

Originally published as:

Martens, S., Moeller, F., Streibel, M., Liebscher, A. (2014): Completion of Five Years of Safe CO2 Injection and Transition to the Post-closure Phase at the Ketzin Pilot Site. - *Energy Procedia*, *59*, p. 190-197.

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2014.10.366





Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Procedia

Energy Procedia 59 (2014) 190 - 197

European Geosciences Union General Assembly 2014, EGU 2014

Completion of five years of safe CO₂ injection and transition to the post-closure phase at the Ketzin pilot site

Sonja Martens*, Fabian Möller, Martin Streibel, Axel Liebscher

and the Ketzin Group

GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Telegrafenberg, 14473 Potsdam, Germany

Abstract

The Ketzin pilot site for geological storage of CO_2 in the German Federal State of Brandenburg about 25 km west of Berlin was the first European pilot site for onshore storage of CO_2 in saline aquifers. A total amount of 67 kt of CO_2 was injected without any safety issues between June 2008 and August 2013 when injection ceased and the site entered the post-closure phase. Research and activities on site will continue in order to address and finally close the entire life cycle of the storage site. Within the post-closure phase the multidisciplinary monitoring program will further be applied and a stepwise abandonment of the five wells is foreseen which has already started with the partial plugging of one observation well in fall 2013.

© 2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/).

Peer-review under responsibility of the Austrian Academy of Sciences

Keywords: carbon dioxide storage; Ketzin pilot site; saline aquifer; CO2 injection; operation; monitoring; post-closure; well abandonment

1. Introduction

In the context of climate policy, capture of carbon dioxide (CO_2) for geological storage is a potential measure to reduce anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions. This conclusion has been strengthened in the recent IPCC report which assigns CO_2 storage an important role in limiting the global temperature increase by 2 degrees after 2100 [1]. One of the most promising storage options to be utilized in Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) projects are saline aquifers [2]. The Ketzin pilot site in Germany is an example of this storage type. It is located about 25 km west of

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +49 (0)331-288-1965; fax: +49 (0)331-288-1502. *E-mail address:* martens@gfz-potsdam.de.

Berlin in the Federal State of Brandenburg (Fig. 1a). The Ketzin project was initiated by the GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences in 2004 as the first onshore project in Europe that is dedicated to research and development (R&D) for a better understanding of geological CO_2 storage [3-6].

Injection of CO_2 began in June 2008 and ceased in August 2013 after injecting a total amount of 67 kt of CO_2 . Thus the Ketzin project represents 62 months of valuable experience in operating a CO_2 storage site [7] and has achieved an enviable record of monitoring data from different disciplines. In this paper, we give a comprehensive overview on the injection operation, the monitoring concept and outline future activities within the post-closure phase. For additional information and further reading on accompanying laboratory and modelling studies for the Ketzin project the reader is kindly referred to e.g. Fischer et al. 2013 [8] and Kempka et al. 2013 [9].

2. The Ketzin pilot site

2.1. Life cycle phases

Fig. 2 shows the life cycle for the Ketzin pilot site separated into the six fundamental phases according to the European Directive on the Geological Storage of Carbon Dioxide [10]. It has to be noted that the Ketzin site was permitted under the German Mining Law, thus transfer of liability will finally follow the regulations set by the German Mining Law. However, R&D activities on CO_2 storage will continue in order to address and close the entire life cycle of the storage site according to the EU Directive.

The figure also depicts major milestones that have already been achieved in the project. These include the start of the first research project CO_2SINK in 2004 which was funded by the European commission and industry until 2010. Up to now, the research activities at the pilot site Ketzin have received funding from a total of 18 German and European projects. Milestones also include the permits of the main and several special operation plans by the Brandenburg State Mining Authority which allowed e.g. for the necessary drilling work and injection. Phase 5 has started after cease of the CO_2 injection in August 2013. Well abandonment, post-injection monitoring and transfer of liability are the major objectives of the current life cycle phase.



Fig. 1. Ketzin pilot site (a) location; (b) aerial view with scientific infrastructure in June 2013, looking northwest



Fig. 2. Summary of life cycle phases of the Ketzin pilot site and major milestones

2.2. Research infrastructure

To meet the operational and scientific needs of the Ketzin project a total of five wells (Fig. 1b) were drilled in three campaigns between 2007 and 2012 and an injection facility was built in 2008. Prior to the start of injection the boreholes Ktzi 200, Ktzi 201 Ktzi 202 were drilled to a depth of about 750 m to 800m [11]. The well Ktzi 201 was used as a combined injection and observation well while the other two serve as pure observations wells. In summer 2011, the shallow observation well P300 was drilled for above-zone monitoring within the indicator horizon [6]. This 446 m deep borehole reaches into the lowermost aquifer above the cap rock. In summer 2012, the infrastructure was completed by the 701 m deep observation well Ktzi 203 which was drilled close to the injection point to gain rock cores from the cap rock and reservoir which have been in contact with the injected CO_2 for more than four years and is also used for monitoring.

All four deep wells are completed with a smart casing concept [11] which include permanently installed cables for distributed temperature sensing (DTS) and heating experiments, 45 electrodes (Vertical Electrical Resistivity Array VERA; apart from well Ktzi 203), pressure and temperature (p-T) gauges. The shallow well P300 is equipped with two pressure sensors and a U-tube fluid sampling system for pressure and fluid monitoring of the indicator horizon [6].

2.3. Injection history

 CO_2 injection at Ketzin began on June 30, 2008 and ended on August 29, 2013. During this period of over five years, a total amount of 67 kt of CO_2 was injected without any health, safety or environmental issues into a saline aquifer (Upper Triassic sandstone layers) of the Northeast German Basin at a depth of 630-650 m. The CO_2 used was mainly of food grade quality (purity > 99.9%). In addition, 1.5 kt of captured CO_2 from the Vattenfall oxyfuel pilot plant Schwarze Pumpe (power plant CO_2 with purity > 99.7%) was used from May to June 2011. In July and August 2013, a co-injection experiment with CO_2 and N_2 was performed to test and demonstrate the technical feasibility of a continuous impure CO_2 injection scenario. A total of 613 tons CO_2 and 32 tons N_2 were continuously mixed on site and injected resulting in an average CO_2 to N_2 mass ratio of approximately 95 to 5.

The CO₂ was delivered in liquid state by road tankers to the Ketzin pilot site and stored in two intermediate storage tanks (Fig. 3a) at about -18°C and 18 bars on site. Prior to injection, the CO₂ was preconditioned: Plunger pumps raised the pressure to the necessary injection pressure and CO₂ was pre-heated to 45°C by ambient air heaters and an electrical heater in order to avoid liquid-vapour phase transition of the injected CO₂ and associated pressure build-up within the reservoir. The CO₂ was transported via a pipeline to the well Ktzi 201. Typical injection rates ranged between 1,400 and 3,250 kg CO₂/h with a maximum monthly injection rate of 2,300 tons.

Within a so called "cold-injection" experiment between March and July 2013, the injection temperature was stepwise reduced from 45° C down to 10° C to study the thermodynamics in the wellbore for an injection at ambient temperatures and its impact on the reservoir. A total amount of 3 kt of CO₂ was injected throughout this experiment where monitoring included injection wellhead and downhole pressure, temperature point information and profiles. Down to an injection temperature of 25° C the entire injection process continued to occur single-phase with gaseous CO₂. At an injection temperature of 20° C, the CO₂ started to condense liquid CO₂ droplets and the injection process occurred under two-phase conditions in the surface installations and the upper parts of the injection well Ktzi 201.



Fig. 3. (a) View of the Ketzin pilot site in May 2013, looking southeast to the observation well Ktzi 203 (foreground) and the injection facility (background) with ambient air heaters (left), two intermediate storage tanks (middle), CO₂ delivery by road tanker and pipeline (right); (b) Dismantling of the tanks in December 2013.

Due to increasing temperature with increasing depth, these liquid CO_2 droplets re-evaporated in the injection well and the lower part of the well was again single-phase. Decreasing the injection temperature to 15°C and finally 10°C resulted in two-phase conditions throughout the entire injection process and pressure fluctuations within the wellbore Ktzi 201 (Fig. 4). Corresponding pressure fluctuations at the nearby well Ktzi 200 located 50m away from the injection point could not be observed. The injection facility was finally dismantled in December 2013 (Fig. 3b).

3. Monitoring and well abandonment

3.1. Multidisciplinary monitoring

The integrated monitoring concept at Ketzin includes a wide range of techniques from different disciplines as listed in Table 1 in order to study the well and reservoir behavior and to monitor the CO_2 migration in the underground on different spatial and temporal scales.

The operational monitoring during CO_2 injection was mainly based on pressure and temperature data which was continuously acquired on site. This program included e.g. measurements of fill levels in the two intermediate storage tanks, outlet temperature of the injection facility, injection rate, wellhead pressures, annular pressures for all wells, bottom hole pressure and distributed temperature sensing (DTS) along the injection tubing for the injection well Ktzi 201 [7]. This pressure-temperature monitoring successfully ensured and proved a safe and reliable injection over the 62 months of operation [7].

Fig. 4 depicts the downhole pressure evolution at 550 m depth in well Ktzi 201 and the cumulative mass of injected CO₂ for the period between June 2008 and December 2013. Due to the CO₂ injection since June 2008, the pressure rose from initially 60.4bar to a maximum of 76 bar in June 2009. From late summer 2009 until spring 2010 pressure stabilized between \sim 74 and 76 bar. By spring 2010, the overall mean injection rate was lowered and pressure smoothly decreased and again stabilized between \sim 70 and 73 bar until spring 2012 reflecting a stable injection regime. A positive correlation between injection rate and reservoir pressure was also noticed on the short term during shut-in phases, e.g. mid-2012 during drilling of well Ktzi 203, which were accompanied by an almost instantaneous decrease in reservoir pressure. With the stop of the CO₂ injection in August 2013, the pressure started to continuously decrease and evolves back towards initial reservoir conditions. Operational monitoring also shows that the downhole pressure was always significantly below the maximum approved pressure of 83 bar at 550m depth as permitted by the Mining Authority.

Table 1. Summary of main monitoring techniques and tools used at the Ketzin pilot site

| Monitoring approach | Frequency/date | Selected references (sorted by publication year) |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Operational monitoring | | |
| Wellhead, casing, bottom hole pressures; temperature gauges; distributed temperature sensing (DTS) | permanently | Giese et al. 2009 [12]; Henninges et al. 2011 [13]; Möller et al. 2012 [14]; Wiese et al. 2012 [15]; Liebscher et al. 2013 [7]; Wiese et al. 2013 [16] |
| Well logging tools | ~annually | Henninges et al. 2011 [13]; Baumann 2013 [17]; Martens et al. 2013 [6] |
| Seismic methods | | |
| Active seismic - surface-surface (3D/4D) - surface-surface (star survey) - surface-downhole (VSP, MSP) - crosshole | 2005, 2009, 2012 2005, 2009, 2011 2007, 2009, 2011 2008, 2009 | Juhlin et al. 2007 [18]; Yang et al. 2010 [19]; Bergmann et al. 2011 [20]; Lüth et al. 2011 [21]; Ivandic et al. 2012 [22]; Ivanova et al. 2012 [23]; Xu et al. 2012 [24]; Zhang et al. 2012 [25]; Ivanova et al. 2013 [26] |
| Passive seismic | permanently | Arts et al. 2011, 2013 [27, 28] |
| Eletrical and electro-magnetic methods | | |
| Electrical resistivity tomography (ERT) - crosshole - surface-downhole - surface-surface | weekly 2007, '08, '09, '11, '12 2009, 2011, 2012 | Kiessling et al. 2010 [29]; Schmidt-Hattenberger et al. 2011, 2012 [30, 31]; Bergmann et al. 2012 [32]; Schmidt- Hattenberger et al. 2013 [33]; Bergmann et al. 2014 [34] |
| Controlled-source electromagnetics (CSEM) | periodic field tests | Girard et al. 2011 [35]; Streich et al. 2011 [36]; Grayver et al. 2014 [37] |
| Other methods | | |
| Surface CO ₂ flux measurements | biweekly to monthly | Zimmer et al. 2011 [38]; Liebscher et al. 2012 [39]; Martens et al. 2013 [6] |
| Fluid sampling from wells for geochemical and microbial monitoring | monthly to annually | Giese et al. 2009 [12]; Würdemann et al. 2010 [3]; Myrtinnen et al. 2010 [40]; Scherf et al. 2011 [41]; Zimmer et al. 2011 [42]; Martens et al. 2012 [5]; Morozova et al. 2013 [43]; Nowak et al. 2013 [44]; Wiese et al. 2013 [16] |
| Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar (InSAR) | permanently | Lubitz & Motagh 2013 [45] |

Periodic logging at all four deep wells includes pulsed neutron-gamma for saturation measurements, video inspections and magneto-inductive defectoscopy (MID) measurements to study and characterize the state of the wellbores, e.g. possible degradation of the steel casings. In connection with the logging campaings, fluid samples are gained from the pressurized wellbores for further geochemical and microbial analysis. The repeat saturation measurements, which have been conducted since 2009 at least annually, imaged the CO_2 accumulation within the reservoir sandstone horizons but gave no indication to any upward migration of CO_2 along the wellbores [39]. The video inspections of the wellbores provided also no hints to any pitting corrosion of the innermost casings. The logging campaigns prove that the wellbore integrity is given and has not deteriorated due to CO_2 injection. Logging will also continue in the post-injection phase.

The geophysical methods applied at Ketzin mainly consist of seismic and electrical techniques which play a key role for the non-invasive monitoring and the spatial and temporal characterization of the underground processes. Further monitoring includes geochemical measurements such as CO_2 flux surface measurements and fluid sampling from the wells which are done on a monthly (shallow well P300) to annual basis (deep wells). The combination of both geophysical and geochemical monitoring demonstrates that we are able to detect and image even small amounts of CO_2 and gives no indication for CO_2 leakage at the Ketzin pilot site [5, 46].



Fig. 4. Injection history showing cumulative mass of injected CO_2 (blue) and measured pressure at 550 m depth in well Ktzi 201 (green). The reservoir pressure at 630 m is about 2 bars higher than the measured pressure at 550 m. The red line refers to the maximum permitted pressure of 85 bars at reservoir depth.

3.2. Stepwise well abandonment

An essential step of the ongoing project phase is the abandonment of the five wells. As long as the wells are accessible, logging and monitoring which relies on wellbore installations, e.g. the VERA system, will continue in order to further characterize the state of the wells and assess their integrity.

The well abandonment will be done stepwise and it already started with the partial plugging of the observation well Ktzi 202 in the lower interval including the CO_2 reservoir section in September 2013. A special CO_2 resistant cement blend was used from 729 m to 521 m below ground surface which is monitored for its tightness over the using a gas membrane sensor GMS [47] and a pressure sensor in 500 m depth. The well is accessible above the cement plug and the DTS cable and the geoelectrical array are also still in operation for further monitoring.

The observation well Ktzi 202 is planned to be fully abandoned in 2015. The abandonment of the other wells is scheduled for 2016. During abandonment, materials like cement, casing and elastomers will be sampled and analysed in order to study if and how the materials have been affected by the CO_2 environment.

4. Conclusion and outlook

The Ketzin project demonstrates successful and safe CO_2 storage in a saline aquifer on a pilot scale. With the cease of injection in August 2013, the project has entered the post-closure and pre-transfer phase. The overall aim at Ketzin is to close the complete life-time cycle of a CO_2 storage site at pilot scale.

Within the post-closure phase a series of activities and further investigations are foreseen: The five wells will be successively abandoned while monitoring will continue. The partial plugging of one observation well in the reservoir section was already completed in fall 2013. The recent four-years project COMPLETE started in January 2014. Activities within COMPLETE include further R&D work on well integrity, post-closure monitoring, e.g. the third 3D seismic repeat, and two more field experiments. The one is a back-production test of the CO_2 in 2014 aiming at information on the physicochemical properties of the back-produced CO_2 and the pressure response of the reservoir. The other test will focus on a small-scale brine injection into the CO_2 reservoir in order to study e.g. the residual gas saturation and the potential as a means for wellbore leakage mitigation.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the funding for the Ketzin project received from the European Commission (6th and 7th Framework Program), two German ministries - the Federal Ministry of Economics and Technology and the

Federal Ministry of Education and Research - and industry since 2004. The ongoing R&D activities are funded within the project COMPLETE by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research within the GEOTECHNOLOGIEN Program (this is publication GEOTECH-2216). Further funding is received by VGS, RWE, Vattenfall, Statoil, OMV and the Norwegian CLIMIT programme.

References

- [1] IPCC. Summary for Policymakers. In: Edenhofer, O, Pichs-Madruga R, Sokona Y, Farahani E, Kadner S, Seyboth K, Adler A, Baum I, Brunner S, Eickemeier P, Kriemann B, Savolainen J, Schlomer S, von Stechow C, Zwickel T, Minx JC, editors. *Climate Change. Mitigation of Climate Change. Contribution of Working Group III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA; 2014.
- [2] IPCC. Metz B, Davidson O, de Coninck HC, Loos M, Meyer LA, editors. Special Report on Carbon Dioxide Capture and Storage. Prepared by Working Group III of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA; 2005.
- [3] Würdemann H, Möller F, Kühn M, Heidug W, Christensen NP, Borm G et al. CO₂SINK From site characterisation and risk assessment to monitoring and verification: One year of operational experience with the field laboratory for CO₂ storage at Ketzin, Germany. Int J Greenhouse Gas Control 2010; 4 (6): 938-951. doi:10.1016/j.ijggc.2010.08.010.
- [4] Martens S, Liebscher A, Möller F, Würdemann H, Schilling F, Kühn M et al. Progress Report on the First European on-shore CO₂ Storage Site at Ketzin (Germany) - Second Year of Injection. *Energy Procedia* 2011; 4: 3246-3253. doi:10.1016/j.egypro.2011.02.243.
- [5] Martens S, Kempka T, Liebscher A, Lüth S, Möller F, Myrttinen A et al. Europe's longest-operating on-shore CO₂ storage site at Ketzin, Germany: A progress report after three years of injection. *Environ Earth Sci* 2012; 67: 323-334. doi: 10.1007/s12665-012-1672-5.
- [6] Martens S, Liebscher A, Möller F, Henninges J, Kempka T, Lüth S, Norden B, Prevedel B, Szizybalski A, Zimmer M, Kühn M, the Ketzin Group. CO₂ storage at the Ketzin pilot site: Fourth year of injection, monitoring, modelling and verification. *Energy Procedia* 2013; 37: 6434-6443. doi:10.1016/j.egypro.2013.06.573.
- [7] Liebscher A, Möller F, Bannach A, Köhler S, Wiebach J, Schmidt-Hattenberger C, Weiner M, Pretschner C, Ebert K, Zemke J. Injection operation and operational pressure-temperature monitoring at the CO₂ storage pilot site Ketzin, Germany - Design, results, recommendations. *Int J Greenhouse Gas Control* 2013; 15: 163-173. doi:10.1016/j.ijggc.2013.02.019.
- [8] Fischer S, Liebscher A, Zemke K, De Lucia M, Ketzin Team: Does Injected CO₂ Affect (Chemical) Reservoir System Integrity? A Comprehensive Experimental Approach. *Energy Proceedia* 2013; 37: 4473-4482, doi:10.1016/j.egypro.2013.06.352.
- [9] Kempka T, Kühn M. Numerical simulations of CO₂ arrival times and reservoir pressure coincide with observations from the Ketzin pilot site, Germany. *Env Earth Sci* 2013; 70: 3675-3685, doi:10.1007/s12665-013-2614-6.
- [10] European Commission. Implementation of Directive 2009/31/EC on the Geological Storage of Carbon Dioxide. Guidance Document 1 -CO₂ Storage Life Cycle Risk Management Framework, 2011, doi: 10.2834/9801.
- [11] Prevedel B, Wohlgemuth L, Legarth B, Henninges J, Schütt H, Schmidt-Hattenberger C et al. The CO₂SINK boreholes for geological CO₂storage testing. *Energy Procedia* 2009; 1: 2087-2094. doi:10.1016/j.egypro.2009.01.272.
- [12] Giese R, Henninges J, Lüth S, Morozova D, Schmidt-Hattenberger C, Würdemann H, Zimmer M, Cosma C, Juhlin C, CO₂SINK Group. Monitoring at the CO₂SINK Site: A Concept Integrating Geophysics, Geochemistry and Microbiology. *Energy Procedia* 2009; 1 (1): 2251-2259.
- [13] Henninges J, Liebscher A, Bannach A, Brandt W, Hurter S, Köhler S, Möller F, CO₂SINK Group. P-T-rho and two-phase fluid conditions with inverted density profile in observation wells at the CO₂ storage site at Ketzin (Germany). *Energy Procedia* 2011; 4: 6085-6090.
- [14] Möller F, Liebscher A, Martens S, Schmidt-Hattenberger C, Kühn M. Yearly operational datasets of the CO₂ storage pilot site Ketzin, Germany. Scientific Technical Report, GFZ, 2012; doi: 10.2312/GFZ.b103-12066.
- [15] Wiese B, Nimtz M, Möller F, Otto C, Kühn M, Liebscher A, Schmidt-Hattenberger C. Determination of thermodynamics in a CO₂ injection well using pressure and distributed temperature sensing. IAHS Redbook 2012; 355: 286-290.
- [16] Wiese B, Zimmer M, Nowak M, Pellizzari L, Pilz P. Well-based hydraulic and geochemical monitoring of the above zone of the CO₂ reservoir at Ketzin, Germany. *Env Earth Sci* 2013; 70; 3709-3726. doi: 10.1007/s12665-013-2744.
- [17] Baumann G. Determination of displacement and evaporation/precipitation processes via Pulsed Neutron-Gamma (PNG) monitoring for CO₂ storage operations. PhD-Thesis, Fakultät VI - Planen, Bauen, Umwelt, Technical University Berlin, Berlin; 2013.
- [18] Juhlin C, Giese R, Zinck-Jørgensen K, Cosma C, Kazemeini H, Juhojuntti N, Lüth S, Norden B, Förster A. 3D baseline seismics at Ketzin, Germany: the CO₂SINK project. *Geophys* 2007; 72 (5): B121-B132. doi: 10.1190/1.2754667.
- [19] Yang C, Juhlin C, Enescu N, Cosma C, Lüth S. Moving source profile data processing, modelling and comparison with 3D surface seismic data at the CO₂SINK project site, Ketzin, Germany. - *Near Surface Geophys* 2010; 8 (6): 601-610.
- [20] Bergmann P, Yang C, Lüth S, Juhlin C, Cosma C. Time-lapse processing of 2D seismic profiles with testing of static correction methods at the CO₂ injection site Ketzin (Germany). J Appl Geophys 2011; 75: 124-139, doi:10.1016/j.jappgeo.2011.05.005.
- [21] Lüth S, Bergmann P, Cosma C, Enescu N, Giese R, Götz J, Ivanova A, Juhlin C, Kashubin A, Yang C, Zhang F. Time-lapse seismic surface and down-hole measurements for monitoring CO₂ storage in the CO₂SINK project (Ketzin, Germany). *Energy Procedia* 2011; 4: 3435-3442, doi:10.1016/j.egypro.2011.02.268.
- [22] Ivandic M, Yang C, Lüth S, Cosma C, Juhlin C. Time-lapse analysis of sparse 3D seismic data from the CO₂ storage pilot site at Ketzin, Germany. J Appl Geophys 2012; 84: 14-28, doi:10.1016/j.jappgeo.2012.05.010.

- [23] Ivanova A, Juhlin C, Lengler U, Bergmann P, Lüth S, Kempka T. Impact of temperature on CO₂ storage at the Ketzin site based on fluid flow simulations and seismic data. Int J of Greenhouse Gas Control 2013; 19: 775-784, doi: 10.1016/j.ijggc.2013.05.001.
- [24] Xu Z, Juhlin C, Gudmundsson O, Zhang F, Yang C, Kashubin A, Lüth S. Reconstruction of subsurface structure from ambient seismic noise: an example from Ketzin, Germany. *Geophys J Int* 2012; 189 (2): 1085-1102, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.2012.05411.x.
- [25] Zhang F, Juhlin C, Cosma C, Tryggvason A Pratt RG. Cross-well seismic waveform tomography for monitoring CO₂ injection: a case study from the Ketzin Site, Germany. *Geophys J Int* 2012; 189(1): 629-646, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.2012.05375.x.
- [26] Ivanova A, Kashubin A, Juhojuntti N, Kummerow J, Henninges J, Juhlin C, Lüth S, Ivandic M. Monitoring and volumetric estimation of injected CO₂ using 4D seismic, petrophysical data, core measurements and well logging: a case study at Ketzin, Germany. *Geophys Prosp* 2012; 60: 957-973, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2478.2012.01045.x.
- [27] Arts RJ, Brouwer JH, van der Werf M, Noorlandt R., Paap B, Visser W, Vandeweijer V, Lueth S, Giese R, Maas J. Results of a monitoring pilot with a permanent buried multi-component seismic array at Ketzin. *Energy Proceedia* 2011; 4: 3588-3595.
- [28] Arts RJ, Zhang X, Verdel AR, Santonico D, Meekes JAC, Noorlandt RP, Paap BF, Vandeweijer VP. Experiences with a permanently installed seismic monitoring array at the CO₂ storage site at Ketzin (Germany) - A status overview -. *Energy Procedia* 2013; 37: 4015-4023.
- [29] Kiessling D, Schmidt-Hattenberger C, Schuett H, Schilling F, Krueger K, Schoebel B, Danckwardt E, Kummerow J, CO₂SINK Group Geoelectrical methods for monitoring geological CO₂ storage: First results from cross-hole and surface-downhole measurements from the CO₂SINK test site at Ketzin (Germany). Int J of Greenhouse Gas Control 2010; 4 (5): 816-826, doi:10.1016/j.ijggc.2010.05.001.
- [30] Schmidt-Hattenberger C, Bergmann P, Kießling D, Krüger K, Rücker C, Schütt H. Application of a Vertical Electrical Resistivity Array (VERA) for monitoring CO₂ migration at the Ketzin site: First performance evaluation. *Energy Proceedia* 2011; 4: 3363-3370.
- [31] Schmidt-Hattenberger C, Bergmann P, Labitzke T, Schröder S, Krüger K, Rücker C, Schütt H. A modular geoelectrical monitoring system as part of the surveillance concept in CO₂ storage projects, *Energy Proceedia* 2012; 23: 400-407.
- [32] Bergmann P, Schmidt-Hattenberger C, Kiessling D, Rücker C, Labitzke T, Henninges J, Baumann G, Schütt H. Surface-downhole electrical resistivity tomography applied to monitoring of the CO₂ storage Ketzin (Germany). *Geophys* 2012; 77 (6): B 253-B 267.
- [33] Schmidt-Hattenberger C, Bergmann P, Bösing D, Labitzke T, Möller M, Schröder S, Wagner F, Schütt H. Electrical resistivity tomography (ERT) for monitoring of CO₂ migration - from tool development to reservoir surveillance at the Ketzin pilot site. *Energy Procedia* 2013; 37: 4268-4275, doi:10.1016/j.egypro.2013.06.329.
- [34] Bergmann P, Ivandic M, Norden B, Rücker C, Kiessling D, Lüth S, Schmidt-Hattenberger C, Juhlin C. Combination of seismic reflection and constrained resistivity inversion with an application to 4D imaging of the CO₂ storage, Ketzin, Germany. *Geophys* 2014; 79(2), B37-B50. doi: 10.1190/geo2013-0131.1
- [35] Girard JF, Coppo N, Rohmer J, Bourgeois B, Naudet V, Schmidt-Hattenberger C. Time-lapse CSEM monitoring of the Ketzin (Germany) CO₂ injection using 2xMAM configuration. *Energy Proceedia* 2011; 4: 3322-3329, doi:10.1016/j.egypro.2011.02.253.
- [36] Streich R, Becken M, Matzander U, Ritter O. Strategies for land-based controlled-source electromagnetic surveying in high-noise regions. *The Leading Edge* 2011; 30 (10): 1174-1181.
- [37] Grayver AV, Streich R, Ritter O. 3D inversion and resolution analysis of land-based CSEM data from the Ketzin CO₂ storage formation. *Geophys* 2014; 79 (2): E101-E114.
- [38] Zimmer M, Pilz P, Erzinger J. Long-term surface carbon dioxide flux monitoring at the Ketzin carbon dioxide storage test site. *Environ Geosci* 2011; 18: 119-130. doi:10.1306/eg.11181010017.
- [39] Liebscher A, Martens S, Möller F, Kühn M. On-shore CO₂ storage in Germany experiences gained from the Ketzin pilot site, Brandenburg, the sole German national CO₂ storage project. In: Gluyas J, Mathias S, editors. *Geoscience of carbon dioxide (CO₂) storage*. Woodhead Publishing, Cambridge; 2012.
- [40] Myrttinen A, Becker V, van Geldern R, Würdemann H, Morozova D, Zimmer M, Taubald H, Blum P, Barth J. Carbon and oxygen isotope indications for CO₂ behaviour after injection: First results from the Ketzin site (Germany). Int J of Greenhouse Gas Control 2010; 4 (6): 1000-1006. doi:10.1016/j.ijggc.2010.02.005.
- [41] Scherf AK, Zetzl C, Smirnova I, Zettlitzer M, Vieth-Hillebrand A. Mobilisation of organic compounds from reservoir rocks through the injection of CO₂ - Comparison of baseline characterization and laboratory experiments. *Energy Proceedia* 2011; 4: 4524-4531.
- [42] Zimmer M, Erzinger J, Kujawa C, CO₂SINK Group. The gas membrane sensor (GMS): a new methods for gas measurements in deep boreholes applied at the CO₂SINK site. *Int J of Greenhouse Gas Control* 2011; 5: 995-1001.
- [43] Morozova D, Let D, Würdemann H. Analysis of the microbial community from a saline aquifer prior to CO₂ injection in Ketzin using improved Fluorescence in situ Hybridisation method. *Energy Procedia* 2013; 40: 276-284.
- [44] Nowak M, Myrttinen A, Van Geldern R, Becker V, Mayer B, Barth JAC. A brief overview of isotope measurements carried out at various CCS pilot sites worldwide. In: Hou MZ, Xie H, Were P, editors. *Clean Energy Systems in the Subsurface: Production, Storage and Conversion*. Springer; 2013, p. 75-87, doi:10.1007/978-3-642-37849-2 5.
- [45] Lubitz C, Motagh M. TerraSAR-X time-series application to investigate and monitor anthropogenic events: The case studies 'Ketzin' and 'Staufen im Breisgau', 5th TerraSAR-X / 4th TanDEM-X Science Team Meeting. Oberpfaffenhofen, Germany 2013.
- [46] Liebscher A, Martens S, Möller F, Lüth S, Schmidt-Hattenberger C, Kempka T, Szizybalski A, Kühn M. Überwachung und Modellierung der geologischen CO₂-Speicherung - Erfahrungen vom Pilotstandort Ketzin, Brandenburg (Deutschland). *Geotechnik* 2012; 35, 3: 177-186.
- [47] Zimmer M, Erzinger J, Kujawa C, CO₂SINK Group: The gas membrane sensor (GMS): A new method for gas measurements in deep boreholes applied at the CO₂SINK site. *Int J of Greenhouse Gas Control* 2011; 5 (4): 995-1001, doi: 10.1016/j.ijggc.2010.11.007.