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Abstract

We present a case for the exploration of Venus as an astrobiology target—(1) investigations focused on the likelihood that liquid water existed on the surface in the past, leading to the potential for the origin and evolution of life, (2) investigations into the potential for habitable zones within Venus’ present-day clouds and Venus-like exo atmospheres, (3) theoretical investigations into how active aerobiology may impact the radiative energy balance of Venus’ clouds and Venus-like atmospheres, and (4) application of these investigative approaches toward better understanding the atmospheric dynamics and habitability of exoplanets. The proximity of Venus to Earth, guidance for exoplanet habitability investigations, and access to the potential cloud habitable layer and surface for prolonged in situ extended measurements together make the planet a very attractive target for near term astrobiological exploration. Key Words: Venus—Extreme environments—Extremophiles—Life in extreme environments—Search for life (biosignatures). Astrobiology 21, 1163–1185.

1. Introduction

The scientific arguments for life beyond Earth have changed in recent decades with new discoveries and pathfinding measurements. As early as the late 19th century, Proctor (1870) argued for life on many of the planets in the Solar System and remarked, “the forms of life on Venus or in Mars must be in their special characteristics from those existing on our own Earth.” Decades later, Vallentyne (1963) presented arguments on empirical grounds for the ubiquity of life despite extreme environmental conditions. At that time, Venus was considered quite similar to Earth and the possibility of life and vegetation was generally accepted well into the 20th century, based solely on the general similarity to Earth, known and assumed (Arrhenius, 1918). The Mariner and Venera missions in the 1960s shattered this view (Sagan, 1967) when the planet’s surface was discovered to be very hot and dry under a thick atmosphere of mostly carbon dioxide.

The discovery that the Venus atmosphere was far more enriched in deuterium relative to hydrogen, compared with...
Earth’s atmosphere (Donahue et al., 1982), suggested that Venus once had at least 0.3% (volume) of a terrestrial ocean of water on its surface and possibly much more. Since then, a great deal has been learned, discussed, and debated about possible origins of life (on Earth). Recently the possible existence of liquid water for 2–3 billion years (Ga) on the surface of Venus has been suggested (Way et al., 2016; Way and Del Genio, 2020). This raises the possibility that at some time in the past, Earth and Venus were similarly poised for the origin of life as we presently know it (National Academies of Sciences and Engineering Medicine, 2019).

The interest in the possibility of life on Venus is driven not just by curiosity about life originating in another Earth-like environment, but because of the possibility that life may be playing a critical role in the planet’s present, and possibly its past, atmospheric state. The brilliance of Venus in the night sky (as viewed from Earth) is due to its highly reflective cloud cover, about 28 km thick at the equator. Its spectral albedo is about 90% at wavelengths >500 nm, but it drops gradually to about 40% around 370 nm before rising slightly at shorter wavelengths. This albedo drop is due to the presence of several absorbers in the atmosphere and the cloud cover. A very large fraction of the energy absorbed by Venus at ultraviolet (UV) wavelengths with sulfur dioxide above the clouds contributing to the absorption below 330 nm; however, the identities of the other absorbers remain unknown (Pérez-Hoyos et al., 2018; Titov et al., 2018).

The inability to identify the absorbers that are responsible for determining the radiative energy balance of Venus over the last century is a major impediment to understanding how the planet “works,” a major component of NASA’s efforts in planetary exploration. Limaye et al. (2018a) presented a hypothesis suggesting that cloud-based microbial life could be contributors to the spectral signatures of Venus’ clouds, building upon previous suggestions of the possibility of life in the clouds of Venus (Morowitz and Sagan, 1967; Grinspoon, 1997; Cockell, 1999; Schulze-Makuch and Irwin, 2002).

This possibility relies on the origin of life on Venus occurring when it presumably had liquid water oceans. Alternatively, Venus may have been seeded with life originating elsewhere through panspermia—in-falling materials from impacts on other terrestrial worlds (Melosh and Tonks, 1993)—which then survived and evolved in the oceans. It is likely that the early Venus environment was similar to Earth’s at the time life began here and did not present extreme conditions that were hostile to life. McKay et al. (2018) discussed the origin of life on Enceladus as being similar to the ideas debated for Earth—local origin and seeding externally (panspermia) from space. We suggest that the same case can be made for Venus.

Diverse life forms may have evolved and led to survival of a few species as the planet’s environment evolved from Earth-like element to hothouse, with some species drifting upward to a sustained niche in the clouds. There are still many unknowns about the clouds and the lower atmosphere (Cockell et al., 2021) including the presence of trace species and state of chemical equilibrium. Venus’ cloud cover presumably changed from water/ice clouds to the current acidic composition over some unknown period in the past. Terrestrial microorganisms can respond rapidly to changing environmental conditions (Bell and Gonzalez, 2011), and adaptation from hospitable surface oceans to acidic clouds over time as Venus warmed is possible (Kohli et al., 2020) via membrane and protein adaptations (Dhakar and Pandey, 2016; Brininger et al., 2018). McKay (2020) presented an approach to search for life on other worlds and suggested a list of prerequisites for the undertaking of a life detection mission. We do not yet know if Venus met some of these prerequisites in the past or if it meets them now until we learn more about the habitability conditions and availability of essential nutrients Carbon, Hydrogen, Nitrogen, Oxygen, Phosphorous and Sulfur (CHNOPS) and other trace species (Cockell et al., 2021).

Thus, Venus is not yet a target for life detection, but we present a case for a strategy for astrobiology investigation of Venus to ascertain the habitability of its cloud layer.

This article is based on the ideas about habitability presented and discussed at the first workshop on the habitability of the Venus cloud layer organized by the Roscosmos/IKI-NASA Venera-D Joint Science Definition Team in Moscow in October 2019.

We outline a strategy for the exploration of Venus as an astrobiology target—(1) investigations focused on the likelihood that liquid water existed on the surface in the past leading to the potential for the origin and evolution of life, (2) investigations into the potential for habitable zones within Venus’ clouds and Venus-like atmospheres, (3) theoretical investigations into how active aerobiology may impact the radiative energy balance of Venus’ clouds and Venus-like atmospheres, and (4) application of these investigative themes toward better understanding the atmospheric dynamics; chemistry and habitability of exoplanets. We discuss these items below, along with proposed Venus Astrobiology Goals and Objectives, followed by suggestions for measurements for future missions, as those developed by the Venus Exploration Analysis Group (VEXAG), which is organized by NASA as a community-based mechanism to plan for the future exploration of Venus independent of the National Academies’ Planetary Sciences and Astrobiology Decadal Survey currently underway for 2023–2032.

We address the laboratory work that can begin now and provide a brief discussion of potential mission approaches and instruments and the required technical development. The scientific investigation of Venus has also been discussed in two recent reports—Search for Life across Space and Time (National Academies of Sciences and Engineering Medicine, 2017) and “An Astrobiology Strategy for the Search for Life in the Universe” (National Academies of Sciences and Engineering Medicine, 2019).

We begin with the possibility of appreciable surface water oceans in the past (Section 2), and scenarios for life taking hold on Venus (Section 3), followed by a discussion of habitability and polyextremophiles (Section 4). We then review the status of absorbers in the Venus atmosphere and clouds, which affect the radiative balance of the planet (Section 4). We make the case for Venus as an astrobiology target in Section 5. Finally, Section 6 discusses the experiments, measurements, and modeling for the conceptual astrobiology investigation program for Venus and presents a plausible mission architecture that could be implemented incrementally.

2. Presence of Past Liquid Water on Venus and the Beginnings of Life

Based on our understanding of Earth’s biosphere, an essential element for the emergence and survival of life is the
availability of liquid surface and/or groundwater over long geological time periods. For nearly two decades, astrobiology has been partially guided by the principle “follow the water” (Carr and Garvin, 2001; Hubbard et al., 2002) in search of life on other worlds. The presence or history of liquid water, on the surface or beneath it, and in contact with rock on some Solar System bodies, such as Mars, Europa, and Enceladus, and possibly even Ceres, make these worlds attractive targets for astrobiological investigation. Unlike these bodies, direct indications of past water on the Venus surface are few and far between (Kawaja et al., 2020) due to the obscuration of the surface by the global cover and the absence of ultra-high-resolution radar and near-infrared (NIR) compositional mapping. However, the presence of past liquid water is strongly suggested by Donahue et al. (1982). Ivanov and Head (2011, 2013, 2015) presented an overview of the stratigraphy and geological history of the currently exposed geological features and the volcanic and tectonic processes (Byrne et al., 2020) that occurred during the recent history of Venus. Multispectral NIR spectral imaging of emission from the hot surface of Venus is possible through a few narrow spectral windows, but multiple scattering within the clouds and the deep atmosphere limits the spatial resolution to about 50–100 km from orbit (Moroz, 2002; Knicely and Herrick, 2020). Regional (>50 km spatial scale) discrimination of some diagnostic mineral types based on their infrared surface emissivity is possible (Hashimoto and Sugita, 2003; Dyar et al., 2020; Filiberto et al., 2020).

Surface mineralogy is one possible means to indicate the past presence of liquid water on Venus (Ivanov and Head, 1996; Hashimoto et al., 2008; Mueller et al., 2017). The regional rock composition of tessera terrains on Venus (tesserae are the most ancient and heavily deformed terrains preserved in the visible geological record) (Ivanov and Head, 1996, 2011) may attest to the presence of past water (Gilmore et al., 2017, 2019). Granitic tesserae would be a clue to the presence of past water on the basis of the role of water in the fractional crystallization development of such high-silica rocks as outlined in recent articles such as those by Weller and Kiefer (2020) and others.

The first hint that Venus may have had a watery past came in 1978 when its atmospheric composition was measured by the Neutral Mass Spectrometer on the Pioneer Venus Large Probe. The D/H ratio in Venus’ atmosphere below the clouds was found to be 120 times Earth’s (Donahue et al., 1982; de Bergh et al., 1991; Donahue and Hodges, 1992), suggesting that Venus lost a great deal of water to space (Donahue, 1999). We know little about the history of water on Venus or about the possibility of hydrothermal activity on the surface. Head and Wilson (1986) discussed volcanism on Venus during the post-surface ocean period. Kane et al. (2019) provided a succinct account of water loss on Venus. The Venus Express mission (Svedhem et al., 2009) found the D/H ratio above the clouds to be 240 ± 25 times higher than Earth’s (Fedorova et al., 2008) possibly implying even greater loss of water to space over time than estimated from the Pioneer Venus subcloud value of the D/H ratio. Fractionation, outgassing, and impacts determine the water loss, although some impacts could also have brought water to Venus (Grinspoon, 1993; Donahue, 1999). Estimates of escaping H° (Delva et al., 2008) and O° ions can provide some information about how much water has been lost from the interaction with the solar wind (Persson et al., 2020) over time. Venus Express measurements show water escaping from Venus via H° and O° ions (Persson et al., 2018). Masunaga et al. (2019) reported that a majority of the O° escape flux is through the induced magnetotail and the rest through ion pickup processes, but to date, both mechanisms have been insufficiently measured (Futaana et al., 2017). Extrapolating back in time using the current rate of escape of O° ions, Persson et al. (2020) concluded that the escape rates from its present thick CO2 dominated atmosphere and their relation to the upstream solar wind conditions indicate that the escape of ions to space cannot fully explain the evolution of the water in the venusian atmosphere.

Recently, Way and Del Genio (2020) explored the climate history of Venus through numerical models and concluded that solar insolation is not the limiting factor for the longevity of an ocean if a carbonate–silicate rock cycle was at work. They concluded that Venus could have had surface water for >3 Ga. Previous studies suggest that Venus would have had liquid water for periods sufficiently long-lasting for the origin and evolution of life. Grinspoon and Bullock (2003) modeled the early atmosphere of Venus with a one-dimensional radiative transfer model and cloud formation. They found that the last drops of a warm ocean may not have evaporated until 1 or 2 Ga and that this event may be linked to the geological upheaval that erased most of its surface by volcanic resurfacing (Stofan et al., 2005) and impact craters (Phillips et al., 1992; Phillips and Izenberg, 1995). Extending the work of Pollack (1971), Kasting (1988), and Grinspoon and Bullock (2003), Way et al. (2016) concluded that the clouds and atmospheric dynamics of slowly rotating worlds (such as Venus) would mitigate atmospheric temperatures and water loss, and an ocean could have lasted several billion years. Thus, there is a strong possibility that Venus had oceans over long geological periods—as has been hypothesized for Mars (Carr and Head, 2010). There is already convincing evidence for the presence of life on Earth over 3 Ga (Westall et al., 2006, 2019; Baumgartner et al., 2019) and possibly as early as 4.2 Ga (Bell et al., 2015; Dodd et al., 2017). Over such a long period, diverse life forms could have arisen on Venus, but once the planet began to lose its water and warm up, only those microorganisms that could adapt and find a niche in the clouds would have survived. The Venus clouds would appear to be easier to adapt to because of the more conducive environmental conditions over their vertical extent, ambient moisture, nutrients, and sunlight.

It is not known whether Venus lost its water gradually over time through warming or through episodic impacts (e.g., Ahrens, 1993; Kegerreis et al., 2020). However, it is important to learn the history of water on Venus because it contextualizes the potential timeline of the origin of life, and the divergent evolution of the two most similar planets in our solar system. The Venus community comprising VEXAG has prioritized learning the history of water as a major scientific goal (https://www.lpi.usra.edu/vexag/reports/VEXAG_Venus_GOI_Current.pdf). Following many others who have discussed the possibility of life on Venus, we argue that the study of Venus will also have important impacts on our understanding of the origin of life, and thus, Venus must be considered an important extraterrestrial destination for exploration and the advancement of astrobiology studies.
3. Life on Venus: Origins and Panspermia

It is possible that conditions on early Venus were similar to those on primitive Earth when microbial life originated (Lunine, 2006). The presence of surface oceans is believed to provide one possible medium for the requisite development of simple organic compounds from inorganic precursors, facilitated by energetic inputs (Patel et al., 2015). Marshall (2020) discussed the challenges to origins of life presented by water. That life began in the oceans was proposed independently nearly a century ago by Alexander Oparin and J.B.S. Haldane (Oparin, 1959; Fleischaker, 1990; Tirard, 2017). This idea has evolved into origins in shallow bodies of water, which may go through wet and dry spells to counter the idea that the basic molecules of life breakdown in the presence of water. The “inversion” model proposes the origin of life as the enhanced response of prebiotic microsystems to incessant ambient physiochemical fluctuations (Kompanichenko, 2017). Accordingly, a vast ocean of liquid water is not essential for the origins—life could have evolved on land in the presence of water.

It has been proposed that life evolved near Earth’s hydrothermal vents relatively soon after the formation of Earth’s oceans, potentially enhanced by the delivery of extraterrestrial materials (Chyba and Sagan, 1997; Pasek and Lauretta, 2008; Zahnle et al., 2020). Others have proposed that life may have originated in hydrothermal vents, springs, or pools (Deamer and Georgiou, 2015; Damer, 2016; Damer and Deamer, 2019). Resurfacing by volcanic lava flows and tectonism in recent history have been invoked to explain the small number of recognizable impact craters on the surface of Venus (Schaber et al., 1992). Recently, Weller and Kiefer (2020) suggested that Venus may have had a mobile-lid convection and liquid water on the surface for more than 3 Ga from a consideration of the planet’s thermal evolution. Thus, it would appear Venus would have been even more suitable for the origin of life in the presence of past water conditions (Way et al., 2016; Weller and Kiefer, 2020), assuming that there were several active volcanoes globally or seafloor-like spreading in the past when liquid water was on the surface.

Volcanoes are found ubiquitously on Venus (Ivanov and Head, 2013), and there is evidence that volcanism was vigorous in the past (Bullock et al., 1993). Indications of recent volcanism on Venus (Shalygin et al., 2015) are growing and becoming more convincing as well. From modeling of the shapes of the coronae, Gölcher et al. (2020) suggested that Venus is still tectonically active and hence also volcanically active, and evidence has been presented for current (e.g., Shalygin et al., 2015) and recent volcanism (e.g., Bondarenko et al., 2010). It is likely that volcanoes were active in its ancient past (Ivanov and Head, 2013) when it had surface water. With liquid water oceans on the active surface over a few billion years (Way et al., 2016; Way and Del Genio, 2020), hydrothermal vents would have been inevitable. By analogy to Earth, therefore, it appears possible that life could have originated on Venus, at about the same time it originated on Earth as has been speculated previously (Morewitz and Sagan, 1967; Hapke and Nelson, 1975; Shimizu, 1977; Boyer, 1986; Grinspoon, 1997; Cockett, 1999; Schulze-Makuch et al., 2004; Grinspoon and Bullock, 2007; Limaye et al., 2018a).

3.1. Panspermia

It is possible that biogenic material could have been brought to Venus in its early history through impacts (Melosh and Tonks, 1993). There is considerable influx of cosmic dust into Venus every day (Plane et al., 2018), which probably deposited on the land surface before the formation of global cloud cover and possibly into the former hypothesized ocean. From there, it could have been injected into the Venus atmosphere. Frankland et al. (2017) estimated the mass influx into the Venus atmosphere from the Jupiter family of comets to be about 32 tons/day. Turco et al. (1983) suggested that meteoric dust could also act as condensation nuclei for thin ice haze layers in the Venus atmosphere. Gao et al. (2014) considered the condensation of photochemically formed sulfuric acid onto meteoric dust for explaining the observed size distribution of the aerosol particles in the mesosphere from 70 to 90 km. Aerosols formed on meteoric dust cloud condensation nuclei would be suspended indefinitely for particles with diameters <10 μm (Garvin, 1981), and larger particles would settle over months. Garvin (1990) estimated the thickness of the global sediment of fine dust accumulated in the last 1 Gyr from impacts seen in Magellan and Arecibo radar data to be 1–2 mm, comparable to Earth (larger by a factor of 2–4). Together, these analyses provide for an alternate origin of a Venus biosphere, apart from independent surface genesis.

3.2. Chemical disequilibrium

The presence of life is generally associated with chemical disequilibrium (Baum, 2018). Among the primary measures required to assess the habitability of Venus’ clouds is the extent of chemical disequilibria across the aerosol and gas phases, and between the surface and atmosphere. Barge et al. (2017) argued that life only emerges when and where particular planetary scale conditions of chemical disequilibria are produced through the interactions of the atmosphere and hydrosphere. Calculations by Krissansen-Totton et al. (2016) suggest that the free energy available in Venus’ atmosphere is ~2000-fold lower than that of Mars. On a global scale, therefore, these calculations effectively lower any potential for a habitable zone within Venus’ clouds. However, the available in situ measurements from Venus’ atmosphere (Johnson and de Oliveira, 2019) show potential signs of chemical disequilibria.

The recent reports of the potential existence of phosphine near 60 km altitude in the Venus atmosphere from Earth-based observations (Greaves et al., 2020a, 2020b) have led to a reexamination of the Pioneer Venus Large Probe Neutral Mass Spectrometer (PV LNMS) data, which has revealed many examples of disequilibria involving nitrogen species (Mogul et al., 2021). The detection of phosphine has been questioned along with its vertical abundance profile (Cockell et al., 2020; Encrnaz et al., 2020; Villanueva et al., 2020; Lincowski et al., 2021) and defended (Greaves et al., 2020c), and more measurements are needed while other past data do indicate the presence of P-bearing compounds (Andreichikov et al., 1987; Krasnopolsky, 1989, 2006; Mogul et al., 2021). Similarly, NH₃ was detected by the Venera-8 Gas...
Chromatograph (Surkov et al., 1973), which is not expected to exist in the Venus atmosphere under chemical equilibrium (Goettel and Lewis, 1974) but can exist under chemical disequilibrium (Florenskii et al., 1978; von Zahn and Moroz, 1985). Together, these suggest a potential for local disequilibria (Zolotov, 1991a, 1991b), rather than global, within the clouds—which could serve as a driver for niche habitats. Additionally, measured abundances of H₂ (Johnson and de Oliveira, 2019) at altitudes of <140 km of 10 ppm are ~4700-fold higher than the equilibrium abundances predicted in the model of Krissansen-Totton et al. (2016). In contrast, this model yields abundances for the major atmospheric constituents of Venus (CO₂, N₂, SO₂, and CO; at ~50 km) that are essentially equivalent to measured values, which lends support to H₂ abundances serving as an indicator of disequilibrium. Furthermore, in Venus' atmosphere, measured abundances of O₂ are <50 ppm at altitudes of <60 km, whereas abundances of methane (CH₄) are 980 ppm at altitudes of >50 km (Johnson and de Oliveira, 2019). These diverse chemical signatures reflect the lack of adequate measurements of trace species in the Venus atmosphere. We posit that assessments of disequilibria via remote spectroscopy will not adequately capture the chemical dynamics within the lower and middle cloud layers. Measurements of vertical abundance profiles (cloud tops to surface) of minor and trace species are needed to better understand the range of chemistries at work and sustained within the non-ideal conditions of Venus’ cloud layer. In the foreseeable future, the DAVINCI+ mission (Garvin et al., 2020b), currently under consideration for NASA’s Discovery Program competition, and the proposed Venera-D mission are expected to carry analytical instruments (e.g., mass spectrometers, tunable laser spectrometers), which can provide such measurements.

4. Microorganisms as Possible Absorbers of Solar Radiation in the Clouds

Because of its high Bond albedo (0.76), Venus absorbs less energy from the Sun than Earth does at present, despite being in a closer orbit. Figure 1 shows the spectrum of Venus between 280 and 4000 nm (Kuiper, 1969). This albedo spectrum indicates increasing absorption below about 500 nm. Weaker absorption occurs over a wider range of wavelengths beyond 500 nm.

The spectral albedo is 0.9 or higher at λ > 550 nm but is much lower at the UV end, between 0.2 and 0.5 (Fig. 1). The bulk of the energy that is not reflected to space by the planet is absorbed in the thick cloud layer between ~72 and 48 km (0.2–10 atm), with only about 4% reaching the surface at noon (Moroz et al., 1983). It has been suggested that between 57 and 70 km nearly all the radiation at UV wavelengths is absorbed (Crisp, 1986) as little UV downward flux was observed by the nephelometers on the Pioneer Small Probes (Ragent and Blamont, 1979; Ragent et al., 1985). However, later measurements by the Venera 14 filter photometer detected radiation between 320 and 390 nm down to 48 km (Ekonomov et al., 1983, 1984). These measurements also indicated the presence of different UV absorbers above and below 57 km. Subsequently, night-side presence of the UV absorbers in the clouds down to 47 km altitude was confirmed by the Izmeritel’ Spektrov Atmosfery Venery (ISAV) instruments on the VeGa 1 and VeGa 2 landers, which entered the atmosphere at local midnight (Bertaux et al., 1996). These instruments measured the atmospheric absorption by continuously drawing it into an internal 1-m long tube, illuminating it between 220 and 400 nm with a Xenon lamp and measuring the absorption spectrum.

Despite many attempts, the search for the identity of the UV absorbers has not been successful (Esposito et al., 1983;
et al. (2018); Krasnopolsky et al., 2012, 2013, 2016, 2017, 2018). The models are imperfect; while the reaction rates are mostly known, chemical equilibrium coefficients are not exhaustive, and equilibrium conditions are implicit. The S isotope abundances in chemical equilibrium coefficients are not exhaustive, and equilibrium conditions are implicit. The S isotope abundances in the cloud layer have not been quantified, and the key roles of S species remain to be evaluated. Furthermore, none of these models have included biological transformations involving sulfur (i.e., sulfur-based metabolism), which could potentially account for the observed spectral absorptions. Identification of candidate biosignatures for the Venus clouds cover is needed.

In this context, Limaye et al. (2018a) proposed that the biochemical constituents of hypothetical microorganisms in Venus’ clouds could contribute to the absorption of the incident solar radiation. Straightforward comparisons show reasonable overlaps between Venus’ spectra and the absorption of ferroproteins (e.g., Fe [heme] and FeS groups between 250 and 500 nm), photosynthetic pigments (e.g., chlorophylls between 250 and 700 nm), biochemicals found in green sulfur bacteria (e.g., absorption of carotenoids and biotin and biotin at 250 and 700 nm), and lipids (e.g., absorption of C-H at ∼2.3 μm).

Although Fig. 1 shows that only weak absorption occurs longward of 400 nm, it is important to know whether chemical species producing the underlying continuum absorption shortward of 300 nm also contribute weakly to absorption properties evident longward of 400 nm to explain the weaker contrasts seen at the longer wavelengths in day side images and in NIR wavelengths in the night side images. This absorption may also combine with the CO₂ and H₂O absorptions identified at visible and NIR wavelengths. The decrease in albedo beyond λ > 2.5 μm is not fully understood (Krasnopolsky, 1983) and can only be resolved by identifying the composition and nature of the unknown continuum absorption source at the short wavelengths, and potential absorbers at long wavelengths. It is likely that there is more than one chemical or biochemical species, as has been postulated by multiple researchers over the decades (Travis, 1975; Pollack et al., 1980; Pérez-Hoyos et al., 2018). Spatial and spectral contrast patterns may be used as a key constraint on properties of absorber candidates, whether organic, inorganic, or biological, and the limiting conditions that control their evolution.

The temporal evolution of Venus’ UV bright and dark cloud top patterns on short (Limaye et al., 2018b) and long timescales (Lee et al., 2015, 2019) is linked to dynamics (see, e.g., Toon et al., 1982) and may also be related to microphysics and other chemical properties. The zone of large-scale horizontal divergence in the subellar region is darker in UV images on average and areas of convergence are brighter (Limaye, 1988) from Pioneer Venus data. Analysis of similar data from Akatsuki is underway while Bertaux et al. (2016) report from Venus Express UV images that show the UV albedo is correlated with topography. It remains to be confirmed as the longitudinal sampling of UV images is not uniform from the Venus Express data (Lee et al., 2019). Similar investigation on smaller scale with more frequent images (every 2–30 min) at 1–10 km pixel scale and mapping of trace species may reveal more insights into the evolution of the contrasts and thereby provide clues to their origins. Further study of the correlations between gas species distributions and other chemical cycles and atmospheric properties may ultimately help us define the identity of the absorber. Even so, current studies of the cloud optical properties indicate that the aerosol particle sizes within dark and bright regions are equivalent. In light of this result, the question of what specific property of the absorber creates and supports the dramatic level of spectral contrast (Lee et al., 2017; Limaye et al., 2018a, 2018b) observed within the clouds remains a persistent mystery.

Hapke and Guérin (1975) investigated the spectra of several proposed candidate absorbers and found that sulfur-containing models provided reasonable fits to the UV absorption. They also pointed out that many examples of anaerobic, terrestrial microorganisms are known in which the reduction or oxidation of various forms of sulfur are important sources of energy in their metabolisms. If these microorganisms do not find conditions in the clouds totally inimical, their effect on the energy balance of the planet may not be negligible. Their implicit assumption is that similar microorganisms may exist in the clouds of Venus.

Boyer and Guérin (1969) used spectral contrast patterns seen in Earth-based telescopic images of Venus to infer the superrotation of Venus’ atmosphere. Such contrasts seen in spacecraft images are still used to track zonal motions (e.g., Limaye and Suomi, 1981; Horinouchi et al., 2018) to infer the global structure of the atmospheric circulation. Boyer (1986) suggested that, if phototrophic organisms contribute to the dark (solar absorbing) regions, then they would influence variations in the zonal wind speeds. The effect of the vertical distribution of the UV absorbers on the radiative balance of Venus was investigated by using parameterized optical properties of the unknown absorbers from the works of Haus et al. (2017) and Pérez-Hoyos et al. (2018). Optical measurements reveal that submicron particles are present throughout the layered structure of the clouds along with micron-sized (and larger) particles in the lower clouds (Knollenberg et al., 1980; Ekonomov et al., 1983; Knollenberg, 1984; Moshkin et al., 1986). The residence times of any microorganisms in the clouds depend on their size. Submicron-sized particles can reside for an indefinite amount of time while the larger particles will fall out. Seager et al. (2020) proposed that the persistence of life can be sustained by a reservoir below the clouds of spores or spore-like bodies formed from desiccating larger organisms as they fall out of the cloud layer and some of them may get lofted to the clouds to sustain the life cycle.
Since the lower size limit for microorganism spores is comparable to the submicron-sized particles found throughout the cloud layer, it is possible that they themselves may also be spores. Puzzling, of course, is the observed trimodal distribution of the particles in the clouds and how biology may explain it. Thus, the vertical distribution of the absorbers may be an important constraint in the investigations of the vertical recycling of particles (abiotic or biotic) within the cloud layer (Limaye et al., 2018a; Bullock and Grinspoon, 2019; Seager et al., 2020).

4.1. Potential for a habitable zone for polyextremophiles in Venus’ clouds

The surface conditions on Venus today are hostile not only to organic molecules but also to the preservation of most biosignatures, as they are currently understood. A plausible scenario for a Venus habitable zone is that microorganisms from the hospitable conditions of the past surface ocean were transported to the clouds and adapted to the local extreme conditions, as surface conditions became inhospitable (Limaye et al., 2018a). Such microorganisms would be considered polyextremophiles (Seckbach and Rampelotto, 2015) by terrestrial standards and can be considered in the context of the limits of known life in Earth’s extreme environments. Alternately, the existence of subsurface high-pressure water refugia has been discussed (Schulze-Makuch and Irwin, 2002) as have alternative biochemistries compatible with Venus surface conditions, such as those based on supercritical CO$_2$ as a polar solvent (Budisa and Schulze-Makuch, 2014). The prevailing interest, however, is on the possible transition from ocean-based life to an ecosystem based in the dense persistent clouds (Morowitz and Sagan, 1967; Grinspoon and Bullock, 2007; Limaye et al., 2018a). If, following Morowitz and Sagan (1967), one assumes that the conditions of early Venus were similar to conditions when life on Earth originated, the likelihood of such a transition depends on factors including (A) the duration of the ocean era, (B) the overlap of the ocean era with the formation of a potentially habitable cloud layer, (C) the availability of sufficient energetic and biochemical inputs to the cloud layer after the loss of liquid water bodies on the surface, and (D) the subsequent short- or long-term adaptation processes toward survival in suspended aerosols in the warm acidic clouds.

Venus’ current global, layered cloud cover consists mainly of three different-sized particles (Ragent et al., 1985) with mean diameters ranging from $\sim 0.5 \mu m$ (Mode 1), approximately 2–3 $\mu m$ (Mode 2 and 2'), to $>3 \mu m$ (Mode 3). The exact composition of the smallest particles, which are likely spherical, is uncertain but suggested to be sulfuric acid solutions containing various minerals. These are more prevalent at higher altitudes, with the 2–3 $\mu m$-sized particles found throughout the cloud layer, and the largest particles found near the bottom of the cloud layer (Titov et al., 2018). The abundance of water in the aerosols peaks near the base of the clouds at $42 \text{ km}$ and is about 0.52% mole fraction (Oyama et al., 1980). The temperature range across the lower clouds is well within growth limits for terrestrial microbes (Domagal-Goldman et al., 2016), from $\sim 100^\circ C$ at $47 \text{ km}$ to approximately $-20^\circ C$ ($18^\circ C$ to $-22^\circ C$) at $62 \text{ km}$; similarly, pressure (Kato et al., 1998; Nicholson et al., 2010), UV and high-energy radiation flux (Cockell, 1999; Dartnell et al., 2015), and photonic energy (Raven and Cockell, 2006) do not appear to be limiting, and hypothetical redox-based nutrient cycling and phototrophy have been proposed (Schulze-Makuch et al., 2004; Limaye et al., 2018a).

Potential habitability of the current Venus cloud layer was assessed by Cockell (1999) and considered to be favorable for terrestrial microbial-type life. High above the Venus surface with 93 bar pressure and 750 K temperature, the cloud layers between $\sim 48$ and 70 km present temperatures and pressures comparable to conditions found in terrestrial clouds (Table 1) where microorganisms have been detected or isolated (Amato et al., 2007a; Christner et al., 2008; Joly et al., 2013). The effective pH of the aerosols can be interpreted differently given the extremes of $>80\%$ sulfuric acid solutions (Grinspoon and Bullock, 2007; Seager et al., 2020). Even under the most generous values of $-1.5$ to $1$, the pH range is near the limit where only a few lineages of archaea (Johnson and Hallberg, 2008) and eukaryotic acidophiles such as Cyanidium (Rothschild and Mancinelli, 2001) are known to survive under Venus-like CO$_2$-based conditions (Seckbach and Libby, 1970). Such acidophilic archaea are among the oldest organisms on Earth (Woese, 1998). Similarly, $>75\%$ sulfuric acid corresponds to a very low water activity ($a_w$) of $<0.02$ (Gentry and Dahlgren, 2019), substantially below that of even the most saturated brines (Bolluius et al., 2006) and at best on par with Earth’s driest deserts (Kieft, 2003). Sulfuric acid abundances in Venus’ aerosols are not based on direct measurements but rather from the index of refraction deduced from polarisation data (Hansen and Hovenier, 1974; Rossi et al., 2015), and optical glory feature data (Markiewicz et al., 2014), along with computational simulations (Krasnopolsky, 2015) and compatible with vapor abundances determined from radio occultation profiles (Steffes and Eshleman, 1982). Yet, recent observations indicate higher index of refraction values, thereby suggesting the presence of other chemicals in the presumed sulfuric acid droplets (Markiewicz et al., 2014, 2018). Priorities for future Venus studies, therefore, include direct in situ measurements (Limaye et al., 2018a) in the cloud layer of the concentrations of sulfuric acid, water, and hydronium ion in the aerosols, with spectral comparisons to elucidate the potential for contributions from derivatives of sulfate including HSO$_3^{-}$, organosulfates, and sulfate diesters.

The measured size and assumed spherical shape of Venus aerosols have been used to calculate an upper limit for the potential density of airborne cells based on the physical constraints of the aerosols, with values of approximately $10^{10}$–$10^{13}$ cells/m$^3$ for 2 and 8 $\mu m$-sized particles, respectively (Modes 2' and 3) (Knollenberg and Hunten, 1980a; Limaye et al., 2018a). Measurements of aerosol shape, size, and residence time remain key subjects for future investigations. As discussed further below, these studies are especially important in an astrobiological context due to the typically long generation times (weeks to months) for many terrestrial extremophiles under stressed conditions, particularly in low-water environments (Stevenson et al., 2015). A summary of known habitability factors is provided in Table 1.

Earth’s atmosphere, as an analogue environment, cannot yet be considered a permanent habitat for life, as reproduction/division have yet to been seen in the aerial environment, although microorganisms are known to be transported over large distances (Smith, 2013; Irwin and Schulze-Makuch,
2020). Nevertheless, various suggestions for sustained life in Venus’ cloud layer have been proposed (Morowitz and Sagan, 1967; Hapke and Nelson, 1975; Shimizu, 1977; Grinspoon, 1997; Schulze-Makuch et al., 2004; Limaye et al., 2018a; Seager et al., 2020). Unlike in Earth’s atmosphere, in which warm tropospheric clouds are generally transient, and higher altitude aerosols with longer residence times are much colder and drier, Venus’ lower/middle clouds are warm, whereas the aerosols may remain afloat for longer periods, in part due to global circulation, convection and gravity waves, and definitive measurements from long duration aerial platforms are needed to confirm this. Some of these suggestions have included models of coupled and/or noncoupled iron- and sulfur-centered metabolic pathways (Schulze-Makuch et al., 2004; Limaye et al., 2018a) powered by phototrophic reduction of $\text{CO}_2$ for long-term survival in Venus cloud aerosols, with observable $\text{CH}_4$ in Venus’ clouds being a probable product of the global geochemical and/or metabolic cycles.

Fluxes of gases required for metabolic processes could occur through chemicals released in recent times by volcanoes such as sulfur dioxide, as suggested (Esposito et al., 1988; Marcq et al., 2020) to explain the decrease of $\text{SO}_2$ above cloud tops (on a decadal scale); active and recurrent volcanism certainly occurred in the past (Ivanov and Head, 2013; Shalygin et al., 2015). Furthermore, thermomechanical modeling of the coronae on the Venus surface indicates that Venus is tectonically active today with active plumes (Gücker et al., 2020). Such injections of sulfur dioxide at altitudes of tens of km above the surface are known to occur on Earth (Pyle, 2012) and have been discussed in articles on explosive volcanism on Venus (Wilson and Head, 1983; Head and Wilson, 1986; Glaze, 1999; Airey et al., 2015).

On Earth, microorganisms in clouds are known to be transported over long distances over time, with the aerial environment being generally hospitable, except at high altitudes where UV radiation presents a challenge (Smith et al., 2011, 2013). Airborne metabolic activity has so far only been detected in warm, low-altitude cloud water samples (Amato et al., 2019), but viable bacteria have also been detected as high as the aerosol layer (Smith et al., 2018) within Earth’s stratosphere (Junge et al., 1961a, 1961b). The chemistry of this stratospheric aerosol layer is comparable to the sulfuric acid chemistry of Venus’ clouds (Prinn and Fegley, 1987), with relative isolation from surface water and nutrient sources, relatively long residence times, and cool temperatures. The stratosphere, which is still relatively unexplored, could therefore serve as a worthwhile terrestrial analogue for researching the potential limits, properties, and survival strategies of cloud-based microorganisms (Gentry and Dahlgren, 2019).

Viable microbes recovered from stratospheric air samples are very sparse and largely limited to metabolically inactive forms that are able to survive extended desiccation and UV exposure. While cell densities in the stratosphere can reach $\sim 10^5 \text{ cells/m}^3$ (Bryan et al., 2019), values in the cloud-forming regions of the lower troposphere can reach $\sim 10^{11} \text{ cells/m}^3$ (Amato et al., 2007b). Interestingly, these tropospheric values are similar to maximum cell density estimates for Venus’ lower clouds of $10^5$–$10^{10} \text{ cells/m}^3$, which were calculated by using measured densities of Mode 2 and 3 particles (1 and 4 $\mu\text{m}$ radii, respectively), an average presumed cellular volume of $1 \mu\text{m}^3$, and a cell density of 1.041 $\text{ g/cm}^3$. On Earth, cloud water, under optimal conditions, can carry $10^5$–$10^{10} \text{ cells/mL}$, and the total volume of the Mode 2 and 3 particles is

### Table 1. Terrestrial Habitability Bounds and Nominal Venus Atmospheric Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenge</th>
<th>Microbial reproduction limits</th>
<th>Microbial activity limits</th>
<th>Venus’ conditions ($\sim$47–57 km)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature ($^\circ\text{C}$)</td>
<td>$-12 \text{ to } 121^{a,b}$</td>
<td>Less than $-40 \text{ to } 121^{b}$</td>
<td>$0 \text{ to } 100^e$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure (kPa)</td>
<td>5 to 100,000$^{c,d,e}$</td>
<td>$2.5 \text{ to } 130,000^{d,f}$</td>
<td>$120 \text{ to } 80^e$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acidity/alkalinity (pH)</td>
<td>$\sim 0 \text{ to } 12^g$</td>
<td>$\sim 0.06 \text{ to } 12^{h,i}$</td>
<td>Approximately $-1.5 \text{ to } 0.5^g$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV flux (315–400 nm; W/m$^2$)</td>
<td>$&lt;30 \text{ to } 50^j$</td>
<td>$&lt;57^j$</td>
<td>$50 \text{ to } 53^j$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photosynthetic photon flux density:</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>$0.01 \text{ to } 8000^k$</td>
<td>$2200 \text{ to } 2500$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water activity ($a_w$)</td>
<td>0.6 to $\sim 1^l$</td>
<td>$&gt;0.585 \text{ to } \sim 1^l$</td>
<td>$\sim 0.02^{m,n}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An enduring biosphere requires that organisms be able to reproduce; the range of areas in which short-term or transitory biological activity can occur may be larger. Reproductive limits of terrestrial biology can be inferred empirically from the most extreme habitats where organisms are known to undergo a complete life cycle. See Rothschild and Mancinelli (2001) for classification and examples of extremophiles.

*a*Krumel et al. (2014).

*b*Domagal-Goldman et al. (2016).


*d*Nicholson et al. (2010).


*f*Schleper et al. (1996); Baker and Banfield (2003); Sun et al. (2019).

*g*Assuming sulfuric acid mixed with water (Grinspoon and Bullock, 2007).

*h*This reproduction value represents a typical terrestrial flux (Liu et al., 2007).

*i*This value represents the 37% survival after irradiation at 315–400 nm for *Deinococcus radiodurans* R1 when using unshielded samples (Pogoda de la Vega et al., 2005). Data exist on longer term low-dose effects; most “highly radiation resistant” organisms have been studied in the context of short-term high-dose exposure regimes rather than continuous culture.

*j*This value represents the cumulative irradiance between 315 and 400 nm (using 20 nm intervals) across the middle and lower clouds of Venus at noon, at low latitudes.

*k*Raven and Cockell (2006).

*l*Grant et al. (2004); Bollihu et al. (2006); Connon et al. (2007).

*m*Assuming 75% w/w sulfuric acid (Deno and Taft, 1954).

$n$Water activity.
the upper clouds. The cloud-based microorganisms hypothesized by Limaye et al. (2018a) are recycled between lower and upper extremes of the cloud layer through merging and dividing cloud droplets, which theoretically maintains access to water and nutrients through bulk mixing. However, the acidity within the aerosols is likely much greater than the reported pH range of −1.5 to 0.5 estimated for the clouds between 48 and 65 km (Grinspoon and Bullock, 2007; Seager et al., 2020). Spores may act as active cloud condensation nuclei (Bullock, 2018) and may comprise the small particles in the upper clouds.

Table 1 shows the most biologically limiting individual physical conditions in Venus’ cloud layers from available observations (Titov et al., 2018). These numbers should not collectively be considered as a simple sum of individual limits, as temperature, pressure, solute concentration, water activity, freezing, and boiling points all interact; and Venus contains combinations of such multiple physical extremes not found in terrestrial environments. In addition, small-scale effects such as shadowing, turbulence, and phase transitions can create microenvironments dramatically different from the larger-scale locale. Furthermore, polyextremophiles on Earth show tradeoffs in adaptation that indicate further habitability limits: for example, growth at pH 0 has not been observed above 65°C, and growth above 100°C has only been observed at high pressures (Dartnell et al., 2015; Merino et al., 2019). Whether these are intrinsic biological limits, limits particular to known terrestrial biology, a consequence of the distribution of terrestrial extreme environments, or simply the current evolutionary boundaries is unknown (Capece et al., 2013; Harrison et al., 2013).

Bearing these caveats in mind, Table 1 shows that the temperature, pressure, UV, and available photonic energy estimated to be within Venus’ major cloud layers are inside the bounds of terrestrial microbial habitability and available trace species and aerosol measurements (which are globally insufficient given spatial/temporal variability). We point the readers to the works of Horikoshi and Bull (2011) and Rothschild and Mancinelli (2001) for further classification and examples of terrestrial extremophiles. Similarly, other frequently considered constraints such as ionizing radiation, cosmic radiation, and periodic solar activity have been previously reviewed and determined to likely not present a survival challenge (Nordheim et al., 2015; Plainaki et al., 2016; Herbst et al., 2020). However, water activity, residence time, and elemental abundances remain significant, and fundamental constraints to extant biology in Venus’ clouds and additional definitive measurements are needed.

Water activity might be the most severe constraint to life as we know it in the Venus atmosphere (Gentry and Dahlgren, 2019; Cockell et al., 2021). More representative measurements of D/H ratio from the cloud tops to the surface and water vapor abundance would be very useful, and the proposed aerosol sampling mass spectrometer (Baines et al., 2021) can provide direct estimates of the water activity. On Venus, most of the atmospheric liquid water is dissolved in sulfuric acid droplets in the clouds. Estimates of the water fraction of these droplets range up to 25%, due to the hygroscopic nature of H₂SO₄, but this is still only equivalent to a water activity (a_w) of 0.02, on par with the driest terrestrial environments known, for example, the Atacama Desert (Cris-Cristoph et al., 2013; Schulze-Makuch et al., 2018). Life in such environments must expend considerable energy to further concentrate water. For example, endoliths commonly use hygroscopic salts such as NaCl (Davila and Schulze-Makuch, 2016; Jung et al., 2019); and according to Maus et al. (2020), some archaea remain active by relying on water obtained through deliquescence (when the relative humidity levels allow this process to occur).

Airborne terrestrial microorganisms have been found to have surface properties that allow them to preferentially nucleate water and ice (Bauer et al., 2003). The presence of enhanced water fractions in Venus aerosols, or the accumulation of additional hygroscopic compounds, could thus be a possible biosignature. If microbial life exists in Venus’ clouds today, it likely migrated from the oceans and into the aerosols by the action of surface winds or even raindrops in the hospitable past (Blanchard, 1964; Wilson et al., 2015; Joung et al., 2017). On Earth, the planetary surface provided a rich habitat, whereas on Mars, life may have found refuge in the subsurface and on Venus, environmental adaptations may have driven life into the lower cloud layer as the last possible habitat on a warming planet (Schulze-Makuch et al., 2013). Seeding of the cloud layers could result from present-day volcanic activity (Shalygin et al., 2015; Gülcher et al., 2020). Explosive eruptions (Glaze et al., 2011) and outgassing could introduce both sulfur dioxide and water vapor into the lower atmosphere via topographically induced standing gravity waves (Young et al., 1994; Bertaux et al., 2016; Fukuhara et al., 2017; Kouyama et al., 2017; Kitahara et al., 2019) and global circulation.

When considering a sporadic influx of water and nutrients, a potential Venus biosphere could have adapted to desiccation by undergoing extended periods of inactivity between brief injections of water; as is the typical survival strategy of terrestrial xerophiles. Spore formation as a strategy for survival in this type of environment is an additional possibility, as has been discovered recently on Earth (Morono et al., 2020) and has been suggested for Venus (Seager et al., 2020). As desiccated spores may be much smaller than active cell forms, the submicron haze particles found in the upper clouds and above, although below the typical size range of many microbes, could still possibly carry or consist of such spores.

Residence time in the cloud layer is therefore an important consideration. Earth’s atmosphere is not generally considered a habitat in the traditional sense, although microbial life is regularly detected throughout the troposphere and stratosphere (Smith et al., 2018), with some reports of active growth and metabolism in warm low-altitude clouds (Amato et al., 2019). Cloud-borne microbes on Earth are transitory and always return to the surface via wet or dry deposition (Reche et al., 2018). For microorganisms to inhabit the Venus cloud layer, a vertically dynamic life cycle would potentially be required (Limaye et al., 2018a; Seager et al., 2020). In this hypothetical
global cycle, reproduction or division by airborne microbes would likely need to occur faster than the continual loss of larger aerosol droplets over time since larger particles would ultimately fall below the base of the clouds, evaporate, potentially degrade, and re-aerosolize into the cloud layers. In other words, for an airborne biosphere to persist without a surface reservoir habitat, the mean residence time, including vertical cycling, must exceed the mean generation time, including periods of inactivity. Seager et al. (2020) proposed that the lower haze layer (below the clouds) may be a reservoir of such aerosolized spores, which may then act to seed the lower clouds. This is especially relevant in light of the typically long generation times (weeks to months) of many terrestrial extremophiles, particularly at low water activities (Stevenson et al., 2015), and the relative favorability of a Venus ecosystem model with long periods of metabolic and reproductive inactivity. Diurnal abundance profiles of the trace species throughout the clouds and below, down to the surface, are very much needed in this context.

The last major unknown likely to constrain the habitability of Venus aerosols is the question of nutrient availability. “CHNOPS” are considered to comprise the basic palette of biological building blocks, which must be present not only in elemental abundance but also in a bioavailable form (e.g. oxidation state and chemical form). This is particularly important for nitrogen, which only specialized microbes on Earth are capable of fixing, and phosphorus, which must generally be taken up in the form of phosphate (Dixon and Kahn, 2004; Hirota et al., 2010; Milojevic et al., 2020). In addition, thermoacidophiles on Earth commonly rely on Fe and other metals for metabolism. Venus aerosol composition is known to include H, O, and S in some abundance (H2O, H2SO4), and the Venus atmosphere contains plentiful C and N (CO2, N2). Phosphorus and iron have been inferred by X-ray fluorescence in the sampled cloud particles by the VeGa 1 and 2 landers during their descent (Andreichikov et al., 1999; Krasnopolsky, 2017). The presence of phosphorous compounds has also been recently discovered unambiguously in new interpretations of the PV LNMS data (Mogul et al., 2021). Milojevic et al. (2020) proposed that extreme acidification of airborne phases in Venus’ atmosphere ensures a certain amount of soluble P that can be bioavailable for a potential ecosystem in the clouds. Obtaining CHNOPS profiles of abundances, including biologically important transition metals such as Fe and Cu, should be a focus for in situ sampling by future aerial platform and descent probe missions to Venus.

5. Venus, an Essential Astrobiology Target for Exploration

Did early Venus have the conditions necessary for life to arise? Looking ahead, this question should be addressed as it has been for other astrobiological targets such as Mars, Europa, and Enceladus, inclusive of exoplanets. Critical issues pertaining to all these targets include assessments of past and current water availability, detection of chemical indicators of past or current life, accurate modeling of abiotic geochemical and geological processes, and in situ confirmation of findings obtained from remote spectroscopy. Beyond these solar system targets, Venus also offers some value for exoplanet astrobiology investigations (Kane et al., 2019). Accordingly, the immediate astrobiology objectives (in alignment with VEXAG goals) and the National Academies’ Strategy for the search for life in the Universe (National Academies of Sciences and Engineering Medicine, 2019) for Venus can be identified as:

1. To better understand the geochemical and geological (volcanism) forces that influence radiative energy balance and cloud dynamics.
2. To better constrain the timelines framing (i) the formation of potential surface water bodies, (ii) subsequent rates of water loss to the atmosphere, and (iii) formation of stable cloud layers.
3. To obtain detailed physical, chemical, and biological characterizations of the cloud aerosols, inclusive of:
   a. abundances of biologically relevant elements (CHNOPS and transition metals), phosphorous oxides, and low-molecular-weight chemicals (e.g., H2O, H2SO4, NOx, CH4, PH3, and H2) within the cloud layers, inclusive of vertical profiles and fluxes,
   b. abundances within the cloud layers, inclusive of vertical profiles and fluxes,
   c. microscopic imaging and characterization of the aerosols, and
   d. biological investigations when and if feasible.
4. To validate findings from remote spectroscopy by using terrestrial geological, atmospheric, geochemical, biochemical, and photochemical–biological experimental models.
5. To validate the findings on trace species abundances from remote observations and modeling by spatially distributed in situ measurements at different local times, from at least 70 km down to the surface.

These goals are holistically consistent with those developed by VEXAG. Table 2 presents a notional traceability matrix for astrobiology goals and investigations relating to those described in the Goals, Objectives, and Investigations (GOI; https://www.lpi.usra.edu/vexag/reports/VEXAG_Venus_GOI_Current.pdf) document (updated most recently in 2019).

The current VEXAG GOI document (2019) articulates habitability as its first goal—“Understand Venus’ early evolution and potential habitability to constrain the evolution of Venus-sized (exo) planets.” As per the VEXAG document, the first objective (I.A), as part of this initial goal, is “Did Venus have temperate surface conditions and liquid water at early times?” The associated investigations aimed at meeting this goal are relevant to Astrobiology Objectives 1 and 2 outlined above, which pertain to the past and present habitability of Venus:

- **VEXAG Investigation I.A.HO. Hydrous Origins:**
  - For Venus astrobiology, surface rock composition (NIR mapping), and geomorphology (radar mapping) of tessera to reveal geological processes that formed them, and elucidate the presence and perhaps extent of any past water ocean.
- **VEXAG Investigation I.A.AL. Atmospheric Losses:**
  - For Venus astrobiology, it is important to determine how long liquid water was present on the surface and how and when the water was lost. Some clues can be obtained from the atmospheric loss estimates by sampling of ions from different orbits near and far from Venus.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Venus astrobiology goal</th>
<th>Venus astrobiology objective (VAO)</th>
<th>Venus astrobiology investigation</th>
<th>Measurements/ modeling/theory</th>
<th>VEXAG investigations</th>
<th>VEXAG objective</th>
<th>VEXAG goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VAO.I.B. When did it become inhospitable on the surface by losing its liquid water ocean?</td>
<td>Climate Modeling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>III.A. What geologic processes have shaped the surface of Venus?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the Venus cloud layer habitable today?</td>
<td>II. What are the chemical and physical conditions with respect to life in the present-day Venus atmosphere?</td>
<td>VAO.II.A. How have the theoretical requirements for habitability changed over time?</td>
<td>Isotopic abundance profiles of noble gases and other biologically significant elements, climate models</td>
<td></td>
<td>III.B. How do the atmosphere and surface of Venus interact?</td>
<td>II. Understand atmospheric dynamics and composition on Venus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAO.II.B. What are the global abundances of the essential bioavailable nutrients (CHNOPS)</td>
<td>Accurate estimates of the atmospheric composition from in situ measurements below 70 km at representative latitudes and local solar times</td>
<td></td>
<td>III.A.GC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAO.II.C. What other elements are bioavailable in the Venus atmosphere (trace species)?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II.B.OG, II.B.AE, II.B.UA, II.B.IN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAO.II.D. What are the physical, spectral, and chemical properties of the cloud particles?</td>
<td>In situ measurements of the cloud particles at different local solar times and representative latitudes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAO.II.E. What chemical disequilibria exist in the Venus atmosphere?</td>
<td>Vertical profiles of trace species in the atmosphere (0–70 km)</td>
<td></td>
<td>III.B.CI, III.B.LW, III.B.GW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there life in clouds of Venus today?</td>
<td>III. Are there signs of biogenic activity?</td>
<td>If there are microorganisms in the cloud layer, are they absorbing solar radiation?</td>
<td>Spectral and optical properties of the microorganisms</td>
<td>II.B.RB</td>
<td>II.B. What processes determine the baseline and variations in Venus atmospheric composition and global and local radiative balance?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>What would be the theoretical biomass if the clouds could support life?</td>
<td>Modeling/theory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AE = Aerosols; GA = Geologic Activity; GC = Geochemistry; GH = Geologic History; HO = Hydrous Origins; OG = Outgassing; RE = Recycling.
The second VEXAG Goal includes Objective II.B., “What processes determine the baseline and variations in Venus atmospheric composition and global and local radiative balance?” This question and the associated investigations are aligned with Venus Astrobiology Objectives. This is an exciting area of investigation with the potential for cloud-based microorganisms to contribute to the planetary radiation budget. Suggested and related VEXAG investigations include:

- **VEXAG Investigation II.B.RB. Radiative Balance:**
  - These investigations will help measure the downwelling solar spectrum, upwelling visual, NIR and thermal infrared spectrum, and net flux at different altitudes from a floating or flying platform at multiple latitudes from equator to polar.
- **VEXAG Investigation II.B.IN. Interactions:**
  - These investigations will help characterize the nature of the physical, chemical, and possible biological interactions among the constituents of the Venus atmosphere.
- **VEXAG Investigation II.B.AE. Aerosols:**
  - These investigations will help physical and chemical properties and microscopic imaging of small and larger aerosols (approximately 1–20 μm radii).
- **VEXAG Investigation II.B.UA. Unknown Absorber:**
  - These investigations will help physical and chemical characterization of small and larger aerosols (approximately 1–20 μm radii).
- **VEXAG Investigation II.B.OG. Outgassing:**
  - These investigations will provide estimates of influx of gases into the atmosphere from the surface.

The third VEXAG Science Goal, “Understand the geologic history preserved on the surface of Venus and the present-day couplings between the surface and atmosphere,” includes the following investigations, which are related to Astrobiology Objectives 2 and 3:

- **VEXAG Investigation III.A.GH. Geologic History:**
  - These investigations will help elucidate the origins of water and possibility of fossilized remnants of habitability.
- **VEXAG Investigation III.A.GC. Geochemistry:**
  - These investigations will help address the availability of nutrients and other chemicals needed for life.

### 5.1. Venus—a laboratory for exoplanets

The search for life in the Universe is the primary focus for astrobiology research. Pragmatically that means mostly the search for water, organic compounds, and Earth-like conditions. The physical similarity between Earth and Venus and their divergent evolution from a presumably similar ancient past represents a critical test for habitable exoplanets. Was Venus ever habitable? Is the Venus cloud layer habitable today? When and how long was the Venus surface habitable? What happened to the water? Answers to these questions can guide the studies of exoplanets. Although a planet’s size is important (e.g., Arnscheidt et al., 2019), it is not sufficient to define the habitable zone region around a star where water can exist in a liquid state on the surface of a planet with sufficient atmospheric pressure as evidenced by Venus and Earth, so a Venus zone has been proposed by Kane et al. (2014) with an inner limit defined by runaway greenhouse occurring on the planet. If Venus’ cloud layer should prove to be habitable, it will influence the study of habitable exoplanets. For these reasons, Venus is a relevant planet to understand.

### 6. Validating the Life in Venus’ Clouds Hypothesis: Experiments, Measurements, and Modeling

To evaluate the plausibility of the present Venus cloud life hypothesis, we need to constrain a number of important factors relative to Venus’ habitability and the manner in which microorganisms might have arisen and survived in the Venus environment. Thus, we should design experiments to search for biogenic signatures in well-defined chemical and physical context (i.e., as in the NASA Astrobiology Roadmap described by des Marais et al., 2008). Some of these are achievable through laboratory and field examinations, some by computational models, and others by measurements from orbiters and in situ investigations of the Venus atmosphere. Pertinent example questions include:

1. **Did early Venus have the conditions necessary for Earth-like life to arise, based on comparable assumptions made about other astrobiological targets such as Mars, Europa, and Enceladus?** What is the potential for modern-day Venus to harbor signatures of preserved past life? New analytical measurements including agnostic approaches (Johnson et al., 2019), as per Venus Astrobiology Objective 3 (listed above), from in situ sampling and measurements are needed to assist in addressing this question.

2. **Assuming Venus’ ancient surface waters were habitable, do the timeframes for the putative oceans and the emergence of continuous cloud cover presence with sufficiently long surface water residence times support the potential evolution of life to the present day?** To fully understand how these progress over the history of the planet, the relative change in the cloud recycling (microphysics evolution) over time would need to be explored.
   - (a) Can this long-term evolution be computationally modeled? Are there laboratory or field experiments that may address this question? Can in situ measurements in the Venus atmosphere help determine the duration of residence times?
   - (b) What adaptations would life have required to survive from clement conditions to present-day desiccated, acidic, warmer and low-nutrient conditions?

3. **Do the cloud aerosols contain sufficient water to support Earth-like life, when accounting for the residence time constraints imposed on periods of inactivity due to desiccation and the bioenergetic costs of maintaining a water activity gradient?** In situ measurements at Venus in different parts of the cloud layer over extended observational periods will be required to address this.

4. **Is there sufficient phosphorus in the cloud layer to support Earth-like life?** If so, what is the total biomass that could be supported given its upper limit and...
is this sufficient to survive the expected die-offs during periods of low water activity, high radiation, etc.? In situ measurements of atmospheric and aerosol composition with modern instruments are needed.

(a) Are there low- and/or higher-molecular-weight organics present in the atmosphere? A significant and puzzling amount of CH₄ was reported by the Pioneer Venus Large Probe (Donahue and Hodges, 1993). Altitude-resolved in situ investigations of gas and aerosol composition with modern instrumentation could provide answers.

6.1. Surface/interior investigations

Knowing the history of water on Venus—the abundance, duration, and pathways by which putative surface waters evolved in a changing climate are critical to assessing the likelihood of the existence of life via panspermia or origins and diversity of life on Venus. Geological climate forcing (e.g., widespread crustal resurfacing from lava flows, large body impacts that create impacts ~200 km size craters) must also be understood. Thus, the past habitability of Venus is critical for assessing the possibility of life in the present potentially habitable layer in the clouds. Changes in the climate may also have affected the lithospheric conditions resulting in altering the style of mantle convection over time (Weller and Kiefer, 2020), leading to changes in the habitability conditions on the planet.

6.2. Compositional indications from surface rocks

The highly tectonically deformed tesserae (complex ridged terrains) are believed to be some of the oldest rocks currently exposed on Venus (e.g., Ivanov and Head, 2011, 2015; Kreslavsky et al., 2015), although their absolute ages are unknown and different tessera subunits may have formed at different times (Gilmore et al., 2015). Their regional lithology (rock composition on scales of tens of km) holds clues for the past presence of water and thus habitability and evolution of life (Gilmore et al., 2017), and perhaps even signs of aqueous erosion (e.g., Khawja et al., 2020).

6.3. Clouds and atmosphere investigations

Whether or not microorganisms play any role in the radiative balance of Venus, the identity and distribution of the dominant absorbers in the venusian atmosphere is a critical factor for understanding Venus. There are many other unknowns about the atmosphere from an astrobiological perspective—abundance profiles with altitude of minor and trace constituents of the atmosphere, meteorological conditions, and concurrent aerosol chemical composition from in situ measurements at equatorial, mid, and polar latitudes over day and night are essential. These are critical pieces of information considering the reports of disequilibria in the lower atmosphere (Volkov, 1991); Mogul et al. (2021) report that reanalysis of Pioneer Venus Large Probe Neutral Mass Spectrometer (PV LNMS) data reveals several chemicals that are suggestive of redox disequilibria. This includes the detection of nitrogen species across differing oxidation states, such as nitric acid, nitrous acid, nitrogen gas, hydrogen cyanide, and possibly ammonia.

6.4. Spatial/spectral and thermal studies of cloud contrast features

Spatial/spectral contrast patterns may be used as a key constraint on absorber candidate properties. Studies of the temporal evolution of contrasts on different spatial scales across the UV-NIR spectrum (Limaye et al., 2018b), including the spatial and temporal evolution of local spectral albedo patterns (Lee et al., 2015, 2019) at moderate to high resolutions, provide the essential data for constraints on the lifetimes and evolution of the absorbers on the day side. However, concurrent chemical composition data are lacking for an understanding of these changes.

On the night side, cloud opacity maps in the NIR also show the spatial and temporal evolution of the night-side cloud contrast (Limaye et al., 2018b; Peralta et al., 2019, 2020). Comparison with concurrent thermal (brightness temperature) maps (e.g., Akatsuki Longwave InfraRed (LIR) camera data) with higher accuracy (>0.1 K) on the same spatial scale should reveal any patterns between the absorbed (day) and emitted radiation (night) and the contrasts in day- and night-side cloud cover. Long- and short-term 365 nm albedo changes observed on Venus can drive the cloud layer climate on Venus (Bullock et al., 2013) through changes in solar absorption. The desired continuous cloud layer observations over a narrow range of phase angles for obtaining albedo could eventually be obtained from L1 and L2 Lagrange point orbits as recently proposed (Kovalenko et al., 2019; Limaye and Kovalenko, 2019) similar to the DSCOVIR mission monitoring of Earth from its Sun-Earth L1 point (Su et al., 2020). However, coordinated observations from orbit and with long-term in situ measurements at different altitudes of the cloud layer are needed to understand the nature and influence of the absorbers.

6.5. Physical, chemical, and biological properties of aerosols

It is critical to understand the nature of absorbers responsible for energy deposition in the Venus cloud layer. For example, if the larger aerosols in the lower cloud deck contain Sₓ as an absorber and those aerosols in fact harbor microorganisms, the Sₓ coating could provide UV protection and material for energy conversion. This would allow putative microorganisms to photosynthesize in the lower venusian atmosphere to meet their energy needs (Schulze-Makuch et al., 2004). A coupled iron- and sulfur-centered metabolism for life in Venus’ clouds has also been proposed (Limaye et al., 2018a); however, there may be other geochemical cycles that could support life. Studies measuring the abundances of alternative redox active nutrients (e.g., H₂S, H₂S₂O₇, H₂N₂O₂, C₆H₄O₂, and H₂S) would help in assessing the potential habitability of the clouds (Limaye et al., 2018a).

While the ~1 μm radius particles apparently dominant in the Venus clouds (Knollenberg and Hunten, 1980b; Ekonomov et al., 1984; Moshkin et al., 1986) are believed to be nearly spherical (Hansen and Hovenier, 1974; Titov et al., 2018), the properties and dimensions of the larger particles found in the lower clouds are unknown. Organic hazes with fractal shapes rather than spherical shaped particles have been suggested for Titan (Rannou et al., 1997; Wolf and
Images of the aerosols with a microscope (Yamagishi et al., 2016; Sasaki et al., 2019) would help settle the question of the identity of the large aerosols. To date, aerosol size populations have been inferred from in situ backscattering (Ragent and Blamont, 1980), the glory feature at the cloud tops (Markiewicz et al., 2014), polarization data (Kawabata et al., 1980, 1986; Sato et al., 1996; Rossi et al., 2015), and from forward scattering (Wilquet et al., 2012), but no direct measurements have been made.

Sustained measurements to characterize the elemental, chemical, and physical properties of gases and aerosols throughout the depth of the cloud layer and the lower haze layer and over day and night are needed to assess the temporal changes observed in the currently available multispectral images. Instruments to obtain such measurements have been demonstrated on Earth and can be adapted for Venus applications. For example, miniature chemical analysis systems have successfully detected ppb amounts of amino acid biosignatures in dry Atacama desert soils (Skelley et al., 2007), and low-mass Micro-Electro-Mechanical Systems (MEMS) species-specific sensors are being developed (Kremic et al., 2020). Remote Raman detection has been used to detect specific chemical signatures from distances of ~1700 m under ambient daylight conditions. Two instruments under development—an aerosol mass spectrometer (Baines et al., 2021) and a fluorescent imaging microscope (Sasaki et al., 2019) could provide critical data from a capable future aerial platform potentially at the end of the decade. Finally, to understand the nature of the absorbers, which may be critical for identifying the absorption source(s), in situ observations that trace changes in the absorption relative to the ambient environment will be essential.

The Venus Express finding that the index of refraction of the cloud particles inferred from analysis of the disk resolved observations of the optical glory phenomenon and polarization is somewhat higher (Rossi et al., 2015; Markiewicz et al., 2018) than that inferred by the whole disk observations of Lyot analyzed by Hansen and Hunovier (1974). This suggests that the cloud droplets at least in the upper one scale height contain another substance besides (dilute) sulfuric acid. Thus, droplet chemical composition and their optical properties warrant further investigation. The increase has been suggested to be due to the presence of other high index of refraction material(s) in the cloud droplets such as FeCl$_3$. Direct in situ measurements of the index of refraction (Zibaii et al., 2010) of the Venus aerosols together with altitude-resolved trace gas chemistry will place much better constraints on their composition, including microorganisms should they reside in the Venus aerosols.

### 6.6. Noble gas abundances to determine water history

Accurate isotopic ratios of abundances of argon, krypton, and xenon can provide information on the role of planetesimals in the accumulation and loss of water on Venus compared with that of comets. This should lead to a better understanding of the history of liquid water that may have existed on Venus (Baines et al., 2013; Garvin et al., 2020a), especially if established within the cloud layer.

### 6.7. Global mapping of elemental and chemical abundances (P, S, Fe, CH$_4$, and phosphine)

Among the many potential molecular biogenic signatures relevant for exoplanets (Seager et al., 2012), phosphine has been promoted by Sousa-Silva et al. (2020). The possible existence of phosphine in the cloud layer of Venus (Encrenaz et al., 2020; Greaves et al., 2020a, 2020b; Mogul et al., 2021) has been strongly debated in the literature—with each measurement/observation being susceptible to observation technique limitations. A stable presence of phosphine in the clouds of Venus is unexpected from a chemistry perspective (rapid degradation by hydroxyl radicals, reactivity with sulfuric acid, decomposition at high temperatures leading to short atmospheric life). Clarity about the existence of phosphine (or any other gas that has both abiotic and biotic pathways) and its associated fractionation gases will be significant for both Venus and exoplanets in the Venus zone for considering chemical disequilibrium and continuous production (Schulze-Makuch, 2021). Unambiguous detection of phosphorus or phosphine over different local times within the cloud layers would also be highly significant as disequilibria processes may show diurnal dependence (Florek, 1978). Likewise, the confirmation of atmospheric CH$_4$ and ammonia and an understanding of their diurnal variations would significantly influence our comprehension of disequilibria in Venus’ atmosphere. For these reasons, measurements of phosphorous-bearing compounds as well as ammonia and CH$_4$ at other local times and altitudes are needed. Both CH$_4$ and phosphine have observable spectral features in the NIR or thermal infrared spectrum; however, instrumentation with high spectral resolution is needed to distinguish between these species and other gas species known to be prominent in Venus’ atmosphere through remote sensing techniques. The evidence for the presence or absence of phosphine in the cloud layer of Venus may be best ascertained by in situ study using high-resolution mass or tunable laser spectroscopy instrumentation, ideally at ~1 ppm concentration level.

### 6.8. Solar wind interaction

Water has been lost over the history of Venus as detected from loss of OH radicals (Lammer et al., 2006; Delva et al., 2008) and loss of H$^+$ and O$^+$ from spacecraft measurements (Persson et al., 2018, 2020). Improved estimates of present-day atmospheric escape will produce better estimates of the total loss of water from Venus leading to a more robust estimate for the total inventory of water on Venus over geological time. Most of the atmospheric loss from Venus is due to charge exchange and sputtering and has been estimated from spacecraft measurements from polar orbits around Venus. Measurements from Lagrange orbiters around L1 and L2 points of the Sun-Venus system can provide continuous sampling of the incoming solar wind and the outgoing flux from Venus’ magnetotail (Limaye and Kovalenko, 2019). Since the Venus magnetotail has been calculated even from the Sun-Earth Lagrange point (Grünvaldt et al., 1997), much farther than the separation of L2 from Venus (~1 million km).
the currently known Venus cloud-like conditions. This includes spectral studies of aerosolized biochemicals and microorganisms, and measurements of the chemical half-lives of biopolymers under the acidic conditions of the cloud aerosols. Moreover, fundamental studies regarding the survival of terrestrial extremophiles in aerosols, including suggested Venus analogue environments such as Earth’s stratospheric sulfate aerosols, and the potential for metabolism and division while suspended in aerosols, are also of significant interest.

An alternate hypothesis proposed for the origin of life is based on the effects of environmental stresses through varying environmental conditions (Herkovits, 2006; Kompanichenko, 2017). To test this hypothesis, Kompanichenko (2019) proposed some experiments on prebiotic chemistry to be carried out under oscillating rather than stable conditions. A goal of such experiments is to check the hypothesis that primary forms of life on Earth or other planets originate through the intensified response in prebiotic microsystems to their “pumping” by external oscillations (i.e., just a continuous chemical complex of organic compounds is insufficient for launching life). If this point of view is confirmed, the extreme conditions in the atmosphere of Venus (large range of conditions and variations) can be considered as a factor supporting possible life in the atmosphere, as well as on Venus-like exoplanets.

The limit of sulfuric acid concentration that microbial life on Earth can adapt to has not been fully investigated. Also, whether Earth life can thrive without (or with very little) metals is not known or investigated. Thus, experiments to determine the limits of low pH survival of terrestrial microorganisms and availability of metals will also be informative.

6.10. Modeling

The photochemical models developed to understand the chemical abundances in the Venus atmosphere (Mills and Allen, 2007; Mills et al., 2007; Krasnopolsky, 2012) include hundreds of chemical reactions involving sulfur and other major and minor species detected in the atmosphere. For many of the photochemical reactions, the rates are not well known. We propose that the lack of biological pathways included in these models may be a major drawback. For example, the iron and sulfur reactions similar to those involving microorganisms on Earth may occur on Venus and contribute to the atmospheric chemical cycles. If so, these reactions may be linked to a number of open questions about Venus including those involving the mechanisms that maintain photochemical stability of CO2 at Venus (Marcq et al., 2018), and the mechanisms responsible for efficient SO2 loss within the clouds (Vandaele et al., 2017a, 2017b; Marcq et al., 2018). Incorporation of such photobiological reactions could be valuable in solving the mystery of the unknown absorbers and other Venus chemistry cycle puzzles.

7. Future Exploration of Venus

The Venus cloud layer has been sampled by Venera, VeGa, and Pioneer Venus entry probes and in situ by two VeGa balloons in 1985 with measurements over 48-hour long flights (Sagdeev and Moroz, 1986; Sagdeev et al., 1986). Therefore, in situ observation of Venus’ cloud habitable zone is a very achievable and worthy endeavor for astrobiology investigations. Furthermore, advances in aerial platform technology and descent probe technology (e.g., Kosenkova, 2019) show that longer duration flights in the cloud layer are possible (Polidan et al., 2015; Cutts et al., 2018); continued technology development investment is required to make such flights an achievable reality. The VERITAS radar and NIR mapping mission, DAVINCI+ probe/fly-by/orbiter mission, and EnVIsion radar and NIR mapping missions are currently in Phase A study and if selected will be launched in next 10 years. ISRO is planning on an orbiter with a radar and other atmospheric instruments for launch in late 2024 or later. JAXA is also considering a Lagrange Point orbiter mission. None of these have habitability of the cloud layer as a science goal, but the observations should be useful. It is quite apparent, however, that a single mission will not address all the VEXAG goals for Venus and key astrobiological priorities. Helbert et al. (2020) suggested a conceptual Venus Program to implement the multiple missions needed. Gilmore et al. (2020) presented a multiplatform concept for a NASA Venus flagship mission, which includes the assessment of habitability as a goal, while a systems approach to future exploration has been described by Limaye et al. (2020a) with Lagrange Point orbiters around Venus-Sun L1 and L2 points, a pair of short period polar and equatorial orbiters, aerial platforms, and long-lived surface stations. To implement the many missions needed will be challenging for any single agency, and international collaborations and cooperation that have proved useful for Venus in the past, will continue to be productive. Limaye et al. (2020b) advocated for expanded efforts in internationally coordinated future missions.

8. Summary

We presented four lines of reasoning for considering Venus an astrobiology target: (1) the possibility of long-standing oceans (2–3 Ga), erupting volcanoes, and/or mobile lid tectonics with water would have provided opportunity for the origin of life similar to what is suggested to have occurred on early Earth, or life could have evolved if seeded via large impactors, (2) the potential for survival of microbial life until the present day in the current extreme environment of the clouds, (3) the possibility for microbial life in the cloud layer, and (4) Venus as proxy for habitability of exoplanets. Together, these provide a basis for exploring the possibility of past and present life on Venus. Addressing the VEXAG goals for exploration with an astrobiology perspective will provide guidance for a step-by-step approach for identifying or prioritizing potential biosignatures and their potential preservation as outlined in this article.

Venus is our nearest neighbor and an important resource for exploring the diversity, evolution, and potential habitability of terrestrial exoplanets (Kane et al., 2019). It may guide us on how a planet transitioned from being habitable to a greenhouse planet with a seemingly uninhabitable planetary surface environment. Although much has been learned from space exploration about short-term survival of microorganisms in space and the upper atmosphere of Earth (Horneck et al., 2010), much more remains to be discovered about the potential for sustained habitability of the Venus cloud layer and the implications for terrestrial exoplanets (e.g., DasSarma and Schwieterman, 2018). Clearly, Venus should be of astrobiological interest scientifically for better understanding the origins of life everywhere.
New insights into the atmospheric chemistry and clouds are still needed to understand the various disequilibria and their implications for habitability. Therefore, Venus is uniquely suited for the exploration of its past and current habitability, consistent with high priority VEXAG goals and objectives, and those described by the National Academies Planetary Sciences and Astrobiology Decadal Survey now underway for 2023–2032.
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### Abbreviations Used

- **AE** = Aerosols
- **$a_w$** = water activity
- **CH$_4$** = methane
- **GC** = Geochemistry
- **GH** = Geologic History
- **GOI** = Goals, Objectives, and Investigations
- **HO** = Hydrous Origins
- **IN** = Interactions
- **ISAV** = Izmeritel’ Spektrov Atmosfery Venery
- **OG** = Outgassing
- **PV LNMS** = Pioneer Venus Large Probe Neutral Mass Spectrometer
- **UA** = Unknown Absorber
- **UV** = ultraviolet
- **VEXAG** = Venus Exploration Analysis Group