electron energies relative to the valence-band top of the perfect r-TiO₂ crystal.

The thermodynamic charge state level

$$\epsilon(q_1/q_2) = \frac{E[X^{q_2}] - E[X^{q_1}]}{q_2 - q_1} \quad (2)$$

defines the position of the electron chemical potential μ_e for which two charge states (q_1 and q_2) coexist in the same quantity. For lower μ_e the more positive charge state is thermodynamically stable and for higher μ_e the more negative charge state is thermodynamically stable. μ_e is commonly referred to as the Fermi level.

TABLE II. Lattice parameters a and c , internal parameter u [73] and band gaps of r-TiO₂ from the PBE method, and experiments [73,78,79].

Quantity	PBE	Experiment
a (Å)	4.562	4.593
c/a	0.644	0.644
u/a	0.302	0.305
Band gap (eV)	1.905	3.05
Heat of formation (eV)	-8.56	-9.74

FIG. 2. (a) HRTEM picture of an as prepared Pd/r-TiO₂ interface. A sharp interface between one to two monolayers thickness is revealed and no one or higher dimensional defects can be found in the interface region. (b) Typical Ti $L_{3,2}$ electron loss near edge structure (ELNES) (triangles) after a Hartree-Slater background (HSB) correction. Fitting with five Lorentz functions (green-dashed lines) yields an envelope function (red line), which describes the features in the Ti $L_{3,2}$ ELNES appropriately well. Indicated are the four white lines (a , b/b' , c , d) as well as the energy splitting $dE(b' - a)$. ΔE is the measured energy loss. This spectrum was detected in the as prepared state, for all distances.

III. RESULTS

A. EELS experiments

Figure 2 shows a HRTEM-image confirming a flat and sharp interface between the Pd film and the r-TiO₂ substrate. EEL spectra were collected in r-TiO₂ in the 0–20 nm vicinity to the interface at different H₂ gas pressure below 1 Pa. Details about the spectra evaluation are given in Appendix B, Fig. 10. For different H₂ pressures below 1 Pa, all EEL spectra show similar results, reflecting the bulk spectrum of r-TiO₂ shown in Fig. 2(b). Figure 2(b) focuses on the Ti L edge. Any valence change or local symmetry change due to the presence of defects leads to modifications of the sys-

tem's energy levels, which is especially measurable in EELS on the Ti L edge [18,74]. The Ti $L_{3,2}$ edge originates from excitation of Ti-2*p* state electrons into the unoccupied states of the conduction band. As the Ti-2*p* states are split due to spin-orbit coupling ($2p_{3/2}$ and $2p_{1/2}$ state) the two related L_3 and L_2 edges appear [74], as denoted in Fig. 2(b). They can be fitted with five Lorentz functions [green-dashed lines in Fig. 2(b)] corresponding to different orbitals (relations are given in Table I) yielding an envelope function [red line in Fig. 2(b)].

Remarkable differences of the Ti L edge spectrum are observed at a hydrogen gas pressure of 10 Pa, in the vicinity of the interface. Three representative spectra are shown in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), for different distances from the interface. The Ti L edge spectrum obtained at 1.5 nm distance from the interface shows distinct differences compared to the bulk spectrum, namely the shift by about 150 meV in the b , b' , and d lines region. These differences are shown in Fig. 4(b). At a distance of 4.5 nm no differences are observed with respect to the conventional bulk spectrum of r-TiO₂. Thus, for distances ≥ 4.5 nm the spectra resemble that of the bulk r-TiO₂. We interpret "extra" features in the spectra close to the interface as noise due to the decreasing intensity of the EELS signal. Fitting the ENLES with the five Lorentz functions is not influenced by this noise. Therefore analysis of the individual peak positions gives, *inter alia*, the energy splitting $dE(b' - a)$. It should be noted that the method was tested on interfaces before hydrogen loading. No changes in the Ti L ELNES could be found here, as function of the interface distance.

The energy splitting $dE(b' - a)$ is shown in Fig. 4 as a function of the distance from the interface z , for hydrogen partial pressures of 1 Pa and 10 Pa. At 1 Pa H₂, $dE(b' - a)$ is about 2.8 eV and does not depend on z , thereby resembling the bulk value [75]. At an H₂ partial pressure of 10 Pa, $dE(b' - a)$ decreases by about 150 meV when approaching the interface. This effect sets in below 2 nm from the interface.

The difference spectrum between the near interface (1.5 nm) spectrum and the bulk (4.5 nm) spectrum allows visualizing changes in the DoS and the related orbitals. These difference spectra are shown in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) below the corresponding EEL spectra. In the difference spectrum of the L_3 edge shown in Fig. 3(a), states disappear at about 458 eV and 461 eV (gray-shaded area with $I/I_0 < 0$), while new states appear between 458.35 eV and 460.55 eV (gray-shaded area with $I/I_0 > 0$). This indicates that some energy states are shifted by about 1 eV to 2 eV with respect to the EELS in the bulk r-TiO₂. Below about 457.5 eV the difference signal reflects the data scatter. The difference spectrum of the L_2 edge shown in the lower part of Fig. 3(b) shows similar results: States disappear at about 463.4 eV and 466.4 eV, while new states appear between 463.8 eV and 465.55 eV. The effect in the difference spectra is stronger for the L_3 edge than for the L_2 edge. We relate this to the stronger lifetime related broadening in the L_2 edge [75,76].

Interestingly, the observed small shift of the b' peak by about 150 meV [Fig. 4(b)] is the result of a chemically induced shift of states in the order of 1 eV. If this chemically induced shift occurs only on a fraction of Ti sites in the r-TiO₂ lattice, namely at Ti sites that are affected by a defect, the total EEL spectra can show comparably small shifts. Our

FIG. 3. Ti L ELNES shown for different distances to the interface, at a hydrogen partial pressures of 10 Pa: (a) the Ti L_3 edge and (b) the Ti L_2 edge spectrum in the 2 nm vicinity of the interface. All spectra are normalized to the area of the respective edge I_0 . The normed intensity difference $\Delta I/I_0$ between the 1.5 nm spectrum and the 4.5 nm spectrum is plotted in the lower part of each graph. The difference spectra visualize a shift of states (gray-shaded area) in the corresponding Ti L edge.

FIG. 4. Analysis of Ti L ELNES on Pd/TiO₂, as function of the interface distance z , for the two selected hydrogen partial pressures (a) 1 Pa and (b) 10 Pa. Energy splitting $dE(b' - a)$ between the b' and a white lines are shown. (a) an constant energy difference of about 2.8 eV is measured. (b) at 10 Pa H_2 the energy splitting decreases near the interface, starting at about 2 nm distance. The black-dashed line is plotted to guide the eye.

hypothesis here is that defects are located in r-TiO₂ in the close vicinity of the interface of the Pd metal. These defects are induced by the presence of hydrogen. The shift of the b' peak position, consequently, contains information about the local defect concentration. The corresponding defect concentrations are addressed in Sec. III C.

B. Simulations

In order to shed light on the experimental findings we performed calculations on hydrogen and oxygen-vacancy related defects. The nature of the defects is investigated using the projected density of states, wave function plots and formation energies.

FIG. 5. Density of states (DoS) for r-TiO₂. The total DoS is given in black and gray, in the top and bottom graph respectively. The projected DoS are shown in red for oxygen, in green for t_{2g} -like and yellow for e_g -like Ti- d orbitals. Unoccupied states are drawn in a lighter color than the filled states. The energy zero is set to the top of the valence band. The bottom graph treats the perfect oxygen site as a defect with symmetry adapted orbitals. Hence, the A'_1 (orange), E' (magenta), $\delta-e_g$ (yellow), and $\delta-t_{2g}$ (green) orbitals are shown as the sum of the corresponding three Ti neighbors of the oxygen site. These symmetry adapted orbitals are defined in Sec. II C.

The calculated DoS of r-TiO₂ is shown in Fig. 5. The filled valence band, which extends from -6 to 0 eV, is predominantly of O- p character (red) with some contribution of Ti- d orbitals (green and yellow). They represent the Ti-O bonding states. The Ti- d states are located 2 – 8 eV above the valence band. From electron counting, there is no electron in Ti- d orbitals. The approximately octahedral crystal-field splitting divides the Ti- d states into nonbonding t_{2g} states (light green), between 2 – 5 eV and antibonding e_g states (light yellow), between 5 – 8 eV (see Table I for the common labeling using the O_h symmetry).

The bottom graph in Fig. 5 shows the sum of the three Ti orbitals, which are adjacent to an oxygen site in the perfect crystal alongside with the total density of states per Ti atom. The Ti orbitals are treated in the local D_{3h} symmetry of a defect site as introduced in Sec. II C and Fig. 1. The A'_1 (orange) orbitals are located in the bottom part of the e_g -like states, while the E' (magenta) orbitals contribute to the upper part of the e_g -like states.

The calculated structural parameters and heats of formation are given in Table II. They are in good agreement with experimental results. The band gap is with 1.905 eV for PBE smaller than the experimental value of 3.05 eV [77]. Conventional functionals such as PBE underestimate the band gap by approximately 1.15 eV [78]. As already noted, this finding is well known for PBE functionals. In the following, the various defects are discussed individually.

1. Interstitial hydrogen

The PBE calculations on different charge states on the interstitial H show that it is only stable in the positive charge

state H_i^+ . Thus, the neutral and negative charge states of the interstitial proton do not exist. The charge state levels for the transition of the neutral and negative charge states lie in the conduction band. This may be due to the band-gap underestimation of PBE.

The interstitial hydrogen H_i^+ forms an OH bond [as indicated by the arrow in Fig. 6(a)] perpendicular to the Ti-O plane. The OH bond length is 1.003 Å, about 0.1% smaller than an OH bond in water at 60 K [80]. The three Ti-O bonds close to this O-H bond expand by about 7.2% , resulting in a trigonal pyramidal distortion of the oxygen coordination shown in Fig. 6(a). The OH group forms a hydrogen bond (marked by dash line) with one of the oxygen ions on the opposite side of the cage. The hydrogen bond of the hydrogen interstitial is 1.773 Å and, therefore, 1.3% larger than that in water with 1.75 Å. The off-axis orientation of the hydrogen bond tilts the OH bond by 9° away from the plane normal of the O-Ti bonds. The distance of the defect center C_D to the three neighboring Ti atoms reveals outward breathing by about 5.8% .

The density of states for the interstitial hydrogen H_i^+ is shown in Fig. 6(b) using the same color code as described in Fig. 5. The total density of states of the supercell per Ti atom (grey) is shown alongside with defect symmetry adapted orbitals A'_1 , E' , $\delta-e_g$, and $\delta-t_{2g}$.

The OH σ -bond state (blue) splits off below the oxygen $2p$ valence band at about -6.5 eV. The orbital of the σ bond is represented in an isosurface plot as depicted in Fig. 6(b). The state extends along with the hydrogen bond to the opposite O ion. There is no gap state for the interstitial hydrogen. Of particular interest concerning the measured EEL spectra are the defect induced changes of the Ti- d states forming the conduction band. In the low energy part of the e_g states at about 5 eV, we observe an accumulation of spectral weight. The orbital representation of this state is given as isosurface plot. We attribute the accumulation of e_g states at the bottom of the e_g band to the electrostatic attraction of the electrons in the Ti levels and the nearby positive defect.

2. Oxygen vacancy

In the $\{110\}$ plane of r-TiO₂, each O atom has an approximately trigonal coordination of three Ti atoms [Fig. 6(c), highlighted with 1, 2, and 3]. Thus, the formation of an oxygen vacancy leaves three Ti ions undercoordinated. The PBE calculations on different charge states show that O vacancy is only thermodynamically stable in the doubly positive charge state V_O^{2+} . Electrons added to this state are transferred to the conduction band.

For the oxygen vacancy V_O^{2+} , the outward breathing is 12.5% . The breathing seems to follow a simple electrostatic argument: The positive Ti ions repel each other.

The DoS for the supercell with the oxygen vacancy V_O^{2+} is shown in Fig. 6(d). The DoS graph shows that the doubly positive charge state does not introduce states into the band gap. The Ti- d states with A'_1 character (orange) are shifted with respect to the undoped material, from the e_g -like continuum downwards to the continuum of the t_{2g} -like states and upwards to the top of the e_g -like continuum. The corresponding two states with E' character (magenta) are nearly degenerate and

FIG. 6. Structural and electronic properties of the three stable H-related defects in r-TiO₂. (a) Illustration of the H position for the positively charged state of the interstitial hydrogen (H_i⁺). Hydrogen bond is represented by dotted line. (b) Density of states of interstitial hydrogen atom H_i in the positive charge state. The projected density of states is colored as follows: hydrogen (blue), A₁' (orange), E' (magenta), δ-e_g (yellow), and δ-t_{2g} (green) orbitals on the three Ti neighbors of the defect. To provide context, the total density of states of r-TiO₂ (grey) is shown as well. The projected density of states is related to the colored area, rather than the ordinate value. Relevant wave functions are shown as isosurface with colors (yellow and green) distinguishing the sign. (c) Structure of the oxygen-vacancy (V_O) and (d) density of states of the oxygen vacancy V_O in the double positive charge state. (e) Structure of the hydrogen-complex H_O with an oxygen vacancy, in r-TiO₂ and (f) density of states for the hydrogenated oxygen vacancy H_O in the positive charge state.

form two sharp peaks in the quasi gap between the Ti *t*_{2g}- and *e*_g-like states at 5 eV.

In comparison to the undoped r-TiO₂, shown in Fig. 5, a contribution of the A₁' and the E' states, which are derived from *e*_g-like states, are now located much lower in energy, namely in the *t*_{2g}-like continuum. This results from the Ti-*d* orbitals pointing into the vacancy, which have lost an antibond with the former oxygen neighbor. This antibond was responsible for the crystal-field splitting that shifted these orbitals up, above the *t*_{2g}-like orbitals in r-TiO₂ (Fig. 5). In the absence of the oxygen ion, the A₁' and E' states are shifted down in energy, so that their energy average lies in the range of the *t*_{2g}-like bands. The orbital presentations of the two situations of the E' states are shown in isosurface plots on top of the DoS in Fig. 6(d).

The E' states, in the quasi gap, shift spectral weight of the *e*_g states in the low energy.

3. Hydrogenated oxygenvacancy

After describing the isolated defects, we investigate here the pair of these two defects, namely the hydrogenated oxygen vacancy H_O. As shown in Fig. 6(e), the hydrogen atom of the H_O is located almost at the defect center, respectively the position of the missing oxygen ion.

The PBE calculations on different charge states on the hydrogenated oxygen vacancy show that it is thermodynamically stable only in the positive charge state H_O⁺.

The corresponding DoS for the hydrogenated oxygen vacancy is shown in Fig. 6(f). The DoS graph resembles that of Fig. 6(d) for the V_O²⁺. In comparison to the oxygen vacancy, the dominant effect is to shift the defect level of A₁' character (orange) of the oxygen vacancy downward into the continuum of the valence band. The hydrogenated oxygen vacancy H_O⁺ also does not have states in the band gap.

The Ti-*d* states with E' symmetry (magenta) exhibit sharp peaks and remain in the quasi gap between the *t*_{2g}- and the *e*_g-like states, but centered in it. With respect to the oxygen vacancy, they are slightly shifted to lower energies. Hence, regarding these states, the hydrogenated oxygen vacancy is very similar to the bare oxygen vacancy. We interpret this again with the loss of the oxygen antibond. With respect to the EELS measurements, these quasi gap states can shift spectra weight. The orbital representation of the E' states are shown in the iso-surface plots on top the DoS in Fig. 6(d).

Based on the simulation results, we note that all of the defects deliver a qualitative explanation for a shift of states in the unoccupied part of the DoS, which can explain the EEL measurements.

TABLE III. Defect states X_σ of an oxygen site, their energies E_σ and excess particle numbers (stoichiometric factors) $\eta_{e,\sigma}$ for electrons, $\eta_{H,\sigma}$ for hydrogen atoms, and $\eta_{O,\sigma}$ oxygen atoms. The excess particle numbers are counted relative to an ideal bulk site.

σ	X_σ	$E_\sigma - \sum_{k \in \{e, O, H\}} \eta_{k,\sigma} \mu_k^{\text{ref}}$	$\eta_{e,\sigma}$	$\eta_{H,\sigma}$	$\eta_{O,\sigma}$
1	Bulk	0.000 eV	0	0	0
2	H_i^+	-1.59018 eV	-1	+1	0
3	V_O^{2+}	0.78779 eV	-2	0	-1
4	H_O^+	1.90610 eV	-1	+1	-1

C. Defect electrochemistry of the interface and the bulk

To get a deeper understanding about which of the defects are responsible for the EELS changes, we combine the DFT results with statistical mechanics to simulate the Pd/r-TiO₂ interface.

The total energies from the DFT calculations allow one to estimate the defect concentrations from a thermodynamic multistate defect (TMD) model. Furthermore, it allows one to link the concentration to the spatial position in the sample. The TMD model is described in Appendix C. It is based on a multistate description for the oxygen-lattice sites. Each state X_σ corresponds to a specific defect type and charge state. The defects states used in the present study are specified in Table III, along with their energy E_σ and excess number of electrons, hydrogen and oxygen atoms. The TMD model accounts for the energy and the configurational entropy of the defect distributions. In addition, electrons and holes are considered within the effective-mass approximation [see Eq. (C4)]. The electrostatic interaction between charge carriers and defects is described as mean field obtained from the Poisson equation [see Eq. (C9)].

The purpose of these model calculations is to elucidate three questions, which emerge from the experimental results presented in Sec. III A:

- Does the potential step between the interface and the bulk affect the defect concentrations sufficiently to explain the observed spatial profile of the EELS?
- Is there a relevant increase in the defect concentration by pressure increase from 1 to 10 Pa?
- Does the interface concentration of defects increase up to a measurable concentration of the order of one per oxygen site in the pressure range of 10 Pa?

In the following, we describe the processes, which emerge from our model. The treatment considers the experimental conditions during sample preparation as well as before and after hydrogen exposure.

1. Bulk oxide before hydrogen exposure

Figure 7 shows the concentrations of defects and electrons in bulk r-TiO₂ as a function of the oxygen chemical potential μ_O at room temperature and ambient pressure. Before the Pd film is deposited, the oxygen chemical potential μ_O is controlled by the oxygen partial pressure and the temperature of the ambient.

The natural atmospheric hydrogen content of 0.5 ppm is taken into account [81]. Despite the small hydrogen content

FIG. 7. Calculated Fermi level μ_e relative to the valence band top ϵ_v (top) as well as defect and charge carrier concentrations (bottom) in bulk r-TiO₂ as function of the oxygen chemical potential μ_O . Data are shown for room temperature. The atmospheric hydrogen partial pressure is taken into account. The concentrations in terms of probability P per O site of interstitial hydrogen H_i^+ are shown in red, those of oxygen vacancies V_O^{2+} are shown in blue, and those of hydrogenated oxygen vacancies H_O^+ are shown in green. The concentration of electrons in the conduction band is represented by the open diamonds. The shaded region of the top graph indicates the difference between the experimental and the calculated band gaps. The latter are obtained from the Kohn-Sham levels.

of the atmosphere, its partial pressure is sufficiently high to dominate the defect chemistry of r-TiO₂ at room temperature. Hydrogen related defects dominate for both, oxygen-poor and oxygen-rich conditions.

Figure 7 also summarizes the Fermi level position (top) and charge carrier concentrations (bottom) in bulk r-TiO₂ as a function of the oxygen chemical potential μ_O . The bulk Fermi level is determined by the charge neutrality condition. The Fermi level is measured relative to the valence-band top ϵ_v and the oxygen chemical potential is measured relative to reference $\mu_O^{\text{ref}} := \frac{1}{2}E[\text{O}_2]$.

Under oxygen-rich conditions, that is above $\mu_O = \mu_O^{\text{ref}} - 3.5$ eV, the dominant defect is the hydrogen interstitial H_i^+ as shown in Fig. 7. Our model estimates an H_i^+ concentration (red in Fig. 7) of about 10^{-6} per oxygen site or 10^{17} cm^{-3} . The positive charge of the defects is compensated by the conduction electrons. For this oxygen-rich region, the Fermi level lies 0.18 eV below the conduction-band edge.

Under oxygen-poor conditions, that is for effective chemical potentials below $\mu_O = \mu_O^{\text{ref}} - 3.5$ eV, the positive hydrogenated oxygen vacancy H_O^+ (green in Fig. 7) is the dominant defect. The concentration of hydrogenated oxygen vacancies rises strongly with decreasing oxygen chemical potential. To maintain charge neutrality, also the conduction-electron concentration increases alongside with the defect concentration as marked with open black diamonds. The increasing conduction electron density is reflected by the increasing Fermi level as shown in the top of Fig. 7.

TABLE IV. Partial pressures and chemical potentials for (1) ambient conditions, (2) high-temperature anneal under oxygen atmosphere, (3) Pd deposition in vacuum, (4) ambient conditions after Pd deposition with frozen vacancy concentration V_O and H_O , (5) hydrogen exposure 1 Pa, and (6) hydrogen exposure 10 Pa. The chemical potentials are specified as relative values $\Delta\mu_O = \mu_O - \mu_O^{\text{ref}}$ and $\Delta\mu_H = \mu_H - \mu_H^{\text{ref}}$. Numbers 4, 5, and 6 show the equivalent partial pressures, after the Pd film prohibits oxygen exchange with the atmosphere.

Nr.	T (K)	p_{O_2} (Pa)	p_{H_2} (Pa)	$\Delta\mu_O$ (eV)	$\Delta\mu_H$ (eV)
1	293	10^+5	5×10^{-2}	-0.218	-0.210
2	1173	10^+5	0	-1.271	$-\infty$
3	1023	7×10^{-3}	0	-1.806	$-\infty$
4	293	0.3×10^{-107}	5×10^{-2}	-3.49	-0.210
5	293	2×10^{-107}	1	-3.47	-0.172
6	293	6×10^{-107}	10	-3.45	-0.143

Below $\mu_O - \mu_O^{\text{ref}} = -4.2$ eV, our model predicts defect concentrations of the order of one per oxygen site (green curve in Fig. 7), which marks the limit of its applicability. Such large defect concentrations indicate that the material may be close to a phase transition.

The effective oxygen chemical potential in the material is strongly preparation dependent because the oxygen exchange with the atmosphere is effectively suppressed at room temperature. The exchange requires firstly sufficiently large defect mobility, and secondly an effective surface reaction such as the oxidation of oxygen vacancies at the surface. Both are not present at room temperature [82–84]. Therefore, the material memorizes the defect concentration of the last high-temperature preparation step, which allowed the oxide to exchange oxygen effectively with the surrounding atmosphere.

In the following, we estimate the defect concentrations originating from the experimental treatments. In our experiment, the r-TiO₂ crystals were first annealed at 1173 K at approximately 10^5 Pa O₂. Subsequently, they have been cooled in the same oxygen atmosphere. Then, they were kept under ambient conditions for several hours or days before the Pd films were deposited at 1023 K and at O₂ partial pressure of 7×10^{-3} Pa. The samples remained in this environment for half an hour before they were cooled down in the same atmosphere. The material is then stored under ambient conditions. After preparation, the TEM lamella was transferred to the ETEM, where it has been kept for about 12 h in vacuum conditions of 10^{-4} Pa. The sample was then exposed to a fixed hydrogen partial pressure of 1 or 10 Pa of H₂. After one hour of hydrogen exposure, the EELS measurement was carried out.

In Table IV, the experimental conditions are translated into oxygen chemical potentials as described in Appendix D. We assume that thermodynamic equilibrium can be reached under the chosen annealing conditions, while the Pd film prevents oxygen exchange with the atmosphere.

As a reference, we list the partial pressures and chemical potentials under ambient conditions. The hydrogen chemical potential was determined by using the natural content of 0.5 ppm of hydrogen in the air.

The oxygen content of the oxide, i.e., its oxygen vacancy concentration, is determined in the high-temperature processing steps. Our thermodynamic model predicts via Eq. (C8) a concentration of 2×10^{-7} oxygen vacancies per site for the 1173 K annealing at 10^5 Pa and of 7×10^{-7} vacancies per site for the Pd deposition at 1023 K in a vacuum.

We assume that the oxygen-vacancy concentration is effectively maintained after the Pd deposition at high temperatures, because the Pd film prevents diffusion of oxygen from the atmosphere into the sample. Therefore, we assume a remaining oxygen vacancy concentration, hydrogenated or not, of 7×10^{-7} per oxygen site after sample preparation.

After deposition of the Pd film, the total concentration of oxygen vacancies, hydrogenated and not, is frozen in. Therefore, while the material cools down to room temperature, the oxygen chemical potential moves downward. At room temperature, the concentration of 7×10^{-7} oxygen vacancies, present during Pd deposition, translates into an oxygen chemical potential of $\mu_O = \mu_O^{\text{ref}} - 3.5$ eV. For a hypothetical environment that can exchange oxygen with the probe, the oxygen chemical potential, respectively the oxygen vacancy concentration, translates into an ultra-low equivalent partial pressure of 10^{-107} Pa.

As seen in Fig. 7, after the sample preparation the material is thus at the boundary $\mu_O = \mu_O^{\text{ref}} - 3.5$ eV between electron-rich conditions, dominated by interstitial hydrogen, and electron-poor conditions, dominated by hydrogenated oxygen vacancies.

The Pd film is further important as a catalyst for hydrogen dissociation [43]. As the thin TEM lamella is exposed to air, hydrogen can diffuse into it and saturate the existing oxygen vacancies, which results in the defect concentrations presented in Fig. 7.

2. Defect profile at the metal-oxide interface

Above we considered the defect concentrations in the bulk, where they are governed by local charge neutrality. In the following, we discuss the interface and the influence on hydrogen loading.

While the analysis predicts an equal concentration of hydrogenated oxygen vacancies H_O^+ and interstitial hydrogen H_i^+ , interstitial hydrogen is the dominant defect under hydrogen exposure. This allows us to simplify the following discussion by limiting it to a single defect, namely H_i^+ , albeit the calculations have been performed with the complete model.

With the above-mentioned limitation, the H_i^+ defect concentration per oxygen site, $P_{H_i^+}$, can be expressed in terms of the hydrogen chemical potential μ_H and the Fermi level μ_e [Eq. (C8)],

$$P_{H_i^+} = [1 + e^{\beta(E[H_i^+] - E[B] + (\mu_e - v_e(\vec{r})) - \mu_H)}]^{-1}, \quad (3)$$

where $v_e(\vec{r}) = -e\Phi(\vec{r})$ is the potential acting on the electrons due to the electric potential $\Phi(\vec{r})$. Per convention, $v_e(\vec{r})$ is equal to the energy of the local valence-band top.

The energy $\mu_e - v_e(\vec{r})$ is required to lift the electron to the Fermi level, when the charged defect H_i^+ is formed from the neutral $H_i^{(0)}$. This energy differs between the bulk and the interface and, therefore, also the concentrations are different.

Increasing the hydrogen partial pressure of the environment enhances the bulk concentration of H_i^+ . The charge neutrality condition pushes the Fermi level upward, which in turn increases the number of electrons in the conduction band. The high position of the Fermi level counteracts the formation of additional charged defects until charge neutrality is reached.

At the interface, this mechanism works entirely different. Rather than lifting the electron towards a Fermi level near the conduction-band edge, it can be placed into the metal. At the interface, the Fermi level is pinned by the Schottky barrier at a specific energy Φ_{Bn} below to the local conduction band of the oxide. This energy $\mu_e - v_e(\vec{r}) = \epsilon_c - \Phi_{Bn}$ lies further below the local conduction-band edge $\epsilon_c + v_e(\vec{r})$ than in the bulk, which stabilises positively charged defects near the interface. For sufficiently low defect concentrations, the enhancement of defects at the interface can be related to the band bending $\Delta v_e = v_e(z=0) - v_e(z=\infty)$, where z is the distance from the interface, as $e^{\beta \Delta v_e}$. Approximating the band bending by the Schottky barrier yields an interface enhancement of the defect concentration by a factor 10^{13} relative to that of the bulk oxide.

3. Hydrogen loading

Hydrogen related changes of the EEL spectrum are observed in the experiment for a concentration of hydrogen related defects per oxygen site in the order of 10%, as estimated from the shift of 0.15 eV in the measured EELS [Fig. 4(b)] and the defect induced shift of calculated energy levels in the range 1–2 eV (Fig. 6).

The crossover to a hydrogen concentration of order 1 is estimated from Eq. (3) as the hydrogen chemical potential $\mu_H = E[H_i^+] - E[B] + \mu_e - v_e(\vec{r})$. At the interface this value is reached for $\mu_H^* = \mu_H^{\text{ref}} - 0.47$ eV, whereas in the bulk it is reached substantially later, namely at $\mu_H^* = \mu_H^{\text{ref}} + 0.31$ eV. This reflects the large difference of hydrogen related defects at the interface and in the bulk. Experimentally, this crossover takes place above a hydrogen chemical potential of $\mu_H = \mu_H^{\text{ref}} - 0.143$ eV, corresponding to a hydrogen partial pressure of 10 Pa.

There is a discrepancy between the experimentally observed crossover at $\mu_H^* > \mu_H^{\text{ref}} - 0.143$ eV (see Table IV) and the prediction from the TMD model of $\mu_H^* = \mu_H^{\text{ref}} - 0.47$ eV. We attribute this discrepancy of 0.33 eV to the uncertainties of the parameters used in the TMD model. The most likely source of error is the underestimation of the band gap by 1.1 eV in DFT. This underestimates the energy E_σ of positive defects. Furthermore, the band-gap underestimation hides defect-induced charge state levels below the conduction-band edge. These charge state levels may pin the Fermi level and thus limit the concentration of positively charged defects. This in turn, would reduce the band bending and consequently the interface enhancement of positively charged defects. A second possible source of errors is the value of the Schottky barrier, which has not been directly measured but deduced from the Schottky model. In view of these uncertainties, we attribute the deviation of μ_H^* between theory and experiment to the limitations of the theory.

Based on our findings, the inhomogeneous defect distribution in z is the effect of positively charged mobile hydrogen

FIG. 8. Schematic diagram of the electronic structure of the Pd(111)/TiO₂(110) close to the interface in hydrogen environment. Due to the presence of the Schottky barrier Φ_{Bn} , hydrogen-related defects enrich at the interface and its vicinity. Mainly the concentration of interstitial hydrogen H_i is influenced by the applied hydrogen partial pressure within the ETEM.

species. From these findings, we derived a schematic band picture as shown in Fig. 8. The corresponding hydrogen-related defects are present in the 2 nm vicinity of the Pd/TiO₂ interface.

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In a combined study using ELNES, ETEM, and DFT we investigated the Pd/r-TiO₂ interface under various hydrogen partial pressures. The energy splitting between b' and a bands in the Ti L_3 ELNES was found to be decreased for hydrogen partial pressures exceeding 10 Pa. This effect is limited to 2 nm from the interface.

The calculated DoS of defects (H_i^+ , V_O^{2+} , and H_O^+) qualitatively explain the origin of these hydrogen-induced changes of the ELNES. The shift or the antibonding Ti- d orbitals is attributed to the Coulomb potential from the positive defect located at an adjacent oxygen site.

The defect distribution has been studied with a TMD model using energies from DFT calculations. The TMD model predicts the spatial distribution of defects in thermal equilibrium and the resulting electrostatic potential near a metal-semiconductor interface. Thus, it generalizes the classical metal-semiconductor theory, which studies stationary dopants [58].

The TMD model predicts that, despite the small hydrogen concentration below the ppm range in the atmosphere,

hydrogen-related defects (H_i^+ and H_O^+) are the dominant defects in bulk TiO_2 . We expect this to be valid also for a broader class of materials. The defect H_O^+ dominates in oxygen-poor conditions, while the interstitial hydrogen H_i^+ is the dominant defect under oxygen rich conditions.

An analysis of the processing steps with the TMD model estimates that our samples are at the transition from the oxygen poor regime, where the hydrogenated oxygen vacancy (substitutional hydrogen) H_O^+ dominates, and the oxygen-rich regime, where interstitial hydrogen H_i^+ is the dominant defect. This implies that interstitial hydrogen H_i^+ becomes the dominant defect, when the hydrogen partial pressure is increased.

According to the TMD model, the concentration of positively charged defects is enhanced at the interface compared to the bulk oxide. This increases, in particular, the interface concentration of the dominant hydrogen related defect, namely H_i^+ .

Increasing the hydrogen partial pressure to 10 Pa, as in our EELS experiments within the ETEM, increases the interstitial hydrogen defect concentration further. Changes in the EELS are expected to become visible at an interfacial defect concentration in the range of 10%. Such a defect concentration is compatible with our calculations, even though the required hydrogen chemical potential is predicted 0.33 eV lower than observed in experiment.

The defect density in r- TiO_2 is a decaying distribution of positively charged mobile hydrogen species starting from interface to the bulk. The presence of the defect states can explain an increased conductivity of the metal/ TiO_2 contacts in hydrogen gas [20–22]. Strungaru *et al.* [22] explain gas sensing measurements on platinum/ TiO_2 contacts with the presence of interstitial hydrogen and hydrogen-oxygen vacancy complexes. Based on the presented results we suggest that interstitial hydrogen is responsible for the changed electrical properties of the metal/semiconductor contact.

The present paper offers an example of hydrogen interacting with the Pd/r- TiO_2 model system. Hydrogen induced changes in the close vicinity of the Pd/r- TiO_2 interface, give important insights for understanding gas sensing and catalytic processes of buried interfaces. The described change of the mobile defect concentration at metal/oxide interfaces also concerns the perimeter of catalytically active metal particles supported by an oxide or vice versa. With this paper, we open a door to a view on the physics at interfaces, that include the behavior of mobile defect species.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank fruitful discussions with Thorsten Stolper and Felix Jung. We acknowledge the help of Niklas Herwig for AFM and XPS, as well as Julius Scholz for XPS measurements. The use of equipment in the Collaborative Laboratory and User Facility for Electron Microscopy (CLUE) [85] is gratefully acknowledged. Financial supports from the CRC 1073 (Projects B03, C03, C04, C06, and Z02), PU131/9-2, and Project ID 390874152 (POLiS Cluster of Excellence) of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) are gratefully acknowledged. J.C. acknowledges financial support from the Czech Science Foundation (Project 21-16218S).

FIG. 9. VEPAS on the Pd/r- TiO_2 system shows the S parameter as a function of the positions incident energy E . No changes of the S parameter are found at the interface or in the r- TiO_2 bulk.

APPENDIX A: VARIABLE ENERGY POSITRON ANNIHILATION SPECTROSCOPY (VEPAS)

Variable energy positron annihilation spectroscopy (VEPAS) [86] was employed to complementary characterize the defect depth profile in hydrogen gas exposed Pd/r- TiO_2 samples. The results are shown in Fig. 9. The VEPAS studies were carried out on a magnetically guided slow positron beam [87]. The energy of incident positrons was varied in the range from 80 eV up to 35 keV. The doppler broadening of the annihilation photopeak was measured by a HPGe detector with the energy resolution of 1.09 keV at 511 keV. The shape of the Doppler broadened annihilation photopeak was characterized using the S (sharpness) parameter [63]. At very low incident energies ($E < 1$ keV) positrons annihilate almost exclusively on the sample surface. With increasing energy, positrons penetrate deeper and deeper into the Pd over-layer and the fraction of positrons diffusing back to the surface decreases. A further increase of the incident energy ($E > 13$ keV) allows positrons to penetrate into the r- TiO_2 region. Finally, at high incident energies ($E > 30$ keV) virtually all positrons are annihilated in r- TiO_2 and S reaches a bulk value. No changes of the bulk S value caused by hydrogen loading (up to H_2 pressure of 10 mbar) were observed at high energies. Similarly, no changes of S were found at the Pd/ TiO_2 interface at a positron energy of $E \approx 13$ keV corresponding to the mean penetration depth of 200 nm. This testifies that hydrogen loading did not introduce any defects capable of positron trapping neither into the r- TiO_2 bulk nor into the Pd/r- TiO_2 interface. Note that only electrically neutral or negatively charged defects are capable of positron trapping while positively charged defects repel positrons and cannot be observed by VEPAS. In addition, enough open volume is needed for positron trapping. Oxygen vacancies are too small to trap positrons, whereas Ti vacancies yield enough open volume. This was investigated by positron density simulations in r- TiO_2 [61]. Hence, from the VEPAS results one can conclude that hydrogen loading did not introduce Ti vacancies but no conclusion about

FIG. 10. Scheme of the rectangular SI pattern in the Pd/TiO₂ interface region. The dots represent the positions where EEL spectra are recorded (beam diameter ≈ 5 Å). Their number depends on the chosen size of the SI pattern and the used step size. z defines the distance to the interface. y varies between 20 nm and 40 nm. The red circle indicates the start position of the measurement. It first moves in negative z direction and then continues in the same way in the next row to the left. The green box indicates the spectra that are binned afterwards to improve the signal-to-noise ratio.

oxygen vacancies can be made. However, changes of the S parameter are found in the Pd over-layer ($E < 13$ keV). This effect is discussed by Roddatis *et al.* [16].

APPENDIX B: ADVANCED EELS PROCEDURE

For the EELS studies at the interface, a procedure was necessary that combines high energy resolution, low energy drift and a good signal to noise ratio. In contrast to other suggested methods [88,89], the procedure presented here offers error bars on electron energy-loss (EEL) spectra. Rectangular spectrum imaging (SI) pattern are chosen to measure a whole set of EEL spectra on the flat and sharp interfaces. This pattern is indicated schematically in Fig. 10. The measurement protocol describes as follows: A SI pattern is placed on the region of interest, which is, here, at the Pd/TiO₂ interface, see Fig. 10. Thus, the corresponding EEL spectra are collected at defined positions, which are marked with red dots in Fig. 10. The electron beam starts scanning at the red marked position and continues in negative z direction. The z coordinate is defined as the distance to the Pd/TiO₂ interface. This interface ($z = 0$) is here chosen as that location where the EEL spectrum does not contain any significant Ti L edge signal. Afterwards, the measurement continues in the same way in the next row to the left. Vertical alignment of highest interface sharpness was provided by studying a tilting series. The lateral interface homogeneity was verified by repeated measurements at different interface positions. Assuming lateral interface homogeneity, the important changes are here expected just to depend on z . This allows binning the acquired EEL spectra (as indicated with the green box) to enhance the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N).

Details on the measurements and data evaluation is described in the following. A more detailed description is given by Bongers [61].

(1) The Ti L edge is studied by EELS in monochromated scanning TEM (STEM) mode (energy resolution 200 meV, probe size ≈ 5 Å) as a function of the distance to the interface z and of the H₂ pressure. The final energy resolution of the EEL

spectra in the r-TiO₂ was better than 500 meV. Beam currents and integration times are about 130 pA and about 50 ms per EEL spectrum. The choice of a relatively low integration time (seconds can easily be achieved) for the core loss region results in low energy drift of the total spectrum and allows for a good energy alignment with Dual EELS. The low-loss region is used for this alignment. The chosen dispersions for Dual EELS are 0.05 eV/ch and 0.1 eV/ch. A rectangular SI pattern (compare Fig. 10), aligned perpendicular to the interface, is used to record the EEL spectra with step sizes of 0.5 nm and 1 nm. The total collection time for one SI pattern is about 60 s to minimize spatial sample drift effects. A spatial sample drift correction is, furthermore, performed after every fourth row.

(2) To get an appropriate S/N ratio, the data are preferentially binned parallel to the interface. This is indicated with the green box in Fig. 10. The binning is done by a script introduced in the Gatan Microscopy Suite DigitalMicrograph (v2.32.888.0, 32-bit). The binned EEL spectra are processed further in Digital Micrograph according to the following steps: Zero-loss centering using the reflected tail method, pre-edge background subtraction via an inverse power-law function with a fitting window from 407 eV to 452 eV and subsequent deconvolution of plasmon contribution by the Fourier-ratio method [90].

(3) A self developed MATLAB script is used for the further data evaluation. A Hartree-Slater cross section (exported from DigitalMicrograph for the Ti L edge) is subtracted from the Ti $L_{3,2}$ edge to compensate for the L_{3-2} overlap. Figure 2(b) shows the resulting Ti $L_{3,2}$ electron energy-loss near-edge structure (ELNES). Five Lorentz functions are fitted to the five Ti $L_{3,2}$ ELNES peaks and the resulting envelope function is used to evaluate the physical parameters. An example of an evaluated parameter is the energy splittings $dE(b' - a)$. The parameters for the same z position are finally evaluated to calculate the mean value and the standard deviation.

(4) Alternatively, all EEL spectra with the same z distance to the interface can be binned and averaged to one EEL spectrum. This “more classical” approach allows to easily calculate the difference spectra shown in Fig. 4 by the sacrifice of the error bar.

In vertical direction the surface of the TEM-lamella was covered with Pd, allowing for dissociative chemisorption of the hydrogen gas molecules. In lateral direction the lamella offers two uncovered TiO₂ surfaces. Hydrogen entry from these sides is not expected.

It should be noted that the substrate was monitored by atomic force microscope (AFM) after pretreatment and before Pd deposition (see Fig. 11), yielding clear flat terraces related to the substrate miscut. It shows about 50 atomic steps over 5 μ m substrate length. This leads to a flat terrace width of 100 nm. The TEM lamella is of much smaller size and should not contain more than 2 terraces, meaning one atomic step.

X-ray photoemission (XPS) also was performed to verify the clean substrate after substrate pretreatment. The oxygen 1s peak is shown in Fig. 12. After pretreatment, it shows the expected spectrum for a clean surface. The initial surface contains contributions coming from C-O, C-H, or H-O-H surface species.

FIG. 11. $25 \mu\text{m}^2$ 3D AFM height profile of the r-TiO₂ crystal surface, after pretreatment. The surface shows flat terraces of about 100 nm width with atomic steps in between.

APPENDIX C: TMD MODEL FOR DEFECT CONCENTRATIONS

Defect concentrations (probabilities) can be calculated from the defect energies including the configurational entropy of the defect distribution via the grand potentials of the bulk (Ω_1) and at the interface (Ω_1 and Ω_2). The electrostatic interaction is treated on a mean-field level by electrostatic potential of the defect charge densities. The details are described in the following.

We consider a multistate model, where an oxygen site can be in one of four states X_σ (see Table III). These states can either be an oxygen atom or one of the defects in its respective charge state at an oxygen site. The interstitial hydrogen is also attributed to an oxygen site.

The grand potential Ω is a sum over all microstates, each of which is described by a vector $\vec{\sigma}$. Each component σ_j of this vector selects the state of the particular oxygen site at position $\vec{R}_j$ in the r-TiO₂ lattice. The integer value σ_j selects one of the possible states X_σ of an oxygen site. The grand potential of

the defects is given by

$$\Omega_1 = -k_B T \ln \sum_{\vec{\sigma}} \exp \left[-\beta \left(\mathcal{E}_{\vec{\sigma}} - \sum_{k \in \{e, O, H\}} \mu_k \mathcal{N}_{k, \vec{\sigma}} \right) \right], \quad (\text{C1})$$

where $\beta = 1/(k_B T)$. The system is coupled to particle reservoirs with chemical potentials μ_e for electrons, μ_H for hydrogen, and μ_O for oxygen.

The total energies $\mathcal{E}_{\vec{\sigma}}$ and particle numbers $\mathcal{N}_{\vec{\sigma}}$ of the defect distributions are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{\vec{\sigma}} &= \sum_j (E_{\sigma_j} + \eta_{e, \sigma_j} v_e(R_j)), \\ \mathcal{N}_{k, \vec{\sigma}} &= \sum_j \eta_{k, \sigma_j}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C2})$$

where $\eta_{k, \sigma}$ with $k \in \{e, O, H\}$ are particle numbers for the specified defect as listed in Table III. The particles considered are electrons, hydrogen atoms and oxygen atoms.

$v_e(\vec{r}) = -e\Phi(\vec{r})$ is the potential acting on the electrons due to the electric potential $\Phi(\vec{r})$. It is treated within the mean field theory: it plays the role of an external potential, which is later connected self-consistently to the charge density.

In addition, we consider the charge carriers like electrons and holes, which are not related to defects. We start with the grand potential of noninteracting electrons with a density of states $D(\epsilon)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_2 &= -k_B T \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\epsilon \int d^3r \frac{1}{V} D(\epsilon - v_e(\vec{r})) \\ &\times \ln \left[1 + \exp \left(-\frac{|\epsilon - \mu_e|}{k_B T} \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C3})$$

The energy for the filled Fermi sea has been subtracted, which is accounted for by taking the absolute value of $\epsilon - \mu_e$. The expression is limited to Fermi levels within the band gap of the oxide.

The functional form of the density of states $D(\epsilon) = D_v(\epsilon) + D_c(\epsilon)$ is defined, like conduction-band edge ϵ_c and the valence-band edge ϵ_v , in the absence of an electric potential. The latter is accounted for by the choice of the argument. The density of states is approximated by parabolic bands for valence and conduction bands with multiplicities N_v and N_c and effective masses m_v^* and m_c^* :

$$\begin{aligned} D_c(\epsilon) &= 2\pi V N_c \left(\frac{\sqrt{2m_c^*}}{2\pi\hbar} \right)^3 \sqrt{\epsilon - \epsilon_c} \quad \text{for } \epsilon > \epsilon_c, \\ D_v(\epsilon) &= 2\pi V N_v \left(\frac{\sqrt{2m_v^*}}{2\pi\hbar} \right)^3 \sqrt{\epsilon_v - \epsilon} \quad \text{for } \epsilon < \epsilon_v. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C4})$$

The volume V in this expression is canceled in Eq. (C3). The effective masses have been set to $m_c^* = m_e$ for the conduction-band minimum and $m_v^* = 3.33 m_e$ for the valence-band maximum [91]. A one to two average of the effective masses calculated with GGA [66] for the $\Gamma \rightarrow Z$ and $\Gamma \rightarrow M/Z$ directions has been used. The multiplicities from our calculated band structure are $N_c = 4$ for the conduction-band minimum and $N_v = 2$ for the valence-band top, including spin multiplicity.

FIG. 12. X-ray photoelectron spectra of the r-TiO₂ substrate surface at an incident angle of 0°. The oxygen 1s edge is shown before and after the pretreatment. The oxygen 1s edge has a shoulder, which decreased after the pretreatment.

When evaluating the grand potential of free carriers, we retain only the leading term in the Boltzmann factor $\exp(-\beta|\epsilon - \mu_e|)$.

After adding the grand potentials of the defects and free charge carriers, we obtain the grand potential $\Omega = \Omega_1 + \Omega_2$ for a given potential $v_e(\vec{r})$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(T, \mu_e, \mu_H, \mu_O, [v_e]) \\ = \int d^3r \left\{ -\frac{k_B T}{V_O} \right. \\ \times \ln \left(\sum_{\sigma=1}^{N_{sp}} \exp \left[-\beta \left(E_\sigma + \eta_{e,\sigma} v_e(\vec{r}) - \sum_{k \in \{e, O, H\}} \eta_{k,\sigma} \mu_k \right) \right] \right) \\ - \left(\frac{m_e}{2\pi \hbar^2} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} (k_B T)^{\frac{5}{2}} \left[N_c \left(\frac{m_c^*}{m_e} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\beta(\epsilon_c + v_e(\vec{r}) - \mu_e)} \right. \\ \left. + N_v \left(\frac{m_v^*}{m_e} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\beta(\mu_e - \epsilon_v - v_e(\vec{r}))} \right] \left. \right\}. \quad (C5) \end{aligned}$$

Here $V_O \approx 1.5 \times 10^{-23} \text{ cm}^3$ is the volume per oxygen site in r-TiO_2 .

Within our model, the grand potential contains the complete thermodynamic information of the problem. The local particle concentrations (particles per volume) $n_k(\vec{r})$ are obtained, up to a sign change, as the functional derivative of the grand potential with respect to a local variation of the respective chemical potential, namely

$$n_k(\vec{r}) = -\frac{\delta \Omega}{\delta \mu_k(\vec{r})} = \frac{1}{V_O} \sum_{\sigma} P_{\sigma}(\vec{r}) \eta_{k,\sigma} \quad (C6)$$

for $k \in \{H, O\}$. For the electrons we need to add the contribution of the free charge carriers:

$$\begin{aligned} n_e(\vec{r}) = -\frac{\delta \Omega}{\delta \mu_e(\vec{r})} = \frac{1}{V_O} \sum_{\sigma} P_{\sigma}(\vec{r}) \eta_{e,\sigma} + \left(\frac{m_e k_B T}{2\pi \hbar^2} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ \times \left[N_c \left(\frac{m_c^*}{m_e} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\beta(\epsilon_c + v_e(\vec{r}) - \mu_e)} \right. \\ \left. - N_v \left(\frac{m_v^*}{m_e} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\beta(\mu_e - \epsilon_v - v_e(\vec{r}))} \right]. \quad (C7) \end{aligned}$$

The probability $P_{\sigma}(\vec{r})$ that an oxygen site at position $\vec{r}$ is in state σ , is, according to Eqs. (C5) and (C6),

$$P_{\sigma}(\vec{r}) = \frac{\exp \left(-\frac{1}{k_B T} (E_{\sigma} + \eta_{e,\sigma} v_e(\vec{r}) - \sum_k \eta_k \mu_k) \right)}{\sum_{\sigma=1}^4 \exp \left(-\frac{1}{k_B T} (E_{\sigma} + \eta_{e,\sigma} v_e(\vec{r}) - \sum_k \eta_k \mu_k) \right)}. \quad (C8)$$

The charge density is $\rho(\vec{r}) = -en_e(\vec{r})$. The electric potential $\Phi(\vec{r})$ is connected with the potential acting on the electrons by $v_e(\vec{r}) = -e\Phi(\vec{r})$. The Poisson equation closes the system of self-consistent equations

$$\bar{\nabla}^2 \Phi(\vec{r}) = -\frac{1}{\epsilon_0 \epsilon_r} \rho(\vec{r}). \quad (C9)$$

The relative dielectric constant of $\epsilon_r = 86$ has been taken from Parker [92].

For the description of the interface, the electric potential is allowed to vary only perpendicular to the interface. The distance from the interface is denoted by z , with the positive direction pointing towards the oxide. With $\vec{r}_I$, we denote a position at the interface and $\vec{r}_B$ is a position deep in the bulk oxide. The potential at the interface is thus $v_e(\vec{r}_I) = v_e(z = 0)$ and that in the bulk is $v_e(\vec{r}_B) = v_e(z = +\infty)$.

The alignment of the band structures at the interface is determined by the Schottky-barrier height $\Phi_{Bn} = \epsilon_c + v(\vec{r}_I) - \mu_e$, defined as the difference of the conduction-band edge $\epsilon_c + v_e(\vec{r}_I)$ at the interface from the Fermi level μ_e of the metal. We have chosen its value $\Phi_{Bn} = 0.79 \text{ eV}$ [93,94] from the Schottky model as the metal work function minus the electron affinity of the oxide. Note that the interface between metal and oxides is affected by the local chemistry and the Schottky barrier. The Schottky barrier height alters for different terminations and has the dominant influence on the stability of impurities and dopants at the interface rather than the local chemistry.

In the oxide far from the interface, the position of the electron chemical potential μ_e relative to the band edges is determined by the charge-neutrality condition. Thus, the electric potential $v_e(\vec{r}_B)$ far from the interface relative to the electron chemical potential is a bulk property, which, however, depends on the chemical potentials of oxygen and hydrogen.

Near the interface, charges build up in the oxide, which produce an electric-potential step that aligns the charge-neutrality level of the bulk oxide with the Fermi level of the metal. The global charge neutrality is satisfied by a neutralizing charge buildup in the metal right at the interface. The charge density of the interface system can be expressed as

$$\rho(z) = -e \left[\theta(z) n_e(z) - \delta(z - 0^-) \int_{0^+}^{\infty} dz' n_e(\vec{r}) \right] \quad (C10)$$

with the step function $\theta(z)$ and the delta function $\delta(z)$. This charge density defines the electron potential via the Poisson equation:

$$\begin{aligned} v_e(z) = \mu_e + \frac{e}{\epsilon_0 \epsilon_r} \delta(z - 0^-) \left[z \left(\int_{0^+}^{\infty} dz' n_e(z') \right) \right. \\ \left. - \int_0^z dz' \int_0^{z'} dz'' n_e(z'') \right]. \quad (C11) \end{aligned}$$

Equations (C7), (C8), and (C11) form a coupled set of equations, that needs to be solved self-consistently.

In the self-consistent calculation of the potential profile has not been required, because the potential step Δv_e between the interface and the bulk could be obtained from the Schottky barrier.

This concludes the description of the TMD model. The parameters used in the model are summarized in Tables III and V.

APPENDIX D: PARTIAL PRESSURES AND CHEMICAL POTENTIALS

In order to link the TMD model to measurable quantities, we need to convert the partial pressures of the gases O_2 and H_2 in the environment into chemical potentials for the atoms. The resulting chemical potentials for oxygen and hydrogen

TABLE V. Parameters used for the model of defect concentrations.

Effective electron mass	m_c^*	m_e	Ref. [91]
Effective hole mass	m_v^*	$3.33 m_e$	Ref. [91]
Conduction band multiplicity	N_c	4	
Valence band multiplicity	N_v	2	
Volume per oxygen site (cm ³)	V_O	1.5×10^{-23}	
Relative dielectric constant	ϵ_r	86	Ref. [92]
PBE band-gap (eV)	$\epsilon_c - \epsilon_v$	1.90	
Schottky barrier (eV)	Φ_{Bn}	0.79	Ref. [61]
$k_B T \ln[N_c V_O (m_c^* k_B T / (2\pi \hbar^2))^{\frac{3}{2}}]$			-1.62 eV

obtained for the individual processing steps are summarized in Table IV.

For dimeric molecules, the chemical potentials μ_Y for the atomic species, $Y \in \{O, H\}$, are obtained from the Gibbs free energy $G_{Y_2}(T, p, N)$ of the corresponding gas Y_2 at the specified partial pressure p and temperature T . The chemical potentials $\mu_{Y_2} = G_{Y_2}/N_{Y_2}$ for the molecules are obtained as the Gibbs free energy per molecule. The chemical potential of an atom $\mu_Y = \frac{1}{2}\mu_{Y_2}$ is one-half of that of the dimeric molecule. Thus, we obtain

$$\mu_Y = \frac{1}{2N} G_{Y_2}(T, p, N). \quad (D1)$$

The molecular Gibbs free energy (dropping the subscript Y_2)

$$G(T, p, N) = NE_{\text{tot}} + G_{\text{trans}}(T, p, N) + F_{\text{vib}}(T, N) + F_{\text{rot}}(T, N) + F_e(T, N) \quad (D2)$$

is divided into the total energy E_{tot} of the molecules without their zero-point energy, its contribution G_{trans} from molecular translations, the free energy F_{vib} of internal vibration including the zero-point energy, the free energy F_{rot} of the molecular rotations, as well as the contribution from spin multiplicity,

TABLE VI. Molecular parameters [95] used to convert temperatures and partial pressures via Eq. (D1) into chemical potentials.

	g_e	d	$\hbar\omega/(2\pi\hbar c)$
O ₂	3	1.208 Å	1580.19 cm ⁻¹
H ₂	1	0.741 Å	4163.17 cm ⁻¹

The translational contribution to the Gibbs potential

$$G_{\text{trans}}(T, p, N) = Nk_B T \ln \left(\frac{\lambda_T^3 p}{k_B T} \right) \quad (D3)$$

depends on the thermal de Broglie wave length $\lambda_T = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\hbar^2}{Mk_B T}}$, where M is the mass of the molecule. As we consider a dilute gas of molecules, the interaction between molecules is ignored, so that we use the Gibbs free energy of the ideal gas.

The vibrational free energy is expressed by the vibrational frequency ω as

$$F_{\text{vib}}(T, N) = \frac{N}{2} \hbar\omega + Nk_B T \ln \left[1 - \exp \left(-\frac{\hbar\omega}{k_B T} \right) \right]. \quad (D4)$$

It contains the zero-point energy as well as the quantum mechanical entropy term.

The rotational part is obtained

$$F_{\text{rot}}(T, N) = -Nk_B T \ln \left[\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (2\ell+1) e^{-\frac{\hbar^2 \ell(\ell+2)}{\mu d^2 k_B T}} \right]. \quad (D5)$$

The effective mass μ of the dimer molecule is one-half of the atomic masses, respectively one-fourth of the molecular mass M , i.e., $\mu = \frac{1}{4}M$. The bond distance is denoted as d .

For the oxygen molecule also the spin multiplicity $g_e = 3$ contributes to the free energy in the form

$$F_e(T, N) = -Nk_B T \ln(g_e). \quad (D6)$$

The molecular parameters entering Eq. (D1) are summarized in Table VI.

- [1] U. Diebold, *Surf. Sci. Rep.* **48**, 53 (2003).
- [2] U. Diebold, S.-C. Li, and M. Schmid, *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* **61**, 129 (2010).
- [3] S. J. Tauster, S. C. Fung, R. T. K. Baker, and J. A. Horsley, *Science* **211**, 1121 (1981).
- [4] U. Roland, T. Braunschweig, and F. Roessner, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **127**, 61 (1997).
- [5] J. Y. Park, L. R. Baker, and G. A. Somorjai, *Chem. Rev.* **115**, 2781 (2015).
- [6] M. K. Nowotny, L. R. Sheppard, T. Bak, and J. Nowotny, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **112**, 5275 (2008).
- [7] M. Ni, M. K. H. Leung, D. Y. C. Leung, and K. Sumathy, *Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev.* **11**, 401 (2007).
- [8] R. Waser, R. Dittmann, G. Staikov, and K. Szot, *Adv. Mater.* **21**, 2632 (2009).
- [9] Y. Ma, X. Wang, Y. Jia, X. Chen, H. Han, and C. Li, *Chem. Rev.* **114**, 9987 (2014).
- [10] M. Bowker, P. Stone, R. Bennett, and N. Perkins, *Surf. Sci.* **497**, 155 (2002).
- [11] J. Sá, J. Bernardi, and J. A. Anderson, *Catal. Lett.* **114**, 91 (2007).
- [12] J. J. Liu, *ChemCatChem* **3**, 934 (2011).
- [13] X. Chen, L. Liu, and F. Huang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **44**, 1861 (2015).
- [14] O. Ola and M. M. Maroto-Valer, *J. Photochem. Photobiol., C: Photochem. Rev.* **24**, 16 (2015).
- [15] V. Burlaka, V. Roddatis, M. D. Bongers, and A. Pundt, *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 9564 (2017).
- [16] V. Roddatis, M. D. Bongers, R. Vink, V. Burlaka, J. Čížek, and A. Pundt, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **9**, 5246 (2018).
- [17] B. Mohammad, M. A. Jaoude, V. Kumar, D. M. Al Homouz, H. A. Nahla, M. Al-Qutayri, and N. Christoforou, *Nanotechnol. Rev.* **5**, 311 (2016).
- [18] A. Moballegh and E. C. Dickey, *Acta Mater.* **86**, 352 (2015).

- [19] D.-H. Kwon, K. M. Kim, J. H. Jang, J. M. Jeon, M. H. Lee, G. H. Kim, X.-S. Li, G.-S. Park, B. Lee, S. Han *et al.*, *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **5**, 148 (2010).
- [20] H. Kobayashi, K. Kishimoto, and Y. Nakato, *Surf. sci.* **306**, 393 (1994).
- [21] M. Cercez, H. Langer, M. E. Achhab, T. Heinzl, D. Ostermann, H. Lüder, and J. Degenhardt, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **103**, 033522 (2013).
- [22] M. Strungaru, M. Cercez, S. Herbertz, T. Heinzl, M. E. Achhab, and K. Schierbaum, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **106**, 143109 (2015).
- [23] U. Roland, R. Salzer, T. Braunschweig, F. Roessner, and H. Winkler, *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans.* **91**, 1091 (1995).
- [24] F. A. Grant, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **31**, 646 (1959).
- [25] M. K. Nowotny, T. Bak, and J. Nowotny, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **110**, 16270 (2006).
- [26] M. K. Nowotny, T. Bak, and J. Nowotny, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **110**, 16283 (2006).
- [27] M. K. Nowotny, T. Bak, and J. Nowotny, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **110**, 16292 (2006).
- [28] M. K. Nowotny, T. Bak, and J. Nowotny, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **110**, 16302 (2006).
- [29] J. Nowotny, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **115**, 18316 (2011).
- [30] T. Bak, J. Nowotny, M. Rekas, and C. C. Sorrell, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **64**, 1043 (2003).
- [31] T. Bak, J. Nowotny, M. Rekas, and C. C. Sorrell, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **64**, 1057 (2003).
- [32] T. Bak, J. Nowotny, M. Rekas, and C. C. Sorrell, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **64**, 1069 (2003).
- [33] O. W. Johnson, J. DeFord, and J. W. Shaner, *J. Appl. Phys.* **44**, 3008 (1973).
- [34] P. W. Peacock and J. Robertson, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **83**, 2025 (2003).
- [35] F. Filippone, G. Mattioli, P. Alippi, and A. Amore Bonapasta, *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 245203 (2009).
- [36] F. Herklotz, E. V. Lavrov, and J. Weber, *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 235202 (2011).
- [37] T. S. Bjørheim, A. Kuwabara, and T. Norby, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **117**, 5919 (2013).
- [38] U. Aschauer and A. Selloni, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **14**, 16595 (2012).
- [39] M. Sotoudeh, S. J. Hashemifar, M. Abbasnejad, and M. R. Mohammadizadeh, *AIP Adv.* **4**, 027129 (2014).
- [40] M. Sotoudeh, M. Abbasnejad, and M. R. Mohammadizadeh, *Eur. Phys. J. Appl. Phys.* **67**, 30401 (2014).
- [41] L. B. Mo, Y. Wang, Y. Bai, Q. Y. Xiang, Q. Li, W. Q. Yao, J. O. Wang, K. Ibrahim, H. H. Wang, C. H. Wan, and J. L. Cao, *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 17634 (2015).
- [42] P. B. Wells and A. G. Wilkinson, *Top. Catal.* **5**, 39 (1998).
- [43] E. Wicke, H. Brodowsky, and H. Züchner, Hydrogen in palladium and palladium alloys, in *Hydrogen in Metals II: Application-Oriented Properties*, edited by G. Alefeld and J. Völkl (Springer, Berlin, 1978), pp. 73–155.
- [44] U. Muschiol, P. K. Schmidt, and K. Christmann, *Surf. Sci.* **395**, 182 (1998).
- [45] D. Fariás, P. Schilbe, M. Patting, and K.-H. Rieder, *J. Chem. Phys.* **110**, 559 (1999).
- [46] D. Teschner, J. Borsodi, A. Wootsch, Z. Révay, M. Hävecker, A. Knop-Gericke, S. D. Jackson, and R. Schlögl, *Science* **320**, 86 (2008).
- [47] B. Brandt, W. Ludwig, J.-H. Fischer, J. Libuda, F. Zaera, and S. Schauerhmann, *J. Catal.* **265**, 191 (2009).
- [48] G. Sandrock, S. Suda, L. Schlapbach, and L. Schlapbach, *Top. Appl. Phys.* **67**, 197 (1992).
- [49] F. D. Manchester, A. San-Martin, and J. M. Pitre, *J. Phase Equilib.* **15**, 62 (1994).
- [50] A. Sieverts, *Zeitschrift für Metallkunde* **21**, 37 (1929).
- [51] T. B. Flanagan and W. A. Oates, *Annu. Rev. Mater. Sci.* **21**, 269 (1991).
- [52] A. Pundt and R. Kirchheim, *Annu. Rev. Mater. Res.* **36**, 555 (2006).
- [53] R. Kirchheim, *Acta Mater.* **55**, 5129 (2007).
- [54] R. Kirchheim, *Acta Mater.* **55**, 5139 (2007).
- [55] S. Wagner and A. Pundt, *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* **41**, 2727 (2016).
- [56] S. Wagner, T. Kramer, H. Uchida, P. Dobron, J. Cizek, and A. Pundt, *Acta Mater.* **114**, 116 (2016).
- [57] H. Mehrer, *Diffusion in Solids: Fundamentals, Methods, Materials, Diffusion-Controlled Processes* (Springer Science & Business Media, New York, 2007), Vol. 155.
- [58] W. Mönch, *Electronic Properties of Semiconductor Interfaces* (Springer Science & Business Media, New York, 2004), Vol. 43.
- [59] R. T. Tung, *Appl. Phys. Rev.* **1**, 011304 (2014).
- [60] M. Paulose, O. K. Varghese, G. K. Mor, C. A. Grimes, and K. G. Ong, *Nanotechnology* **17**, 398 (2005).
- [61] M. D. Bongers, In situ studies on palladium/rutile titanium dioxide exposed to low pressure hydrogen gas environments, Ph.D. thesis, Niedersächsische Staats- und Universitätsbibliothek Göttingen, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen (2018).
- [62] M. J. Süess, E. Mueller, and R. Wepf, *Ultramicroscopy* **111**, 1224 (2011).
- [63] R. Krause-Rehberg and H. S. Leipner, *Positron Annihilation in Semiconductors: Defect Studies* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999).
- [64] P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, *Phys. Rev.* **136**, B864 (1964).
- [65] W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, *Phys. Rev.* **140**, A1133 (1965).
- [66] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 3865 (1996).
- [67] P. E. Blöchl, *Phys. Rev. B* **50**, 17953 (1994).
- [68] CP-PAW website, <http://www2.pt.tu-clausthal.de/paw/> (revision 1178).
- [69] P. E. Blöchl and C. Först, *arXiv:1210.5937*.
- [70] O. Jepsen and O. K. Anderson, *Solid State Commun.* **9**, 1763 (1971).
- [71] G. Lehmann and M. Taut, *Phys. Stat. Sol. (b)* **54**, 469 (1972).
- [72] P. E. Blöchl, O. Jepsen, and O. K. Andersen, *Phys. Rev. B* **49**, 16223 (1994).
- [73] D. T. Cromer and K. Herrington, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **77**, 4708 (1955).
- [74] E. Stoyanov, F. Langenhorst, and G. Steinle-Neumann, *Am. Mineral.* **92**, 577 (2007).
- [75] R. Brydson, H. Sauer, W. Engel, J. M. Thomass, E. Zeitler, N. Kosugi, and H. Kuroda, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **1**, 797 (1989).
- [76] S. O. Kucheyev, T. van Buuren, T. F. Baumann, J. H. Satcher, T. M. Willey, R. W. Meulenberg, T. E. Felner, J. F. Poco, S. A. Gammon, and L. J. Terminello, *Phys. Rev. B* **69**, 245102 (2004).
- [77] J. Pascual, J. Camassel, and H. Mathieu, *Phys. Rev. B* **18**, 5606 (1978).

- [78] S.-D. Mo and W. Y. Ching, *Phys. Rev. B* **51**, 13023 (1995).
- [79] L. D. Finkelstein, E. Z. Kurmaev, M. A. Korotin, A. Moewes, B. Schneider, S. M. Butorin, J.-H. Guo, J. Nordgren, D. Hartmann, M. Neumann, and D. L. Ederer, *Phys. Rev. B* **60**, 2212 (1999).
- [80] W. F. Kuhs and M. S. Lehmann, *J. Phys. Chem.* **87**, 4312 (1983).
- [81] B. Klose and H. Klose, Die Erdatmosphäre: Ihre chemische Zusammensetzung, vertikale Struktur und Physik, *Meteorologie: Eine interdisziplinäre einföhrung in die physik der atmosphäre* (Springer, Berlin, 2015), pp. 15–84.
- [82] R. Haul and G. Dümbgen, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **26**, 1 (1965).
- [83] M. Arita, M. Hosoya, M. Kobayashi, and M. Someno, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **62**, 443 (1979).
- [84] D. J. Derry, D. G. Lees, and J. M. Calvert, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **42**, 57 (1981).
- [85] www.clue.physik.uni-goettingen.de.
- [86] P. J. Schultz and K. G. Lynn, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **60**, 701 (1988).
- [87] W. Anwand, G. Brauer, M. Butterling, H. R. Kissener, and A. Wagner, *Defect and Diffusion Forum* **331**, 25 (2012).
- [88] M. Heidelmann, J. Barthel, and L. Houben, *Ultramicroscopy* **109**, 1447 (2009).
- [89] K. Sader, B. Schaffer, G. Vaughan, R. Brydson, A. Brown, and A. Bleloch, *Ultramicroscopy* **110**, 998 (2010).
- [90] D. B. Williams and C. B. Carter, *Transmission Electron Microscopy*, 2nd ed. (Springer US, New York, 2009).
- [91] T. V. Perevalov and V. A. Gritsenko, *J. Exp. Theor. Phys.* **112**, 310 (2011).
- [92] R. A. Parker, *Phys. Rev.* **124**, 1719 (1961).
- [93] L. A. DuBridge and W. W. Roehr, *Phys. Rev.* **39**, 99 (1932).
- [94] A. Imanishi, E. Tsuji, and Y. Nakato, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **111**, 2128 (2007).
- [95] T. Shimanouchi, *Tables of Molecular Vibrational Frequencies. Consolidated Volume I* (National Bureau of Standards, Washington, DC, 1972).