Carbon Stocks and Potential Greenhouse Gas Production of Permafrost-Affected Active Floodplains in the Lena River Delta

Tanja Herbst¹, Matthias Fuchs²,³, Susanne Liebner⁴, and Claire C. Treat²

¹Faculty of Environment and Natural Resources, Albert-Ludwigs-University, Freiburg, Germany, ²Alfred Wegener Institute Helmholtz Center for Polar and Marine Research, Potsdam, Germany, ³Now at Institute of Arctic and Alpine Research, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO, USA, ⁴Helmholtz-Zentrum Potsdam Deutsches GeoForschungsZentrum GFZ, Potsdam, Germany

Abstract Arctic warming increases the degradation of permafrost soils but little is known about floodplain soils in the permafrost region. This study quantifies soil organic carbon (SOC) and soil nitrogen stocks, and the potential CH₄ and CO₂ production from seven cores in the active floodplains in the Lena River Delta, Russia. The soils were sandy but highly heterogeneous, containing deep, organic rich deposits with >60% SOC stored below 30 cm. The mean SOC stocks in the top 1 m were 12.9 ± 6.0 kg C m⁻². Grain size analysis and radiocarbon ages indicated highly dynamic environments with sediment re-working. Potential CH₄ and CO₂ production from active floodplains was assessed using a 1-year incubation at 20°C under aerobic and anaerobic conditions. Cumulative aerobic CO₂ production mineralized a mean 4.6 ± 2.8% of initial SOC. The mean cumulative aerobic:anaerobic C production ratio was 2.3 ± 0.9. Anaerobic CH₄ production comprised 50 ± 9% of anaerobic C mineralization; rates were comparable or exceeded those for permafrost region organic soils. Potential C production from the incubations was correlated with total organic carbon and varied strongly over space (among cores) and depth (active layer vs. permafrost). This study provides valuable information on the carbon cycle dynamics from active floodplains in the Lena River Delta and highlights the key spatial variability, both among sites and with depth, and the need to include these dynamic permafrost environments in future estimates of the permafrost carbon-climate feedback.

Plain Language Summary Floodplain soil development results from both geological processes, such as sediment erosion and deposition, and biological processes such as vegetation growth. In the Arctic, these processes interact with permafrost to form deep soils, but the carbon stocks and potential decomposition and greenhouse gas emissions from Arctic floodplain soils are relatively unknown. In this study, we investigate carbon stocks and potential decomposition from Arctic floodplain soils to depths of 1 m from a large river delta in Siberia. We show that it is difficult to predict what soil types, carbon stocks, and potential decomposition and emissions are found beneath the surface because the sites vary strongly despite having similar vegetation at the surface owing to the depositional processes that occur in floodplains.

1. Introduction

The Arctic is currently warming much faster than the rest of the globe (Rantanen et al., 2022). Northern high latitude ecosystems are exposed to drastic changes, which also has an impact on the widespread permafrost soils in these regions (Obu et al., 2019). Permafrost is defined as ground that remains at or below 0°C for two or more consecutive years (Harris et al., 1988). As the frozen state of these soils prevents decomposition processes, permafrost has been accumulating undecomposed organic matter since the end of the last ice age or longer (J. W. Harden et al., 1992; Schirrmeister et al., 2002; Zimov et al., 2006). It is estimated that northern permafrost regions store ~1,300 Pg of soil carbon (Hugelius et al., 2014), which is about half of the globally stored soil organic carbon (SOC) (Köchy et al., 2015). With climate warming, permafrost soils are warming and thawing (Biskaborn et al., 2019), potentially increasing the decomposition of previously frozen organic material, which can be released to the atmosphere either as CO₂ or as CH₄ and further enhancing climate warming (Schuur et al., 2015).

Many studies of C stocks in permafrost soils mention a poorly described stock of deep permafrost deposits: Arctic river deltas (Hugelius et al., 2014; Overeem et al., 2022). The major Arctic river deltas in permafrost regions
occupy only 77,000 km² (Walker, 1998), but play an important role as carbon stocks. Delta sediment deposits can have a large thickness of up to 60 m due to typical river deltaic sedimentation and accumulation processes (Schwamborn et al., 2002). Moreover, these are highly dynamic environments at the land-sea interface, characterized by active fluvial, coastal, deltaic, and permafrost-thaw processes including periodic flooding, sediment deposition, erosion (Overeem et al., 2022), which impact the soil carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) stocks (Fuchs et al., 2018b). However, as these processes differ from other soil forming processes in the permafrost regions such as peat deposition, yedoma deposition, and cryoturbation, it is unclear how permafrost C stocks in these soils compare with others (Harden et al., 2012; Hugelius et al., 2014).

Active floodplains in Arctic river deltas are highly dynamic due to active erosion and sedimentation by annual spring flooding (Zubrzycki et al., 2013) but they remain understudied, despite being the dominant unit in many Arctic river deltas. Active floodplains consist of sand-rich soils and are expected to not store as large amounts of organic carbon as other geomorphological terraces of the delta (Siewert et al., 2016). Only a few studies have focused on C and N stocks and greenhouse gas release from active floodplains within Arctic river deltas. Zubrzycki et al. (2013) studied the SOC and soil nitrogen (SN) stocks and pools of the active floodplains on Samoylov Island in the Lena Delta and Siewert et al. (2016) included alluvial sediments and active floodplains on Kurungnah Island in the Lena Delta in their study. Further C and N stocks were quantified in deltaic deposits in Alaska (Fuchs et al., 2018b; Ping et al., 2011) and Siberia (Hugelius et al., 2013, 2014). There are also few studies on greenhouse gas emissions from active floodplains. One study from Siberia showed substantially higher CH$_4$ fluxes in the active floodplains compared to drier sites (van Huissstenen et al., 2005), highlighting the potential of high CH$_4$ production and emission from active floodplains. Similarly, in an incubation study using soils from Arctic Siberia, potential CH$_4$ production was highest in a floodplain core relative to upland yedoma samples (Laurent et al., 2023). These large uncertainties and limited data about C cycling in active floodplains but potentially high production and emission of CH$_4$ warrant further quantification of carbon stocks and potential CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ production from these dynamic permafrost areas.

In this study, soil cores including active layer and permafrost layer of active floodplains in the Lena Delta were analyzed with the aim (a) to determine SOC and SN stocks down to 1 m depth and (b) to investigate the potential CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ production of active floodplain soils under aerobic and anaerobic conditions. The underlying hypotheses were: the soils are sand-rich and store less organic carbon than other landscape units in the Lena Delta, the active floodplain soils are comparatively young and sedimentation rates are high due to periodic flooding, and emissions are related to soil characteristics (e.g., soil carbon, C/N, and water content). We analyzed key soil properties, such as pH, conductivity, total organic carbon (TOC), total nitrogen (TN), C/N ratio, bulk density, water content, grain size, and radiocarbon ages and conducted a year-long laboratory incubation of active and permafrost layer samples in order to gain a better understanding of the C accumulation, C stocks and potential C production from the active floodplains in the Lena Delta.

### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Study Area and Soil Sampling

The study area is located in the northeastern Siberian Lena River Delta within the continuous permafrost zone in northern Yakutia. The Lena Delta is the largest delta in the Arctic (Boike et al., 2013). The region has an Arctic continental climate with low temperatures and low precipitation (Boike et al., 2019). The mean annual air temperature at Samoylov research station from 1998 to 2011 was −12.5°C, with mean annual rainfall of 125 mm (Boike et al., 2013).

The Lena Delta can be classified into three main geomorphological, terrace-like units and the modern floodplains (Schwamborn et al., 2002). The floodplains and the youngest unit are of Holocene origin and are characterized by polygonal wet tundra with ice wedges and large thermokarst lakes overlying organic-rich sands with silty-sandy peat layers (Schwamborn et al., 2002). The soil cores analyzed in this study are from the active floodplains on Kurungnah, Samoylov Island, and the neighboring island Khongordokh-Ary in the southern part of the Lena Delta (Figure 1). Kurungnah Island (72°20′N; 126°18′E) is composed of Late Quaternary sediments. The soil cores analyzed here from Kurungnah belong to the small active floodplain in the eastern part of the island, which is similar to the cores collected in the floodplains of Samoylov Island and Khongordokh-Ary. Samoylov Island (72°22′N, 126°28′E) is split into the Holocene river terrace and the active floodplain. The western part of
Samoylov Island consists of the active floodplains, which are either non-vegetated or with dwarf shrubs dominated tundra (Boike et al., 2019; Siewert et al., 2016) with an altitude of up to 5 m a.s.l. Khongordokh-Ary is also part of the active floodplain, which is affected by fluvial sedimentation and is flooded at least once in spring and during high river water levels (Zubrzycki et al., 2013). Overall, active floodplains cover 8,830 km² of land area in the Lena River Delta (Zubrzycki et al., 2013).

The soil coring and sampling was carried out in August 2018 (Kruse et al., 2019). First, vegetation and other characteristics of the plots were described (Table 1). Soil cores were described in the field according to their macroscopic sediment characteristic, lithology, and present plant macrofossils. In addition, we described the cryostratigraphy (ice distribution within cores) according to French and Shur (2010). Active layer soils were excavated, described, and sampled with a fixed volume cylinder (250 cm³). Next, the permafrost layers were sampled with a modified, snow, ice, and permafrost (SIPRE) auger (Jon Holmgren’s Machine Shop, Fairbanks, AK, USA) to a depth of 1 m (core diameter of 7.62 cm). Each core was divided into subsamples with 5–10 cm length increments according to its facies horizons, transported frozen to Alfred Wegener Institute in Potsdam, and stored at −20°C until analysis. Seven soil cores including P18, P19, P20, P21, P22, P24, and P25 (Figure 1) were taken during the expedition from the low-lying, annually flooded plains and were assumed to be representative of the active floodplains. A total of 48 soil samples across depths were analyzed from these 7 cores, covering a range of locations, carbon contents, grain size, moisture conditions and vegetation cover (Table 1; Figure S1 in Supporting Information S1).

2.2. Soil Characteristics

Standard soil parameters such as pH, conductivity, and water content were measured on all cores. The total carbon (TC), TOC, and TN were measured to calculate the carbon and nitrogen stocks as well as the gas production rates during incubation. Grain size and radiocarbon ages were determined to investigate accumulation processes. To split the samples for these analyses, subsamples were prepared by splitting the frozen soil samples with a cleaned hammer and chisel in a climate chamber at −4°C. One subsample was used to determine pH, conductivity, water content, grain size, TOC, TC, and TN, while another subsample was used for incubations.

Soil porewater pH and electrical conductivity were measured by thawing frozen subsamples in plastic bags at 4°C overnight before extracting the porewater using rhizon soil moisture samplers. Conductivity and pH were measured with the WTW Multi 540 (Xylem Analytics, Weilheim, Germany). Gravimetric water content was
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>No. samples</th>
<th>Core depth (cm)</th>
<th>Active layer depth (cm)</th>
<th>Soil profile description</th>
<th>Surface description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KUR18-P18</td>
<td>Kurungnakh</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>Dark and light brown sand with peat layers</td>
<td>Sandbank, no vegetation, driftwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KUR18-P19</td>
<td>Kurungnakh</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>Silty sand/sandy silt, rooted, organic inclusions</td>
<td>Grasses, shrubs, Equisetum spp., driftwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAM18-P20</td>
<td>Samoylov</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>114.5</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>Light/dark gray sand, rooted, silty sand with organic inclusions</td>
<td>Shrub, Equisetum spp., mosses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAM18-P21</td>
<td>Samoylov</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>104.5</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Organic rich sandy silt, rooted, sandy layers, organic inclusions</td>
<td>Equisetum spp., grasses, shrubs, mosses, driftwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAM18-P22</td>
<td>Samoylov</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>Sand without layers</td>
<td>Sandbank without vegetation but some grasses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAM18-P24</td>
<td>Khongordokh-Ary</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Sandy silt, rooted, organic rich and sandy layers</td>
<td>Shrubs, Equisetum spp., sedges, mosses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAM18-P25</td>
<td>Khongordokh-Ary</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Organic rich silt with roots and sand layers</td>
<td>Dryas spp., Salix spp., grasses, mosses, driftwood</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. This includes the generalized locations of sampling, the number of samples per core, the total core depth, the active layer depth, the soil, site, and vegetation description. Soil, vegetation, and site description is based on field observations. Photos of study sites and soil profiles are shown in Supporting Information S1.

determined by weighing the samples before and after the freeze-drying process to determine the water content from the water loss. Bulk density was calculated based on a best-fit regression ($n = 1.091, R^2 = 0.85$) predicted from the absolute water content (Figure S2 in Supporting Information S1). The relationship between absolute water content and dry bulk density was developed for permafrost samples from more than 70 deltaic and tundra study sites (Fuchs et al., 2018a; Fuchs, Lenz, et al., 2018 Figure S2 in Supporting Information S1). Bulk density could not accurately be determined on the samples of this study, because the initial volume of the sub-sample was inaccurate or unknown.

The grain size distribution of the samples was determined using a Malvern Mastersizer 3000 laser particle laser analyzer. Pre-treatment of 30 g of sub-samples include the removal of organic remnants and follow the procedure described in Fuchs et al. (2018b). For each sample, at least three replicates were measured and then averaged. The instrument gave the results in grain size classes and the corresponding volume fractions in percent (vol%). The classification was made according to DIN 4022 (sand: 0.06–2.0 mm; silt: 0.002–0.06 mm; clay: <0.002 mm). Statistics were calculated using GRADISTATv 9.1 (Blott & Pye, 2001).

Carbon and N contents of the soils were determined on freeze-dried, milled samples and measured with the C analyzer soli TOC cube and the N analyzer rapid MAX N exceed (Elementar, Langenselbold, Germany). The SOC and SN stocks were calculated according to Michaelson et al. (1996). The C and nitrogen N in g cm$^{-3}$ was calculated by multiplying the dry bulk density with the TOC and TN contents. Several samples had TOC (P22-1, P22-2) and TN concentrations below the detection limit of 0.1%. For these samples, the %C concentrations were assumed to be 0.05% for the calculation of C stocks and mean TOC content, while the %N concentration was assumed to be 0%. However, in samples with TN or TOC below detection limit, the C/N ratio was not calculated, and the corresponding samples were not included in the average C/N ratio of the active and permafrost layers. Carbon and nitrogen storages in kg m$^{-2}$ were calculated by multiplying C or N density with the sample length in cm. Depth intervals without C or N density were extrapolated from the density of the respective overlying and underlying layers with same or similar sedimentary characteristics (based on field notes). The stocks were then summed for the reference depths of 0–30 cm and 0–100 cm.

Radiocarbon dating was performed for age determination in order to assess the carbon and sediment accumulation processes on a subset of soil cores (P19, P24, and P25 at six depths because these cores were analyzed in the incubation experiment). For this, plant remains in the freeze-dried samples were handpicked under the
microscope to select organic material deposited in situ. The plant remains were weighed and then analyzed using the Mini Carbon Dating System based on accelerator mass spectrometry at Alfred Wegener Institute in Bremerhaven (Mollenhauer et al., 2021). The radiocarbon ages were calibrated with CALIBomb software using IntCal20 calibration curve and F14C as reference (Reimer et al., 2020). The calibrated ages are given in calibrated years before present (cal y BP) and calibrated years Common Era.

The full sedimentological data and soil properties are available at Pangaea.de (Treat et al., 2023); the cores used in this study are P18, P19, P20, P21, P22, P24, and P25.

2.3. Potential CO₂ and CH₄ Production in Incubation Experiment

The potential production of CO₂ and CH₄ due to microbial degradation of organic matter was investigated in a 1-year incubation experiment. Material from three cores (P19, P24, and P25) were incubated under aerobic and anaerobic conditions and at two depths each, representing the active layer and the permafrost layer. The core P19 is located in the Eastern part of Kurungnak, whereas P24 and P25 are on Khongordokh-Ary (Figure 1). The cores selected because they were sufficiently deep to capture permafrost layers, were vegetated with similar vegetation types, and showed evidence of both soil formation as well as buried horizons with higher organic content, all factors assumed to be representative for the active floodplains in this region. The samples for the active and the permafrost layer of each core were selected according to comparable depths by using the field notes and first results of the soil analysis, but had different characteristics in terms of water content, TOC, and grain size (Table S1 in Supporting Information S1).

Incubations were performed at 20°C under both aerobic and anaerobic conditions for 365 days. One sample of the active layer and one sample of the permafrost layer were incubated per core with three laboratory replicates per sample, resulting in 36 incubated soil samples (18 aerobic, 18 anaerobic). In addition, two blanks were included per treatment for the determination of zero fluxes. For preparations, subsamples from the frozen soil samples were thawed in closed plastic bags overnight at 4°C before homogenizing and weighing around 15 g into 120 mL vials. Anaerobic incubation samples were prepared in a glovebox with an anoxic atmosphere (N₂), whereas the aerobic incubation samples were prepared at ambient air. The aerobic samples were kept at field moisture conditions, whereas sterilized water was added to the anaerobic ones when water content was less than 30% to achieve soil saturation. All vials were permanently closed with airtight lids (rubber stopper and aluminum lids) to create and maintain both anoxic conditions and constant humidity. The closed vials were stored at 1°C overnight to avoid the onset of microbial activity before flushing the samples prior to the first gas concentration measurement of t₀. Anaerobic incubations were flushed with N₂ for 3 min to remove the remaining O₂, whereas aerobic incubations were flushed with synthetic, CO₂-free air (20% O₂, 80% N₂). Afterward, samples were brought to incubation temperature. Incubations were performed over 52 weeks in the dark in an incubator at a constant temperature of 20°C.

The CO₂ and CH₄ concentrations were determined by gas chromatography (7890A, Agilent Technologies, USA) at the German Research Centre for Geosciences (GFZ), Potsdam. Gases were separated on an Agilent 19095SP-QO4 column and quantified with a flame ionization detector. The column temperature was 50°C and helium served as a carrier gas. Before each measurement, the rubber stopper was sterilized by inflaming with 99% ethanol and 350 μL from the headspace gas were taken manually with a Hamilton gastight syringe and a sterile needle. For both incubation types, measurements were taken every second day in the first 2 weeks, once or twice per week for the first 3 months, and at longer intervals for the remainder of the 1-year incubation. When CO₂ concentration exceeded 10,000 ppm during the measurement period, the headspace was flushed with synthetic air (20% O₂, 80% N₂) for the aerobic incubations and with N₂ for the anaerobic treatment before re-measuring the samples.

The potential CO₂ and CH₄ production rates were calculated according to Robertson et al. (1999) by using the change in headspace CO₂ and CH₄ concentration over time. First, the CO₂ and CH₄ concentrations were converted from ppm, to mass units by applying the Ideal Gas Law and correcting for differences in headspace volume due to the soil sample volumes. Then the rate of concentration change over time was calculated using a linear regression between the nearest two measurement points. Then this was normalized by the sample dry weight and per gram soil carbon. Last, the mean of the replicates per core and layer (active and permafrost) was calculated in order to determine the potential CO₂ and CH₄ production rates. The cumulative gas production
was calculated by summing the difference in concentrations between the measurements after accounting for flushing. We did not correct for Henry’s Law due to the low water contents of many of the samples (Table S1 in Supporting Information S1). While CH₄ concentrations were measured in the aerobic treatments, cumulative production over the 356-day experiment was <0.2 μg CH₄-C g DW⁻¹ and considered negligible and is not discussed further.

The incubation data set can be found at (Treat et al., 2023).

2.4. Data Analysis

For all the measured parameters, means are presented with standard deviations as error estimates. Calculations, statistical tests, and plotting were done with Microsoft Excel (version 2201), and base R version 4.2.3 (R Development Core Team, 2008). To determine correlations between soil properties as well as soil properties and C production from incubations, the non-parametric Spearman correlation coefficient was used. To analyze significant differences between the soil cores, depths, and their interaction (core by depth) in the incubation experiment, ANOVA (one-way analysis of variance, r command: aov) and a pairwise post-hoc test (Tukey Honest Significant Difference, r command: TukeyHSD) were performed. To determine correlations between mean cumulative C production and soil properties, a linear regression was used for the mean value of each core by depth sample (n = 6) using both production per gram weight and production rates normalized for soil C content as response variables. Tests for aerobic and anaerobic production were done separately. The tested predictor variables were TOC content, water content, nitrogen content, C/N ratio, sand (%), silt (%), clay (%), and calibrated ¹⁴C ages. These statistical tests were selected even when there was no normal distribution because of the small sample size with the assumption that the data would be normally distributed if the sample size were larger.

3. Results

3.1. Soil Characteristics

The cores used in this study were from a variety of active layer floodplains, with overlying vegetation either absent or consisting of Equisetum spp., shrubs, grasses, and mosses (Table 1). Permafrost was present across the cores; active layers in August 2018 ranged from 54 cm to >100 cm. At the time of sampling, many of the soil profiles had standing water at the bottom of the active layer (Figure S1 in Supporting Information S1). The soils from the active floodplains were mostly sand or silty sand, the latter being more commonly found at the surface. The grain size data showed samples were from the textural groups sand, sandy silt, or silty sand. For all 48 samples, the average percentages of sand, silt and clay were 70.8%, 26.1%, and 3.1%, respectively. Overall, the sand content ranged from 24.5% to 99.4%, the silt content was between 0.5% and 66.9%, and the clay fraction was lowest with 0%–8.6%. Cores P18 and P22 from non-vegetated sandbanks had high sand contents in all depths (Figure 2). Across all cores, the grain size distribution varied with depth and sandy or silty layers were identifiable (e.g., sandy layers in P19 at a depth of 23–47 cm and in P21 at a depth of 60–81 cm). The cores P24 and P25 showed a trend of an increasing sand fraction and a decreasing silt fraction with depth (Figure 2). The water content ranged between 8% and 47%, and the dry bulk density ranged between 0.64 g cm⁻³ and 1.64 g cm⁻³ and was on average 1.07 ± 0.24 g cm⁻³.

Across the active floodplain samples, the TOC content ranged from <0.1% to 7.4% with an average of 1.68 ± 1.55% for all samples. The sand bank cores P18 and P22 had on average the lowest TOC values, sometimes below detection limit, whereas the active layer of P24 and P25 had the highest TOC (Table 2). Many of the cores from the active floodplain generally had more organic-rich layers (TOC content >2%) at the top and buried in the profile (Figure 3), while only core P24 and P25 cores showed the often observed decrease in C with depth. The TOC content was correlated with the soil texture; when the silt fraction increased, the water content and TOC also increased (Figure 3). Overall, the TN contents were very low, averaging 0.16 ± 0.06% for all samples (assuming TN = 0% when TN was below detection limit of 0.10%). Samples with TN below the detection limit occurred in all cores (Table 3; Treat et al., 2023), but all samples were below the detection limit in P18 and P22. The C/N ratio ranged from 14.4 to 24.8, with the highest ratios occurring in P24, where active and permafrost layer C/Ns averaged 22.6 ± 1.6 and 24.3 ± 0.0, respectively. The lowest ratio occurred in the permafrost layer of P19 with an average of 14.7 ± 0.2 (Table 2). Soil pH ranged from 6.85 to 7.8 with a mean of 7.3 ± 0.3 and soil conductivity ranged from 110 μS cm⁻¹ to 529 μS cm⁻¹ with the exception of two surface soil samples (914 and 1,342 μS cm⁻¹).
3.2. SOC and SN Stocks and Ages

In the active floodplain cores, SOC stocks for the top 1 m ranged 3.1 and 19.5 kg C m\(^{-2}\) (Table 3). The mean SOC stocks across all cores were 12.89 ± 6.02 kg C m\(^{-2}\). Around 40% of the C stock was stored in the first 30 cm (Table 3). The non-vegetated sandbank cores P18 and P22 had the lowest carbon stocks, while P19, P20, and P25 stored a similar amount of carbon, and P24 and P21 had the highest carbon stocks (Table 3). The nitrogen stocks of the cores were much lower, ranging from 0.61 to 1.07 kg N m\(^{-2}\) (0–100 cm). The mean N stock of all cores was 0.56 ± 0.38 kg N m\(^{-2}\), with 42% stored in the first 30 cm. Nitrogen stocks were not explicitly calculated for P18 and P22, because the TN contents of all their samples were below the detection limit (<0.10%) and were assumed to be 0 kg N m\(^{-2}\) in averaging across the cores. By not considering the soil profiles P18 and P22 of the

---

**Table 2**

Summary of Soil Parameters for the Active Layer and Permafrost of the Analyzed Active Floodplain Cores, Given as the Mean of Active and Permafrost Layer Samples for Each Core and Corresponding Standard Deviations in Parentheses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>Total depth (cm)</th>
<th>No. samples</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Conductivity (uS cm(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Water content (wt%)</th>
<th>Bulk density (g cm(^{-3}))</th>
<th>TOC (%)</th>
<th>TN (%)</th>
<th>C/N ratio</th>
<th>Soil texture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KUR18-P18</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.4 (0.2)</td>
<td>230 (90)</td>
<td>20 (4)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.1)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.4)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Sand, silty sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KUR18-P19</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.4 (0.4)</td>
<td>300 (60)</td>
<td>28 (7)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.2)</td>
<td>1.6 (1.0)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.1)</td>
<td>15.6 (0.9)</td>
<td>Sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permafrost</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.9 (0.0)</td>
<td>270 (80)</td>
<td>37 (2)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.05)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.4)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.1)</td>
<td>14.7 (0.2)</td>
<td>Silty sand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAM18-P20</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.2 (0.1)</td>
<td>190 (50)</td>
<td>25 (5)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.1)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.1)</td>
<td>16.0 (1.3)</td>
<td>Sand, silty sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permafrost</td>
<td>114.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.9 (0.0)</td>
<td>260 (10)</td>
<td>40 (8)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.2)</td>
<td>2.4 (0.9)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.1)</td>
<td>16.4 (0.1)</td>
<td>Sandy silt, silty sand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAM18-P21</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.3 (0.1)</td>
<td>440 (80)</td>
<td>34 (7)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.2)</td>
<td>2.5 (1.1)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.1)</td>
<td>16.6 (1.4)</td>
<td>Silty sand, sandy silt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permafrost</td>
<td>104.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.3 (0.2)</td>
<td>230 (100)</td>
<td>32 (11)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.3)</td>
<td>1.5 (1.1)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.1)</td>
<td>15.1 (0.7)</td>
<td>Sand, silty sand, sandy silt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAM18-P22</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.5 (0.1)</td>
<td>210 (30)</td>
<td>16 (5)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.1)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.1)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permafrost</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.4 (0.2)</td>
<td>490 (270)</td>
<td>40 (6)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.1)</td>
<td>3.7 (1.4)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.1)</td>
<td>22.6 (1.6)</td>
<td>Sandy silt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAM18-P24</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.3 (0.2)</td>
<td>490 (270)</td>
<td>40 (6)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.1)</td>
<td>3.7 (1.4)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.1)</td>
<td>22.6 (1.6)</td>
<td>Sandy silt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permafrost</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.4 (0.2)</td>
<td>240 (80)</td>
<td>28 (7)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.2)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.0)</td>
<td>0.0 (0.1)</td>
<td>24.3 (0.0)</td>
<td>Silty sand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAM18-P25</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.6 (0.1)</td>
<td>470 (450)</td>
<td>31 (10)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.2)</td>
<td>3.1 (2.7)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.2)</td>
<td>18.5 (0.5)</td>
<td>Sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permafrost</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.6 (0.2)</td>
<td>190 (20)</td>
<td>25 (5)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.1)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.0 (0.1)</td>
<td>20.2 (0.0)</td>
<td>Sand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
non-vegetated sandbanks, the mean N stock of the vegetated active floodplains increased to 0.79 kg N m\(^{-2}\) (0–100 cm).

Sediment ages were determined for selected layers of the cores P19, P24, and P25, which were incubated (Table S2 in Supporting Information S1). The ages in core P19 were all modern and included age inversions. For cores P24 and P25, the active layer samples were modern but the permafrost samples were older, reaching maximum ages of 1,590 ± 52 cal y BP. Accumulation rates were not calculated due to the age inversions and largely modern ages found in the sediment profiles (Table S2 in Supporting Information S1).

### 3.3. Incubations—Potential Carbon Release

#### 3.3.1. Anaerobic Treatments

Over the course of the 356 day experiment under anaerobic conditions, the rates of maximum potential \(\text{CH}_4\) production ranged from 0 to 7.3 μg \(\text{CH}_4\)-C

---

**Table 3**

Soil Organic Carbon and Soil Nitrogen Stocks for the Seven Soil Cores in the Reference Intervals of 0–30 cm Depths and 0–100 cm Depths

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core name</th>
<th>SOC stocks (kg C m(^{-2})) 0–30 cm</th>
<th>Soil N stocks (kg N m(^{-2})) 0–30 cm</th>
<th>SOC stocks (kg C m(^{-2})) 0–100 cm</th>
<th>Soil N stocks (kg N m(^{-2})) 0–100 cm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KUR18-P18</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KUR18-P19</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>15.25</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAM18-P20</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>14.37</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAM18-P21</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>19.45</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAM18-P22</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAM18-P24</td>
<td>8.98</td>
<td>18.56</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAM18-P25</td>
<td>9.83</td>
<td>15.01</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
$g$ DW$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ with a mean of $3.2 \pm 2.9$ μg CH$_4$-C g DW$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ (Figure S3 in Supporting Information S1). When normalizing for the C content of the soils, maximum potential CH$_4$ production rates ranged from to 1.0–710 μg CH$_4$-C gC$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ across the samples, with a mean of $280 \pm 260$ μg CH$_4$-C gC$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$. Most peak potential CH$_4$ production rates occurred between days 45 and 80, although peak rates from samples P19-A and P25-F were strongly variable among the replicates (Figure S3 in Supporting Information S1). Peak CH$_4$ potential production rates in core P25-A were reached after a minimum of 152 days of incubation.

Cumulative CH$_4$ production from the three active floodplain cores (P19, P24, P25) ranged from 0 to 310 μg CH$_4$-C g DW$^{-1}$ under anaerobic conditions and less than 0.02 μg CH$_4$-C g DW$^{-1}$ under aerobic conditions over the 356 day experiment (Figure 4a). The pattern of CH$_4$ production differed between cores and depths (Figure 4a) with a significant depth by core interaction ($F_{2,11} = 81, p < 0.0001$). The active layer of P24 showed the highest cumulative CH$_4$ production (340 ± 30 μg CH$_4$-C g DW$^{-1}$), followed by the permafrost layer of P19 (221 ± 19 μg CH$_4$-C g DW$^{-1}$). P19-AL and P24-F released similar amounts (109–117 μg CH$_4$-C g DW$^{-1}$).

Cumulative CH$_4$ production from P25 was the smallest; while CH$_4$ was produced by the active layer, the permafrost layer produced nearly negligible CH$_4$ during the course of the 356 day anaerobic incubation (Figure S3 in Supporting Information S1).

When normalized to the carbon content in the soils, cumulative CH$_4$ production differed both among the three cores ($F_{2,11} = 5.38, p = 0.02$) and with depth ($F_{1,11} = 14.0, p = 0.003$), although the core by depth interaction was not statistically significant ($F_{2,11} = 3.93, p = 0.05$). When comparing the three cores, anaerobic CH$_4$ production per gram of soil carbon were highest for P19 (Figure 4b), with a mean of $21 \pm 10$ mg CH$_4$-C g C$^{-1}$ during the 356 day experiment, nearly or more than double the production in core P25 ($11 \pm 15$ mg CH$_4$-C g C$^{-1}$) and...
P24 (7.3 ± 0.9 mg CH₄-C g C⁻¹). Cumulative CH₄ production from the active layer was also more than double production in the permafrost layer (19 ± 14 and 7 ± 6 mg CH₄-C g C⁻¹, respectively).

Anaerobic rates of potential CO₂ production ranged from 0 to 13.1 μg CO₂-C g DW⁻¹ d⁻¹ across all the samples (Figure S3 in Supporting Information S1). When normalizing for the C content of the soils, potential anaerobic CO₂ production rates ranged from to 0–37.5 μg CO₂-C g C⁻¹ d⁻¹ for all three analyzed cores and layers. Cumulative anaerobic CO₂ production ranged from 7 to 440 μg CO₂-C g DW⁻¹ and from 3.4 to 32.2 mg CO₂-C g C⁻¹ for all investigated cores and layers. The cumulative anaerobic CO₂ production differed both by depth and core (Figures 4c and 4d), with a significant depth by core interactions for both production per gram dry weight and per gram soil C (per gram DW: F²,11 = 230, p < 0.0001; per g C: F²,11 = 8.4, p = 0.006). Trends were similar to cumulative CH₄ production (Figure 4a), with highest anaerobic CO₂ production per gram dry weight occurring in core P24-A, which was nearly double the production in P19-F and ~300% larger than production in P19-A and P24-F. Anaerobic CO₂ production in core P25 was the smallest. When normalized to the soil C content, highest cumulative production occurred in P19-A (28 ± 5 mg CO₂-C g C⁻¹) and was nearly double the cumulative production from P19-F and P25-A (13 ± 5 mg CO₂-C g C⁻¹), followed by both depths from P24 and with smallest production coming from P25-F (3.8 ± 0.3 mg CO₂-C g C⁻¹; Figure 4d).

The ratio of cumulative CH₄:CO₂ produced in the anaerobic treatment differed both among cores and depths with a significant interaction (Figure 5; F₂,11 = 6.5, p = 0.01). Cumulative CH₄:CO₂ ratios exceeded 1 in samples P25-A (1.4 ± 0.9) and P19-A (1.2 ± 0.3), and was near or slightly below 1 in the other samples except for P25-F, where it was less than 0.0 and significantly different from all other samples (Figure 5). Overall, CH₄ production comprised 0.5%–54% of total anerobic C emissions. With the exclusion of P25-F, which differed significantly from all other samples, the mean across all cores and depths was 50 ± 9%.

### 3.3.2. Aerobic Treatments

Rates of potential CO₂ production ranged from 0 to 23.3 μg CO₂-C g DW⁻¹ d⁻¹ across all the samples. When normalizing for the C content of the soils, potential CO₂ production rates ranged from 0 to 1.8 mg CO₂-C g C⁻¹ d⁻¹ for all three analyzed cores and layers. Across all samples, the highest potential CO₂ production rates occurred within the first week (mean = 4.7 days) except in one replicate. Rates of aerobic CO₂ production were relatively constant after incubation day 120 (Figure S4 in Supporting Information S1).

Cumulative CO₂ production after 356 days from the three active floodplain cores (P19, P24, P25) ranged from 25 to 1,640 μg CO₂-C g DW⁻¹ (mean = 570 ± 560 μg CO₂-C g DW⁻¹) under aerobic conditions over the 356 day experiment (Figure 6a). Cumulative CO₂ production per gram dry weight differed significantly among the cores...
and depths with a significant core by depth interaction ($F_{2,11} = 108, p = 0.003$). Similar to anaerobic CO$_2$ production, aerobic CO$_2$ production from P24-A was significantly higher than the other cores (1,640 ± 180 μg CO$_2$-C g DW$^{-1}$), and more than double the next highest sample P19-F (773 ± 130 μg CO$_2$-C g DW$^{-1}$), and more than 60 times higher than the lowest sample, P25-F (25 ± 4 μg CO$_2$-C g DW$^{-1}$). Cumulative aerobic CO$_2$ production was strongly correlated with soil C content ($F_{1,4} = 110, p < 0.001$), which also co-varied with silt content. When comparing the samples after normalizing for the C content, the cumulative CO$_2$ production per gram of soil carbon again showed similar trends to anaerobic CO$_2$ production with a significant core by depth interaction ($F_{2,11} = 62, p < 0.0001$). Cumulative production was highest for P19-A (Figure 6b), with a mean of 96 ± 2 mg CO$_2$-C g C$^{-1}$ during the 356 day experiment, more than double the production in P19-F, the next highest (47 ± 8 mg CO$_2$-C g C$^{-1}$), which was similar to most other samples (35–43 ± 4) except P25-F (12 ± 2 mg CO$_2$-C g C$^{-1}$). The cumulative C production per gram dry weight was strongly correlated with sample C content (Figure S5 in Supporting Information S1). After normalizing to the soil carbon content, there were no significant correlations to any tested soil properties.

Overall, the aerobic treatments emitted 60%–220% more carbon than the anaerobic treatments when considered C emitted as both CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ (Figure S6 in Supporting Information S1). Across all samples, the mean aerobic:anaerobic C production ratio was 2.3 ± 0.9 and did not significantly differ among depths ($F_{1,13} = 2.4, p = 0.15$) or cores ($F_{2,13} = 1.7, p = 0.23$). The ratio was highest in P25-F, where the aerobic:anaerobic C production ratio was greater than 3. It was similar among the other samples, ranging from 1.5 to 2 for cores P19 at both depths and sample P25-A, although this sample was highly variable.

4. Discussion

4.1. C Stocks and Processes in Active Floodplains

These cores from active floodplain soils in the Lena River Delta showed that overall the soils are exceptionally sandy, indicating the importance of fluvial and depositional processes in this environment. Overall, the average sand fraction of all cores was high (Table 2, Figure 2); the main component of the soil texture in all incubated samples was sand. However, the grain size distribution of the analyzed samples indicated a high heterogeneity in the soils of the active floodplains. The sand, silt and clay fractions had a wide range (sand: 24.5%–99.4%, silt: 0.5%–66.9%, clay: 0%–8.6%) and distribution varied from unimodal to polymodal and from very poorly sorted to well sorted (Figure 2). Fluvial origin and continuous episodic reworking result in floodplain soils that are composed of stratified medium to fine sands and silts as well as layers of organic matter and peat (Boike et al., 2013). A peak in the sand fraction indicates that flowing water is the dominant transport process, whereas lower stream flow may lead to deposition of coarser silt. The mixture of unimodal, bimodal, trimodal, and polymodal distribution curves also indicates that the sediments may have been deposited not only by river,
but also by alluvial and lacustrine processes (Fuchs et al., 2018b). Overall, the mixed grain size signal reflects that the active floodplains are located in a very dynamic landscape, characterized by migrating river channels, spring flooding, and various depositional processes. The influence of periodic or spring flooding is also supported by the relative enhancement in conductivity observed in active layers of several cores (>400 μS cm⁻¹; Table 2), which agrees well with surface river water conductivity in the center of the Olenekskaya Channel (99–490 μS cm⁻¹), the creek between Samoylov and Kurungnak Island, which is responsible for early season flooding of the investigated active floodplains (Juhrs et al., 2020).

The high heterogeneity of C content with depth and age-inversions in the ¹⁴C profile might indicate the importance of depositional processes rather than soil-forming processes (Fuchs et al., 2018b). Overall, TOC varied by a factor of 70 between cores and with depth (<0.1–7.4%; Table 2), indicating that active floodplain soils are very heterogeneous not only among the cores but also with depth. The surface soil sample often had the highest carbon concentrations (Figure 3), which may be attributed to the presence of fresh organic matter due to vegetation (Knoblauch et al., 2013). However, some cores (P19, P24) also showed deeper organic-rich layers indicating a burial of sediments either through cryoturbation or by periodic deposition of a sandy layer on top of the existing vegetation during spring flooding (Figure 3; Figure S1 in Supporting Information S1). Sediment burial associated with deltaic and fluval processes is also supported by the sedimentology showing the dominance of depositional processes (Figure 2), which can be expected in these low lying floodplain environments. However, the buried C layers and deep-distributed C stocks, with the majority falling beneath 30 cm (Table 3) is not visible from the surface vegetation, making vegetation-based soil mapping challenging in these environments (Palmtag et al., 2022).

The cores that were dated from this region in the Lena River Delta using radiocarbon relatively young material deposited in the first meter of soil. The radiocarbon ages in this study ranged from modern to 1,590 ± 52.3 years BP (Table S2 in Supporting Information S1). In cores P24 and P25, a increase of age with depth could be recognized, whereas two age inversions occurred in core P19 (Table S2 in Supporting Information S1). It is unclear whether sediment re-working is responsible for these age inversions, given also the increase in TOC with depth, or whether the age inversions were somehow contaminated during field sampling or by choosing material inappropriate for ¹⁴C dating. In general, it is difficult to date organic material in a delta setting, as sediment and organic matter can originate further upstream or get reworked (Stanley, 2001). However, age inversions are common and problematic in other lowlying permafrost landscapes and other floodplains. This also has been documented in river-adjacent permafrost peatlands in Alaska (Nichols et al., 2017). Therefore, calculation of apparent sediment and C accumulation rates was not undertaken here and must be treated with some caution.

This study indicates the importance of active floodplains as C and N permafrost deposits, although limited field data are available from active floodplains across the Arctic. Active floodplains are areas not only of soil-forming processes but also deltaic depositional processes, which incorporate C and N deep into active floodplain sediments (Figure 3). While the soils in the active floodplains (e.g., Fuchs et al., 2018b; Zubrzycki et al., 2013) generally have a lower C density than soils in other tundra environments (e.g., Hugelius et al., 2010; Palmtag et al., 2022) due to the larger fraction of sandy deposits and sand-dominated texture in soil layers, they cover a relatively large area (8,830 km², 40% of land area) within the Lena River Delta Region and also contain significant buried C stocks from depositional processes (Zubrzycki et al., 2013). This indicates that active floodplains should not be underestimated when determining C and N permafrost deposits, particularly in regions with large deltas. For further robust and representative estimates, the spatial variability of active floodplain soils (both the inhomogeneous distribution of study sites and varying C and N contents within soil depth) must be considered in future data collection (Zubrzycki et al., 2013).

### 4.2. Decomposability and Potential C Production of Active Floodplains

The cumulative potential C production after 356 days showed similar patterns across cores and depths in both aerobic and anaerobic treatments for both CH₄ and CO₂ production (Figures 4 and 6). Across the selected cores, the highest cumulative production of CO₂ and CH₄ occurred in the active layer of core P24 (P24-A) and the lowest cumulative production occurred in core P25, with very small production from the P25 permafrost sample (P25-F). The cumulative C production per gram dry weight was strongly correlated with sample C content (Figure S5 in Supporting Information S1). After normalizing for the differences in C content across the sample, there were no significant predictors of cumulative potential C production, including sand, silt and clay fraction, C/N ratio...
or radiocarbon age. Additionally, nearly all the statistical analyses showed significant core by depth interactions, with the exception of CH\textsubscript{4} production per g C. This indicates that the depth effect (active layer vs. permafrost) was generally not consistent across the cores; the cumulative C production could not be predicted from either the core or the depth. This highlights the challenge of these active floodplain samples: not only are they strongly variable among sampling locations, but they also vary strongly with depth for both potential C production (Figures 4 and 6) and for other characteristics (Figures 2 and 3). Furthermore, these below-ground characteristics are difficult to predict based on the surface vegetation, which is similar among the cores sampled intensively (P19, P24, P25 in Table 1).

The null hypothesis in this study was that the C production would differ between permafrost and active layer samples because of C inputs from surface vegetation and decompositional processes that occur in the active layer. Some earlier studies showed that active layer soils produced more C in incubation experiments than permafrost soils (Lee et al., 2012; Treat et al., 2014, 2015). Here, samples from the active layer produced significantly more CH\textsubscript{4} when normalized per gram soil C (Figure 4b) than permafrost samples and could be due to fresher substrate from plant inputs. In these active floodplain sites, there was no consistent difference in C produced between active layer and permafrost samples for most other analyses (Figures 4 and 6). This is demonstrated by the difference in cumulative CO\textsubscript{2} production under aerobic conditions between P24-F replicates and P25-F replicates: both samples were from similar aged material (from ~1,590 cal y BP, Table S2 in Supporting Information S1) and similar depths. In sample P19-A, 10 \pm 2% of the initial carbon was lost from permafrost after the ~1 year incubation, which was similar to active layer and permafrost samples of other cores (Figures 4 and 6). However, only 1% of the initial C was lost from permafrost of core P25 under “ideal” conditions for microbial decomposition. In these floodplain sites, it is likely that the decomposability of the organic material in the permafrost is also dependent on the same dynamic depositional processes that occur in floodplains; sediments buried during flooding determine the physical soil properties (e.g., buried soil horizons) and decomposability of these deeper sediments, which again makes generalization within the active floodplain soils difficult.

Comparing cumulative C losses in these active floodplain soils from an Arctic delta across other permafrost soils shows interesting trends. In this study, these soils lost on average 4.6 \pm 2.8% of their initial C content after approximately 1 year of incubation at 20°C under aerobic conditions (Figure 6b) and 2.6 \pm 2.0% under anaerobic conditions (Figures 4b and 4d). In an earlier synthesis of long-term aerobic incubations of permafrost region soils, Schädel et al. (2014) showed that mineral soils generally lost less than 5% and organic soils lost 6% of their initial soil carbon after 1 year, but at a much lower reference temperature (5 vs. 20°C in this study, both aerobic conditions). This indicates that the organic matter at this site is not exceptionally fast-cycling or biologically available under warm, aerobic conditions. Water contents in the incubated samples were low (25%–40%), but even these low water contents have been shown to have similar C production to samples with higher water content, for example, moisture was not limiting (Wickland & Neff, 2008).

Anaerobic production and the contribution of CH\textsubscript{4} to total anaerobic C production are exceptionally high in these samples from the active floodplain, despite being generally sandy. In this study, the aerobic:anaerobic C production ratio ranged from 1.6 to 3.2 with a mean of 2.3 \pm 0.9 (Figure S6 in Supporting Information S1) but showed no significant differences between active layer and permafrost samples. The aerobic:anaerobic production ratio in this study was consistently lower by 20%–50% than in an earlier synthesis by Schädel et al. (2016) of permafrost soil incubations, where the production ratio differed between active layer (median ratio: 3.3) and permafrost (median ratio: 4.2) soils. Given that aerobic C cycling is average to low in these soils, Schädel et al. (2016) indicated that anaerobic cycling is very active. In the anaerobic treatment, cumulative CH\textsubscript{4} production accounted for 50 \pm 9% of total anaerobic C production (Figure 5). This is significantly higher than reported 30%–40% of anaerobic C production for tundra, boreal forests, and peatlands in the permafrost region at a comparable ~20°C incubation temperature (Schädel et al., 2016).

Several mechanisms could result in strong anaerobic C mineralization and CH\textsubscript{4} production in these soils, such as the availability of alternative electron acceptors, microbial community composition, the origins of the C substrate mineralized, or some combination of these factors. The relatively high rates may result from the periodic inundation associated with active floodplains and indicated in situ by the observations of redox features in some of the soil profiles (Figure S1 in Supporting Information S1); on the other hand, in sample P25-F both redox features and low CH\textsubscript{4} production were observed. An earlier study using floodplain mineral soils on Samoylov Island found relatively similar methanogenic communities across the depths within a soil profile (Ganzert...
et al., 2007), which they attributed to the regular flooding. Why periodic flooding enhances the potential CH₄ production rates is unclear; earlier comparisons have shown highest anaerobic C production in incubations from permafrost soils with fluctuating water tables (Treat et al., 2015). In temperate soils, lab experiments simulating flooding via rising groundwater levels showed significantly higher CH₄ production rates than the addition of rainwater; this was attributed to the activation of methanogens at depth in the core (Smith et al., 2017). Periodic flooding may replenish nutrient supplies and enhance vegetative productivity, resulting in root exudates to fuel methanogenesis (Bastviken et al., 2023) and was hypothesized to be critical for the observation of high CH₄ fluxes from Arctic floodplain soils along with vegetative CH₄ transport (van Huissteden et al., 2005). In this ex-situ study, plant transport is not a factor, but the role of plant root exudates or recent flood deposits could be indicated from the higher CH₄ production per gram soil C in the active layer than the permafrost (Figure 4b). All together, these results indicate that multiple processes contribute to the active anaerobic C cycling in periodically flooded systems. Understanding the interactions between flooding dynamics, minerology (e.g., iron oxidation), methanogens and other anaerobic-tolerant microbes, and plant dynamics should be further investigated to better understand potential hotspots for CH₄ emissions in Arctic landscapes.

Methane production rates in these minimal soil samples were also higher than in many other types of soils and sites. The mean maximum CH₄ production rates across all samples in this study were 280 ± 260 μg C g⁻¹ d⁻¹, which were more than 80 times higher than the maximum CH₄ production rates for all mineral soils (3.3 ± 0.5 μg C g⁻¹ d⁻¹) and more than 10 times higher than organic soils (18.7 ± 12.1 μg C g⁻¹ d⁻¹) in an earlier synthesis of anaerobic incubations from permafrost region soils (Treat et al., 2015). This very large difference appears to be driven by the low carbon contents in these sandy floodplain soils; when maximum rates of CH₄ production per gram dry weight are compared between the two incubation studies, the maximum rates in this study (3.2 ± 3.0 μg C g⁻¹ DW⁻¹ d⁻¹) are still 15x larger than rates reported for mineral soils (0.2 ± 0.0 μg C gDW⁻¹ d⁻¹) but about 30% lower than rates in organic soils (4.5 ± 0.7 μg C gDW⁻¹ d⁻¹). The rates reported by Treat et al. (2015) were not normalized for incubation temperature and could result in relatively low production rates relative to this study because these samples were incubated at 20°C, a temperature unlikely to be found for extended periods in field conditions. Still, this is unlikely to explain orders of magnitude differences between the findings for mineral soils and indicate high efficiency of CH₄ production in these samples. This is similarly indicated by the CH₄:CO₂ production ratio (Figure 5). The high ratios indicate that the methanogenic community is viable and active in these active floodplain soils as shown in this study and in an earlier analysis at a nearby lowland site (Laurent et al., 2023); high CH₄ fluxes have been observed in situ from other floodplains in Siberia (Terentieva et al., 2019; van Huissteden et al., 2005). Field observations from other tundra sites in this region show similar trends: CH₄ emissions are driven by production in deep soils which occurs when they are warm enough and redox conditions are favorable, bringing the CH₄:CO₂ production ratio closer to 1:1 later in the growing and thaw season (Galera et al., 2023). Additional field observations of CH₄ and CO₂ fluxes during the growing season would help to illustrate whether the anaerobic production potentials demonstrated by this incubation translate into significant CH₄ and CO₂ fluxes measured in the field.

5. Conclusions

The active floodplain soils from the Lena River Delta in Siberia showed exceptionally high variability both among the coring locations and with depth in terms of C stocks and potential C production. The spatial variability affected both the physical soil properties and the potential C mineralization in incubations. These spatial heterogeneity effects resulted in few differences between potential C production in permafrost and active layers. The below-ground properties did not correspond with the above-ground vegetation, which was similar across many of the coring locations for both the incubated and the other cores. This makes the below-ground properties nearly impossible to predict based on the surface vegetation or surface soil properties. Instead, below-ground C stocks and sediment properties are most likely related to the depositional and erosional processes that re-work sediment in active floodplain areas like we sampled in the Lena River Delta.

However, when we compare these active floodplain sites to other Arctic sites, their significance becomes clearer. While C densities in the top 1 m of these active floodplains are generally low due to the prevalence of sand in the profile, thick sediments, buried C-rich layers and high sediment accumulation rates make these active floodplain deposits an important consideration in regional C stock estimates. The rates of C production from these sites indicate moderate C quality relative to other permafrost sites under aerobic conditions, but potential anaerobic CH₄
and CO₂ production was exceptionally high both relative to aerobic production in these sites and when compared across sites. However, limited field measurements are available to assess C balance in these active floodplain systems. Future measurements in other locations with additional measurements of microbial communities, soil chemistry, and mineralogy would help to determine how these floodplains function in regards to biogeochemical cycles, which is important to understand in regions like the Lena River Delta, where these floodplains cover significant areas.

Data Availability Statement

The soil properties, sedimentology, and potential CO₂ and CH₄ production data used for the analysis of active floodplains in the Lena River Delta in this study are available at Pangaea.de via https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.959669 with CCBY-4.0 license (Treat et al., 2023).
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